RFP22/02542: Re-advertisement/ OHCHR Research company to conduct the survey on the current human rights situation of Roma, including Roma refugees, on both banks of the Nistru river and underlying causes that limit their access to human rights

SECTION 1: LETTER OF INVITATION

United Nations Development Programme, hereinafter referred to as UNDP, on behalf of OHCHR, hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00031

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation Section 2: Instruction to Proposers Section 3: Data Sheet Section 4: Evaluation Criteria Section 5: Terms of Reference Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms Section 7: Proposal Forms - Form A: Proposal confirmation
- Form B: Checklist
- Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- Form D: Proposer Information
- Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of Proposed Key Personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline submission of proposals out in Section 3: Data for set Sheet Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the online portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Should you require further clarifications, kindly communicate through the system as indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet. Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the system. This will enable the system to send notifications in case of amendments of the tender requirements. Should you require further clarifications, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the system. Offers must be submitted directly in the system following this link: http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/ using the profile you may have in the portal. In case you have never registered before, follow the Supplier Portal Registration Link to register a profile in the system. Do not create a new profile if you already have one. Use the forgotten password feature in case you do not remember the password or the username from previous registration.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

OHCHR Moldova

SECTION 2: INSTRUCTIONS TO PROPOSERS

GENERAL	
1. Scope	Proposers are invited to submit a proposal for the services specified in Section 5: Terms of Reference, in accordance with this Request for Proposal (RFP). A summary of the scope of the proposal is included in Section 3: Data Sheet. Proposers shall adhere to all the requirements of this RFP, including any amendment made in writing by UNDP. This RFP is conducted in accordance with Policies and Procedures of UNDP which can be accessed at <u>UNDP Programme and OperationsPolicies and Procedures/Procurement</u> . As part of the bid, it is desired that the Bidder registers at the United Nations Global Marketplace (UNGM) website (<u>www.ungm.org</u>). The Bidder may still submit a bid evenif not registered with the UNGM. However, if the Bidder is selected for contract award, the Bidder must register on the UNGM prior to contract signature.
2. Interpretation of the RFP	Any proposal submitted will be regarded as an offer by the proposer and does not constitute or imply the acceptance of the proposal by UNDP. UNDP is under no obligation to award a contract to any proposer as a result of this RFP.
3. Supplier Code of Conduct, Fraud, Corruption, Gifts and Hospitality	All proposers must read the United Nations Supplier Code of Conduct and acknowledge that it provides the minimum standards expected of suppliers to the UN. The Code of Conduct, which includes principles on labour , human rights , environment and ethical conduct may be found at: <u>https://www.un.org/Depts/ptd/about-us/un-supplier-code-conduct</u>
	Moreover, suppliers should note that certain provisions of the Code of Conduct will be binding on the supplier in the event that the supplier is awarded a contract, pursuant to the terms and conditions of any such contract.
	UNDP strictly enforces a policy of zero tolerance on proscribed practices, including fraud, corruption, collusion, unethical or unprofessional practices, and obstruction of UNDP vendors and requires all bidders/vendors observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement process and contract implementation. UNDP's Anti-Fraud Policy can be found at:
	http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/accountability/audit/office_ of audit andinvestigation.html#anti
	Bidders/vendors shall not offer gifts or hospitality of any kind to UNDP staff members including recreational trips to sporting or cultural events, theme parks or offers of holidays, transportation, or invitations to extravagant lu nches or dinners.
	In pursuance of this policy, UNDP:
	a) Shall reject a proposal if it determines that the selected proposer has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for the contract in question;
	b) Further to the UNDP's vendor sanctions policy, shall declare a vendor ineligible, either indefinitely or for a stated period, to be awarded a contract if at any time it determines that the vendor has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for, or in executing a UNDP contract.
4. Eligible proposers	Proposers shall have the legal capacity to enter into a binding contract with UNDP.
	A proposer, and all parties constituting the proposer, may have the nationality of any country with the exception of the nationalities, if any, listed in Section 3: Data Sheet. A proposer shall be deemed to have the nationality of a country if the proposer is a citizen or is constituted, incorporated, or registered and operates in conformity with the provisions of the laws of that country.
	All proposers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Proposers may be considered to have a conflict of interest if they are or have been associated in the past,

SOLICITATION DOCUME 7. Clarification of solicitation documents	with the RFP. ENTS Proposers may request clarifications on any of the RFP documents no later than the date indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet. Any request for clarification must be sent in writing in the manner indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet. Explanations or interpretations provided by personnel other than the named contact person will not be considered binding or official. UNDP will provide the responses to clarifications through the method specified in
6. Publicity	During the RFP process, a proposer is not permitted to create any publicity in connection
information	UNDP are issued solely for the purpose of enabling a proposal to be completed and may not be used for any other purpose. The RFP documents and any additional information provided to proposers shall remain the property of UNDP. All documents which may form part of the proposal will become the property of UNDP, who will not be required to return them to your firm.
5. Proprietary	<u>Vendors</u> and <u>World Bank Listing of Ineligible Firms and Individuals</u> . The RFP documents and any Terms of Reference or information issued or furnished by
	 is included in the <u>Consolidated United Nations Security Council Sanctions List</u>, including the <u>UN Security Council Resolution 1267/1989 list</u>; is included in the <u>World Bank Corporate Procurement Listing of Non-Responsible</u>
	 is included in the Ineligibility List, hosted by <u>UNGM</u>, that aggregates information disclosed by Agencies, Funds or Programs of the UN System;
	The eligibility of Bidders that are wholly or partly owned by the Government shall be subject to UNDP's further evaluation and review of various factors such as being registered, operated and managed as an independent business entity, the extent of Government ownership/share, receipt of subsidies, mandate and access to informationin relation to this RFP, among others. Conditions that may lead to undue advantage against other Bidders may result in the eventual rejection of the Proposal. Proposers shall not be eligible to submit a proposal if at the time of proposalsubmission:
	Failure to disclose such an information may result in the rejection of the proposal or proposals affected by the non-disclosure.
	 Similarly, the Bidders must disclose in their proposal their knowledge of the following: a) If the owners, part-owners, officers, directors, controlling shareholders, of the bidding entity or key personnel are family members of UNDP staff involved in the procurement functions and/or the Government of the country or any Implementing Partner receiving services under this RFP; and b) All other circumstances that could potentially lead to actual or perceived conflict of interest, collusion or unfair competition practices.
	In the event of any uncertainty in the interpretation of a potential conflict of interest, Bidders must disclose to UNDP, and seek UNDP's confirmation on whether or not such a conflict exists.
	with a firm or any of its affiliates that have been engaged by UNDP to provide consulting services for the preparation of the design, specifications, Terms of Reference, cost analysis/estimation and other documents to be used for the procurement of the services required in the present procurement process; were involved in the preparation and/or design of the programme/project related to the services requested under this RFP; or are found to be in conflict for any other reason, as may be established by, or at the discretion of UNDP and/or are found to be in conflict for any other reason, as may be established by, or at the discretion of UNDP.

	Section 3: Data Sheet. UNDP shall endeavour to provide responses to clarifications in an expeditious manner, but any delay in such response shall not cause an obligation on the part of UNDP to extend the submission date of the proposals, unless UNDP deems that such an extension is justified and necessary.
8. Amendment of solicitation documents	At any time prior to the deadline for proposal submission, UNDP may for any reason, such as in response to a clarification requested by a proposer, modify the RFP in the form of an amendment to the RFP. Amendments will be made available to all prospective proposers. If the amendment is substantial, UNDP may extend the deadline for submission of proposals to give the proposers reasonable time to incorporate the amendment into their proposal.
PREPARATION OF PROP	
9. Cost of preparation of proposal	proposal, regardless of whether its proposal is selected or not. UNDP shall not be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the procurement process.
10.Language	The proposal, as well as any and all related correspondence exchanged by the proposer and UNDP, shall be written in the language(s) specified in Section 3: Data Sheet.
11. Documents establishing eligibility and qualifications of the proposer	The proposer shall furnish documentary evidence of its status as an eligible and qualified vendor, using the forms provided in Section 7 and providing the documents required in those forms. In order to award a contract to a proposer, its qualifications must be documented to UNDP's satisfaction.
11.a Documents comprising the proposal	The proposal bid shall comprise of the following documents and related forms which details are provided in Section 3: Data Sheet:
proposal	 Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder; Technical Proposal; Financial Proposal; Proposal Security, if required by DS; Any attachments and/or appendices to the Proposal.
12. Technical proposal format and content	The proposer is required to submit a technical proposal using the forms provided in Section 7 and taking into consideration the requirements in the RFP. The technical proposal shall not include any price or financial information. A technical proposal containing material financial information may be declared non-responsive.
13. Financial proposal	The financial proposal shall be prepared using the form provided in Section 7 and taking into consideration the requirements in the RFP. It shall list all major cost components associated with the services, and the detailed breakdown of such costs. Any output and activities described in the technical proposal but not priced in the financial proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items as well as in the final total price. Prices and other financial information must not be disclosed in any other place except in the financial proposal.
14. Currencies	All prices shall be quoted in the currency or currencies indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet. Where proposals are quoted in different currencies, for the purposes of comparison of all proposals: • UNDP will convert the currency quoted in the proposal into the UNDP preferred
	• UNDP will convert the currency quoted in the proposal into the UNDP preferred currency, in accordance with the UN Operational Rate of Exchange.

	 In the event that UNDP selects a proposal for award that is quoted in a currency different from the preferred currency in Section 3: Data Sheet, UNDP shall reserve the right to award the contract in the currency of UNDP's preference, using the conversion method specified above.
15.Duties and taxes	Article II, Section 7, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities provides, inter alia, that the United Nations, including UNDP as a subsidiary organ, is exempt from all direct taxes, except charges for public utility services, and is exempt from customs restrictions, duties, and charges of a similar nature in respect of articles imported or exported for its official use. All proposals shall be submitted net of any direct taxes and any other taxes and duties, unless otherwise specified in Section 3: Data Sheet.
16.Proposal validity period	Proposals shall remain valid for the period specified in Section 3: Data Sheet, commencing on the deadline for submission of proposals. A proposal valid for a shorter period may be rejected by UNDP and rendered non-responsive.
	During the proposal validity period, the proposer shall maintain its original proposal without any change, including the availability of the key personnel, the proposed rates and the total price.
	In exceptional circumstances, prior to the expiration of the proposal validity period, UNDP may request proposers to extend the period of validity of their proposals. The request and the responses shall be made in writing and shall be considered integral to the proposal.
	If the proposer agrees to extend the validity of its proposal, it shall be done without any change to the original proposal but will be required to extend the validity of the proposal security, if required, for the period of the extension, and in compliance with Article 17 (Proposal security) in all respects.
	The proposer has the right to refuse to extend the validity of its proposal without forfeiting the proposal security, if required, in which case, the proposal shall not be further evaluated.
17.Proposal security	A proposal security, if required by Section 3: Data Sheet, shall be provided in the amount and form indicated in the Section 3: Data Sheet. The proposal security shall be valid for a minimum of thirty (30) days after the final date of validity of the proposal.
	The proposal security shall be included along with the proposal. If a proposal security is required by the RFP but is not found in the proposal, the offer shall be rejected.
	If the proposal security amount, or its validity period, is found to be less than is required by UNDP, UNDP shall reject the proposal.
	In the event an electronic submission is allowed in Section 3: Data Sheet, proposers shall include a copy of the proposal security in their proposal and the original of the proposal security must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in Section 3: Data Sheet.
	Unsuccessful proposers' proposal securities will be discharged/returned as promptly as possible but no later than thirty (30) days after the expiration of the period of proposal validity prescribed by UNDP pursuant to Article 16 (Proposal Validity Period).
	The Proposal security may be forfeited by UNDP, and the proposal rejected, in the event of any, or combination, of the following conditions:
	 If the proposer withdraws its offer during the period of the proposal validity specified in Section 3: Data Sheet, or; In the event the successful Proposer fails:
	 to sign the contract after UNDP has issued an award; or to furnish the performance security, insurances, or other documents that UNDP may require as a condition precedent to the effectivity of the contract that may be awarded to the proposer.

19 Joint Vantura	If the proposer is a group of legal entities that will form or have formed a leight Venture
18. Joint Venture, Consortium or	If the proposer is a group of legal entities that will form or have formed a Joint Venture (JV), Consortium or Association for the proposal, each such legal entity will confirm in
Association	their joint proposal that:
	 they have designated one party to act as a lead entity, duly vested with authority to legally bind the members of the JV, Consortium or Association jointly and severally, and this will be evidenced by a duly notarised agreement among the legal entities, which will be submitted along with the proposal; and if they are awarded the contract, the contract shall be entered into by and between UNDP and the designated lead entity, who will be acting for and on behalf of all the member entities comprising the joint venture.
	After the deadline for submission of proposal, the lead entity identified to represent the JV, Consortium or Association shall not be altered without the prior written consent of UNDP.
	If a JV, Consortium or Association's proposal is the proposal selected for award, UNDP will award the contract to the joint venture, in the name of its designated lead entity. The lead entity will sign the contract for and on behalf of all other member entities.
	The lead entity and the member entities of the JV, Consortium or Association shall abide by the provisions of Article 19 (Only one Proposal) herein in respect of submitting only one proposal.
	The description of the organization of the JV, Consortium or Association must clearly define the expected role of each of the entities in the joint venture in delivering the requirements of the RFP, both in the proposal and the JV, Consortium or Association Agreement. All entities that comprise the JV, Consortium or Association shall be subject to the eligibility and qualification assessment by UNDP.
	 A JV, Consortium or Association, in presenting its track record and experience, should clearly differentiate between: Those that were undertaken together by the JV, Consortium or Association; and Those that were undertaken by the individual entities of the JV, Consortium or Association. Previous contracts completed by individual experts working privately but who are permanently or were temporarily associated with any of the member firms cannot be claimed as the experience of the JV, Consortium or Association or those of its members, but should only be claimed by the individual experts themselves in their presentation of their individual credentials. JV, Consortium or Associations are encouraged for high value, multi-sectoral
	requirements when the spectrum of expertise and resources required may not be available within one firm.
19.Only one proposal	 The proposer (including the individual members of any Joint Venture) shall submit only one proposal, either in its own name or as part of a Joint Venture. Proposals submitted by two (2) or more proposers shall all be rejected if they are found to have any of the following: they have at least one controlling partner, director or shareholder in common; or any one of them receive or have received any direct or indirect subsidy from the other/s; or
	 they have the same legal representative for purposes of this RFP; or they have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about, or influence on the proposal of another proposer regarding this RFP process;

20. Alternative	 they are subcontractors to each other's proposal, or a subcontractor to one proposal also submits another proposal under its name as lead proposer; or some key personnel proposed to be in the team of one proposer participates in more than one proposal received for this RFP process. This condition relating to the personnel, does not apply to subcontractors being included in more than one proposal. Unless otherwise specified in Section 3: Data Sheet, alternative proposals shall not be
proposals	considered. If submission of alternative proposals is allowed in Section 3: Data Sheet, a proposer may submit an alternative proposal, but only if it also submits a proposal conforming to the RFP requirements. Where the conditions for its acceptance are met, or justifications are clearly established, UNDP reserves the right to award a contract based on an alternative proposal. If multiple/alternative proposals are being submitted, proposer must create an alternate response directly in the system and upload all attachments relevant to the alternate proposal separately together with the alternate response.
21. Pre-proposal conference	When appropriate, a pre-proposal conference will be conducted at the date, time and location and according to any instructions specified in Section 3: Data Sheet.
comerence	If it is stated in Section 3: Data Sheet that the pre-proposal conference is mandatory, a Proposer which does not attend the pre-proposal conference shall become ineligible to submit a proposal under this RFP.
	If it is stated in Section 3: Data Sheet that the pre-proposal conference is not mandatory, non-attendance shall not result in disqualification of an interested proposer.
	UNDP will not issue any formal answers to questions from proposers regarding the RFP or proposal process during the pre-proposal conference. All questions shall be submitted in accordance with Article 38 (Clarification of Proposals).
	The pre-proposal conference shall be conducted for the purpose of providing background information only. Without limiting Article 24 (Proposers' responsibility) proposers shall not rely upon any information, statement or representation made at thepre-proposal conference unless that information, statement or representation is confirmed by UNDP in writing.
	Minutes of the pre-proposal conference will be disseminated as specified in Section 3: Data Sheet. No verbal statement made during the conference shall modify the terms and conditions of the RFP, unless specifically incorporated in the minutes of the proposer's conference or issued/posted as an amendment to RFP.
22. Site inspection	When appropriate, a site inspection will be conducted at the date, time and locationand according to any instructions specified in Section 3: Data Sheet.
	If it is stated in Section 3: Data Sheet that the site inspection is mandatory, a proposer who does not attend the site inspection shall become ineligible to submit a proposal under this RFP.
	If it is stated in Section 3: Data Sheet that the site inspection is not mandatory, non- attendance, shall not result in disqualification of an interested proposer.
	Proposers participating in a site inspection shall be responsible for making and obtaining any visa arrangements that may be required for the proposers to participate in a site inspection.
	Prior to attending a site inspection, proposers shall execute an indemnity and a waiver
	releasing UNDP in respect of any liability that may arise from:
	(i) loss of or damage to any real or personal property;

	(iii) financial loss or expense, arising out of the carrying out of that site
	inspection; and
	 (iv) transportation by UNDP to the site (if provided) as a result of any accidents or malicious acts by third parties.
23. Errors or omissions	 UNDP will not issue any formal answers to questions from proposers regarding the RFP or solicitation process during a site inspection. All questions shall be submitted in accordance with Article 7 (Clarification of solicitation documents). A site inspection will be conducted for the purpose of providing background information only. Without limiting Article 24 (Proposers Responsibility), proposers shall not rely upon any information, statement or representation made at a site inspection unless that information, statement or representation is confirmed by UNDP in writing. Proposers shall immediately notify UNDP in writing of any ambiguities, errors, omissions, discrepancies, inconsistencies, or other faults in any part of the RFP, with full details of those ambiguities, errors, omissions, discrepancies, inconsistencies, or other faults. Proposers shall not benefit from such ambiguities, errors, omissions, discrepancies, inconsistencies, or other faults.
24. Proposers'	Proposers shall be responsible for informing themselves in preparing their proposal. In
responsibility to inform themselves	 this regard, proposers shall ensure that they: examine and fully inform themselves in relation to all aspects of the RFP, including the Contract and all other documents included or referred to in this RFP; review the RFP to ensure that they have a complete copy of all documents; obtain and examine all other information relevant to the project and the scope of the requirements available on reasonable enquiry; verify all relevant representations, statements and information, including those contained or referred to in the RFP or made orally during any clarification meetingor site Inspection or any discussion with UNDP, its employees or agents; attend any pre-proposal conference if it is mandatory under this RFP; fully inform and satisfy themselves as to requirements of any relevant authoritiesand laws that apply, or may in the future apply, to the supply of the services; and form their own assessment of the nature and extent of the services required as included in Section 5: Terms of Reference and properly account for all requirements in their proposal. Proposers acknowledge that UNDP, its directors, employees and agents make no representations or warranties (express or implied) as to the accuracy, currency or completeness of this RFP or any other information provided to the proposers.
25. No material change(s) in circumstances	 The proposer shall inform UNDP of any change(s) of circumstances arising during the RFP process, including but not limited to: a change affecting any declaration, accreditation, license or approval; major re-organisational changes, company re-structuring, a take-over, buy-out or similar event(s) affecting the operation and/or financing of the proposer or its major sub-contractors;
	 a change to any information on which UNDP may rely in assessing proposals.
SUBMISSION AND OPE	
26. Instruction for proposal submission	The proposer shall submit a complete proposal in the format and comprising the documents and forms in accordance with requirements in Section 3: Data Sheet. The proposal shall be delivered according to the method specified in Section 3: Data Sheet. The proposal shall be submitted? by the proposer or person(s) duly authorized to commit the proposer. The authorization shall be communicated through a document evidencing such authorization issued by the legal representative of the proposing entity, or, if requested, a Power of Attorney, accompanying the proposal.

	Duran any must be supported the many set of submission of a supported in and of itself
	Proposers must be aware that the mere act of submission of a proposal, in and of itself,
	implies that the proposer fully accepts the UNDP General Conditions of Contract.
26a. Online	Electronic submission through online portal shall be governed as follows:
submission	 Electronic files that form part of the proposal must be in accordance with the format and requirements indicated in DS;
	 The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be uploaded individually and clearly labelled. The Financial Proposal file must be uploaded separately only in the commercial section of the RFP in the system. encrypted with a password so that it cannot be opened nor viewed until the password is provided. Documents which are required to be in original form (e.g. Bid Security, etc.) must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in DS.
	Detailed instructions on how to submit, modify or cancel a bid in the online portal are provided in the system Bidder User Guide made available in the procurement notice site and in the portal.
27. Deadline for	Complete proposals must be received by UNDP in the manner, and no later than the date
Submission of	and time, specified in Section 3: Data Sheet. If any doubt exists as to the time zonein
Proposals	which the Proposal should be submitted, refer to
	http://www.timeanddate.com/worldclock/.
	It shall be the sole responsibility of the proposers to ensure that their proposal is received
	by the closing date and time. UNDP shall accept no responsibility for proposals that arrive
	late due to any technical issues and shall only recognise the actual date and time that the
	proposal was received by UNDP.
	UNDP may, at its discretion, extend this deadline for the submission of proposals by
	amending the solicitation documents in accordance with Article 8 (Amendment of
	solicitation documents). In this case, all rights and obligations of UNDP and proposers
	subject to the previous deadline will thereafter be subject to the new deadline as extended.
28. Withdrawal,	A proposer may withdraw or modify its proposal after it has been submitted at any time
substitution and	prior to the deadline for submission directly in the system following the instructions
modification of	provided in the user guide.
proposals	However, after the deadline for proposal submission, the proposals shall remain validand
	open for acceptance by UNDP for the entire proposal validity period, as may be extended.
29. Storage of	Proposals received are kept confidential and unopened in the system as part security
proposals	protocols built in the system until the proposal opening date stated in Section 3: Data Sheet.
30. Proposal opening	There is no mandatory public bid opening for RFPs however UNDP may at its discretion
	sent a public bid opening report from the system only to suppliers who successfully
	submitted a proposal. The report will include only the names of the companies but not
	the financial proposal.
31. Late proposals	Any proposal received by UNDP after the deadline for submission of proposals will be
	destroyed unless the proposer requests that it be returned and assumes the
	responsibility and expenses for the re-possession of the returned proposal documents.
	In exceptional circumstances, late proposals may be accepted if it is determined that the
	submission was sent in ample time prior to the proposal closing and the delay could not
	be reasonably foreseen by the proposer or were due to force majeure.
l	

EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS	
32. Confidentiality	Information relating to the examination, evaluation, and comparison of proposals, and the recommendation of contract award, shall not be disclosed to proposers or any other persons not officially concerned with such process, even after publication of the contract award. Any effort by a proposer or anyone on behalf of the proposer to influence UNDP in the examination, evaluation and comparison of the proposals or contract award decisions may, at UNDP's decision, result in the rejection of its proposal and may subsequently be subject to the application of prevailing UNDP's vendor sanctions procedures.
33.Evaluation of proposals	UNDP shall evaluate a proposal using only the methodologies and criteria defined in this RFP. No other criteria or methodology shall be permitted. UNDP shall conduct the evaluation solely on the basis of the submitted technical and
	 financial proposals. Evaluation of proposals shall be undertaken in the following steps: a) Preliminary examination; b) Evaluation of minimum eligibility and qualification (if pre-qualification is not done); c) Evaluation of technical proposals; d) Evaluation of financial proposals.
34. Preliminary examination	UNDP shall examine the proposals to determine whether they are complete with respect to minimum documentary requirements, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether the proposals are generally in order, among other indicators that may be used at this stage. UNDP reserves the right to reject any proposal at this stage.
35. Evaluation of eligibility and qualification	 Eligibility and qualification of the proposer will be evaluated against the minimum eligibility and qualification requirements specified in Section 4: Evaluation Criteria and in Article 4 (Eligible proposers). In general terms, vendors that meet the following criteria may be considered qualified: a) They are not included in the UN Security Council 1267/1989 Committee's list of terrorists and terrorist financiers, and in UNDP's ineligible vendors' list; b) They have a good financial standing and have access to adequate financial resources to perform the contract and all existing commercial commitments; c) They have the necessary similar experience, technical expertise, production capacity, quality certifications, quality assurance procedures and other resources applicable to the supply of goods and/or services required; d) They are able to comply fully with the UNDP General Terms and Conditions of Contract; e) They do not have a consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder; and f) They have a record of timely and satisfactory performance with their clients.
36. Evaluation of technical and	The evaluation team shall review and evaluate the technical proposals on the basis of their responsiveness to the Terms of Reference and other RFP documents, applying the evaluation criteria, sub-criteria, and point system specified in Section 4: Evaluation

financial proposals	Criteria. A proposal shall be rendered non-responsive at the technical evaluation stage if it fails to achieve the minimum technical score indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet. When necessary, and if stated in the Data Sheet, UNDP may invite technically responsive proposers for a presentation related to their technical proposals. The conditions for the presentation shall be provided in the proposal document where required. When necessary, and if stated in the Section 3: Data Sheet, UNDP may invite technically responsive bidders for a presentation related to their technical Proposals. The conditions for the presentation shall be provided in the bid document where required. In the second stage, only the financial proposals of those proposers who achieve the minimum technical score will be opened for evaluation. The evaluation method that applies for this RFP shall be as indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet, which may be either of two (2) possible methods, as follows: (a) the lowest priced method which selects the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically responsive Proposers; or (b) the combined scoring method which will be based on a combination of the technical and financial score. When the Data Sheet specifies a combined scoring method , the formula for the rating of the proposals will be as follows: <u>Rating the Technical Proposal (TP):</u>
	TP Rating = (Total Score Obtained by the Offer / Max. Obtainable Score for TP) x 100 Rating the Financial Proposal (FP): FP Rating = (Lowest Priced Offer / Price of the Offer Being Reviewed) x 100 Total Combined Score: Combined Score = (TP Rating) x (Weight of TP, e.g. 60%) + (FP Rating) x (Weight of FP, e.g., 40%)
37.Post-qualification/ Due Diligence	UNDP reserves the right to undertake a post-qualification assessment, aimed at determining, to its satisfaction, the validity of the information provided by the proposer. Such exercise shall be fully documented and may include, but need not be limited to, all or any combination of the following:
	 a) Verification of accuracy, correctness and authenticity of informationprovided by the proposer; b) Validation of extent of compliance to the RFP requirements and evaluation criteria based on what has so far been found by the evaluation team; c) Inquiry and reference checking with Government entities with jurisdiction on the proposer, or with previous clients, or any other entity that may have done business with the proposer; d) Inquiry and reference checking with previous clients on the performance on on-going or completed contracts, including physical inspections of previous works, as deemed necessary; e) Physical inspection of the proposer's offices, branches or other places where business transpires, with or without notice to the proposer; f) Other means that UNDP may deem appropriate, at any stage within the selection process, prior to awarding the contract.
38. Clarification of proposals	UNDP may request clarification or further information in writing from the proposers at any time during the evaluation process. The proposers' responses shall not contain any changes regarding the substance or price of the proposal, except to confirm the correction of arithmetic errors discovered by UNDP in the evaluation of the proposals, in accordance with Instructions to Proposers Article 23 (Errors or omissions).

	
	UNDP may use such information in interpreting and evaluating the relevant proposal but is under no obligation to take it into account. Any unsolicited clarification submitted by a proposer in respect to its proposal which is
	not a response to a request by UNDP, shall not be considered during the review and evaluation of the proposals.
39. Responsiveness of proposal	UNDP's determination of a proposal's responsiveness is to be based on the contents of the proposal itself. A substantially responsive proposal is one that conforms to all the terms, conditions, TOR and other requirements of the RFP without material deviation, reservation, or omission. A material deviation, reservation, or omission is one that:
	 a) affects in any substantial way the scope, quality, or performance of the services specified in the contract; or b) limits in any substantial way, inconsistent with the solicitation documents, UNDP's rights or the proposer's obligations under the contract; or c) if rectified would unfairly affect the competitive position of other proposers presenting substantially responsive proposals.
	If a proposal is not substantially responsive, it shall be rejected by UNDP and may not subsequently be made responsive by the proposer by correction of the material deviation, reservation, or omission.
40. Nonconformities,	Provided that a proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP may waive any non-
reparable errors	conformities or omissions in the proposal that, in the opinion of UNDP, do not constitute
and omission	a material deviation. These are a matter of form and not of substance and can be
	corrected or waived without being prejudicial to other proposers. Provided that a proposal is substantially responsive UNDP may request the proposer to
	submit the necessary information or documentation, within a reasonable period, to
	rectify nonmaterial nonconformities or omissions in the proposal related to
	documentation requirements. Such omission shall not be related to any aspect of the
	price of the proposal. Failure of the proposer to comply with the request may result in
	the rejection of its proposal.
	For financial proposals that have been opened, UNDP shall check and correctarithmetical errors as follows:
	a) if there is a discrepancy between the unit price and the line-item total that is
	obtained by multiplying the unit price by the quantity, the unit price shall prevail and the line item total shall be corrected, unless in the opinion of UNDP there is an obvious misplacement of the decimal point in the unit price; in which case, the line item total as quoted shall govern and the unit price shall be corrected;
	b) if there is an error in a total corresponding to the addition or subtraction of
	subtotals, the subtotals shall prevail, and the total shall be corrected; and c) if there is a discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in wordsshall
	prevail, unless the amount expressed in words is related to an arithmetic error, in
	which case the amount in figures shall prevail.
	If the proposer does not accept the correction of errors, its proposal shall be rejected,
41 Diabete	and its proposal security may be forfeited.
41. Right to accept any proposal and to	UNDP reserves the right to accept or reject any proposals, and to annul the proposal process and reject all proposals at any time prior to contract award, without thereby
reject any or all	incurring any liability to the affected proposer or proposers or any obligation to inform
proposals	the affected proposer or proposers of the grounds for UNDP's action. UNDP shall not be
	obliged to award the contract to the lowest priced offer.

AWARD OF CONTRACT	
42. Award criteria	Prior to expiration of the proposal validity, UNDP shall award the Contract to the
	qualified proposer based on the award criteria indicated in Section 3: Data Sheet.
43. Right to vary	At the time the Contract is awarded, UNDP reserves the right to increase or decrease
requirement at	the quantity of services originally specified by up to a maximum twenty-five per cent
time of award	(25%) of the total offer, without any change in the unit price or other terms and
	conditions and the solicitation document.
44. Notification of	Prior to the expiration of the period of proposal validity, UNDP will notify the successful
award	proposer in writing by email, fax or post, that its proposal has been accepted. Please note that the proposer, if not already registered at the appropriate level in UNGM, will be required to complete the vendor registration process on the UNGM prior to the signature and finalization of the contract.
45. Debriefing	In the event that a proposer is unsuccessful, the proposer may request a debriefing from UNDP. The purpose of the debriefing is to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the proposer's submission, in order to assist the proposer in improving its future proposals for UNDP procurement opportunities. The content of other proposals and how they compare to the proposer's submission shall not be discussed.
46. Publication of	UNDP will publish the contract award on UNDP Procurement Notices website
contract award	https://procurement-notices.undp.org/view_awards.cfm which is linked to the <u>United</u> <u>Nations Global Marketplace</u> , with the RFP Reference number, the information of the awarded proposer's company name, contract amount or LTA and the date of the contract.
47. Contract Signature	Within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of the Contract, the successful Bidder
	shall sign and date the Contract and return it to UNDP. Failure to do so may constitute
	sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, and forfeiture of the Bid Security, if
	any, and on which event, UNDP may award the Contract to the Second highest rated or
	call for new Bids.
	The types of Contract to be signed and the applicable UNDP Contract General Termsand
General Terms and	Conditions, as specified in Data Sheet, can be accessed at:
Conditions	http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-
	webuy.html
49. Performance	The successful Proposer, if so specified in Section 3: Data Sheet shall furnish a
security	Performance Security in the amount and form specified herein: https://popp.undp.org/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/UNDP_POPP_DOCU MENT_LIBRARY/Public/PSU_Solicitation_Performance%20Guarantee%20Form.docx&a ction=default, within the specified number of days after receipt of the Contract from UNDP. Banks issuing performance securities must be acceptable to the UNDP comptroller, i.e. banks certified by the central bank of the country to operate as a commercial bank. The Performance Security form is available here. UNDP shall promptly discharge the proposal securities of the unsuccessful proposers pursuant to Article 17 (Proposal security).
FO Damk guarante a far	Failure of the successful proposer to submit the above-mentioned Performance Security or sign the Contract shall constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award and forfeiture of the proposal security. In that event UNDP may award the contract to the next lowest ranked proposer.
50. Bank guarantee for	Except when the interests of UNDP so require, it is UNDP's standard practice not to make advance payment(c) (i.e., payments without baying received any outputs). If an
advance payment	make advance payment(s) (i.e., payments without having received any outputs). If an advance payment is allowed as per Section 3: Data Sheet, and if specified there, the
	proposer shall submit a Bank Guarantee in the full amount of the advance payment
	using this <u>bank guarantee form</u> available at:
	https://popp.undp.org/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/UNDP_POPP_DOCU_

51. Liquidated Damages	MENT LIBRARY/Public/PSU Contract%20Management%20Payment%20and%20TaxesAdvanced%20Payment%20Guarantee%20Form.docx&action=default.Banks issuingbank guarantees must be acceptable to the UNDP comptroller, i.e. bankscertified by the central bank of the country to operate as a commercial bank.If specified in Section 3: Data Sheet, UNDP shall apply Liquidated Damages for thedamages and/or risks caused to UNDP resulting from the Contractor's delays or breachofits obligations as per the Contract. The payment or deduction of such liquidated damagesshall not relieve the Contractor from any of its other obligations or liabilities pursuant toany current contract or purchase order.
52. Proposal protest	Any proposer that believes to have been unjustly treated in connection with this proposal process or any contract that may be awarded as a result of such proposal process may submit a complaint to UNDP. The following link provides further details regarding UNDP vendor protest procedures: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/protest-and-sanctions.html
53.Other Provisions	In the event that the Bidder offers a lower price to the host Government (e.g. General Services Administration (GSA) of the federal government of the United States of America) for similar goods and/or services, UNDP shall be entitled to the same lower price. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. UNDP is entitled to receive the same pricing offered by the same Contractor in contracts with the United Nations and/or its Agencies. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. The United Nations has established restrictions on employment of (former) UN staff who have been involved in the procurement process as per bulletin ST/SGB/2006/15 <u>http://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=ST/SGB/2006/15&referer</u>

SECTION 3: DATA SHEET (DS)

The following specific data shall complement, supplement or amend the provisions in Section 2: Instructions to Proposers. In case there is a conflict, the provisions herein shall prevail over those in Section 2: Instructions to Proposers.

Ref. Article in Section 2	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
1.	Scope	The reference number of this Request for Proposal (RFP) is RFP No 22/02542
		The services include provision of Research company to conduct the survey on the current human rights situation of Roma, including Roma refugees, on both banks of the Nistru river and underlying causes that limit their access to human rights, as further described in Section 5 of this RFP.
2.	Eligible proposers	Proposers from all countries are eligible to participate in this proposal process.
3.	Clarification of solicitation documents	Any request for clarification of solicitation documents must be sent directly in the system through Quantum message functionality. <u>ATTENTION</u> : PROPOSALS (OR ANY PART OF IT) SHALL NOT BE SUBMITTED IN THE ABOVE MANNER.
		Deadline for submitting requests for clarifications / questions:
		5 days before the submission deadline
		Supplemental information to the RFP and responses / clarifications to queries will be posted directly in the system.
4.	Language	All proposals, information, documents and correspondence exchanged between UNDP and the proposers in relation to this solicitation process shall be in English
5.	Partial proposals	Submitting proposals for parts or sub-parts of the TOR is: Not allowed
6.	Currencies	Prices shall be quoted only in the currency indicated in the system: USD Dollars
7.	Duties and taxes	All prices shall:
		Be exclusive of VAT and other applicable indirect taxes.
8.	Proposal validity period	60 days
9.	Proposal security	
		⊠ Not Required

Ref. Article in Section 2	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
10.	Alternative proposals	Shall not be considered.
11.	Pre-proposal conference	Will not be conducted
12.	Site inspection	A site inspection will not be held.
13.	Instructions for proposal submission	 Proposals must be submitted directly in Quantum. Allowable manner of submitting proposals: The Financial Proposal (Forms J and K) shall be submitted directly in the system only in the "Commercial section" of the requirements. Noncompliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received. File Format: PDF files only File names must be clearly indicative of the file content and uploaded in the relevant section as instructed in the system. File names must be in English or in the language specified in this document as the bid language. All files must be free of viruses and not corrupted. It is recommended that the entire Proposal be consolidated into as few attachments as possible. The proposer should receive an email acknowledging receipt of the proposal by the system.
14.	Deadline for proposal submission	Deadline for proposal submission is indicated in the portal . In case of discrepancies between the deadline in the system and deadline indicated elsewhere, the one in the system prevails. Note that system time zone is in EET time zone (Moldova Local time).
15.	Proposal Opening	Public proposal opening will NOT be held
16.	Evaluation of technical and financial proposals	 Evaluation will be based on: ☑ Combined scoring method using a distribution of 60%-40% Technical proposal - financial proposal The maximum number of technical points is detailed in Section 4: Evaluation Criteria To be substantially compliant, Proposers must obtain a minimum threshold of 70% of maximum points from technical evaluation.
17.	Right to vary requirement at time of award	The maximum percentage by which quantities may be increased is 25% The maximum percentage by which quantities may be decreased is 25%

Ref. Article in Section 2	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
18.	Contract award to one or more proposer	UNDP on behalf of OHCHR will award a contract to: One Bidder Only
19.	Type of contract to be awarded	Contract Face Sheet More information can be accessed at <u>http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/</u> <u>how-we-buy.html</u> See Section 6 for link to sample contract.
20.	Expected date for commencement of contract	03 November 2022
21.	Conditions of contract to apply	UNDP General Terms and Conditions for contracts (goods and/or services) See Section 6 for link to the contract terms.
22.	Performance Security	Not Required
23.	Advance payment	Not Allowed
24.	Liquidated damages	Will be imposed as follows: Percentage of contract price per day of delay: 0.3 %. Max. number of days of delay: 30, after which UNDP may terminate the contract.
25.	Documents to be submitted with your Proposal	 Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including printed brochures and product catalogues relevant to the goods/services being procured Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration Tax Registration/Payment Certificate issued by the Internal Revenue Authority evidencing that the Bidder is updated with its tax payment obligations, or Certificate of Tax exemption, if any such privilege is enjoyed by the Bidder Trade name registration papers, if applicable Local Government permit to locate and operate in assignment location, if applicable Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if Bidder is submitting a Bid on behalf of an entity located outside the country Power of Attorney, if applicable Quality Certificate (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any Statement of Satisfactory Performance from the Top three (3) Clients in terms of Contract Value in the past three (3) years

Ref. Article in Section 2	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
		 Financial Statement (Income Statement and Balance Sheet) for the past 3 years (2019, 2020, 2021) Detailed description of the Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services/deliverables required in the ToR, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel. Dully filled in Proposal Forms A-K (as per Section 7: Proposal Forms) Forms A-I, representing the Technical Proposal, shall be submitted directly in the system in the "Technical section" of the requirements Forms J and K, representing the Financial Proposal shall be submitted directly in the system only in the "Commercial section" of the requirements. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms J and K. Non-compliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received

SECTION 4: EVALUATION CRITERIA

Preliminary Examination Criteria

Proposals will be examined to determine whether they are complete and submitted in accordance with RFP requirements as per below criteria evaluated on **1 "Pass"/ 0 "Fail**" basis:

- Appropriate signatures
- Power of Attorney
- Minimum documents provided
- Technical and Financial Proposals submitted separately
- Bid Validity
- Bid Security submitted as per RFP requirements with compliant validity period

Minimum Eligibility and Qualification Criteria

Eligibility and Qualification will be evaluated on **1 "Pass"/ 0 "Fail"** basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, **TEAM Lead** (in case of consortium) should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Subject ELIGIBILITY	Criteria	Document Submission requirement
Legal Status	Vendor is a legally registered entity.	Form D: Proposer Information Form
Eligibility	Vendor is not suspended, nor debarred, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization in accordance with RFP clause 3.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission Form
Conflict of Interest	No conflicts of interest.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission Form
Bankruptcy	Not declared bankruptcy, not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission Form
QUALIFICATION		
History of Non- Performing Contracts ¹	Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default for the last 3 years.	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form

¹ Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employer's decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all

Litigation History	No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder for the last 3 years.	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
Previous Experience	Previous proven corporate experience of at least 5 years in research area, experience in the Transnistrian region is an advantage. (For JV/Consortium/Association, TEAM Lead company should meet requirement).	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
	Professional experience of at least 3 years in conducting quantitative social surveys. (For JV/Consortium/Association, TEAM Lead company should meet requirement).	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
	Experience in elaborating qualitative research methodology. Work for UN entities/ major multilateral/ or bilateral programmes. (For JV/Consortium/Association, TEAM LEAD should meet the requirements).	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
Minimum key personnel	The contractor must provide 1 team leader and 2 key experts, with professional experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys. Failure to do so will be considered ground for disqualification. (For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).	Attach required documents to Form H: Format for CV of proposed Key Personnel
Financial Standing	Minimum average annual turnover of USD 15,000 for the last 3 years. (For JV/Consortium/Association, TEAM LEAD should meet the requirement).	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
	Bidder must demonstrate the current soundness of its financial standing and indicate its prospective long-term profitability.	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification Form
	(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties company should meet the requirement).	

appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.

Technical Evaluation Criteria

Sumn	nary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms	Points Obtainable
1.	Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	340
2.	Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan	360
3.	Management Structure and Key Personnel	300
	Total	1000

Sectio	on 1. Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	Points obtainable
1.1	Reputation of Organization and Staff Credibility / Reliability / Industry Standing (up to 20 pts.)	20
1.2	General Organizational Capability which is likely to affect implementation	40
	 Financial stability "Annual turnover over 15,000 USD" (no – 0 pts., 15,000 USD – 30 pts., each 1,000 USD – 1 pt., up to max. 40 pts.) 	
1.3	Extent to which any work would be subcontracted (subcontracting carries additional risks which may affect project implementation, but properly done it offers a chance to access specialized skills.)	15
	 Certain development processes will be outsourced (no – 15 pts., yes – 0 pts.) 	
1.4	Quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures:	30
	 Q&A and Warranty procedures proposed (up to 30 pts.) 	
1.5	 Previous proven corporate experience of at least 5 years in research area (5 years - 30 pts., each additional year - 10 pts., up to max 70 pts.); Experience in Transnistrian is an advantage (no - 0 pts., yes - 40 pts.); Professional experience of at least 3 years in conducting quantitative social surveys area (3 years - 30 pts., each additional year - 10 pts., up to max 60 pts.); Experience in elaborating qualitative research methodology (no - 0 pts., yes - 40 pts.); Working experience with UN Entities/major multilateral/ or bilateral programmes (no - 0 pts., yes - 10 pts.) 	220
1.6	 Sustainable Criteria / Gender equality and diversity commitments: Overall gender balance in the team (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.) Appointment of women to managerial positions in the team (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.) Diversity within the team: people from minority, vulnerable or marginalized groups are part of the team (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.) 	15
	Total Section 1	340

Section 2. Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan	Points obtainable
 2.1 To what degree does the Proposer understand the assignment: The Proposer has a full understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology fully demonstrate responsiveness to the ToR – <i>up to 80 pts.;</i> The Proposer has a satisfactory understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology correspond to the TOR but require some adjustments to properly address all the tasks – <i>up to 50 pts.;</i> The Proposer has a limited understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology don't correspond to the TOR and require major adjustments to properly address the tasks – <i>up to 20 pts.</i> 	80
 2.2 Description of the Offeror's approach and methodology, including questionnaires, agenda of field data collection meeting, information on requirements that are exceeding the Terms of Reference: The presented methodology is appropriate for the assignment, all important aspects being fully described, and requirements addressed – up to 110 pts.; The presented methodology requires some adjustments to fully incorporate all aspects and requirements of the assignment – up to 80 pts.; The presented methodology requires major adjustments to address all the aspects and requirements of the assignment – up to 45 pts. 	110
 2.3 The preliminary implementation plan is clear, the sequence of project phases, activities, milestones and planning are logical, realistic and the needed human and material resources promise an efficient implementation of the project: The proposed plan is clear, well-structured with a defined and realistic sequence of activities, all needed human and material resources to be allocated by the bidder promise an efficient implementation of the project – <i>up to 80 pts.;</i> The proposed plan is clear, well-structured with a defined but lowly realistic sequence of activities and the needed human and material resources to be allocated by the bidder certainly are not sufficient – <i>up to 65 pts.;</i> The proposed plan is not well structured and doesn't present a clear sequence of activities and the needed human and material resources to be allocated by the bidder certainly are not 30 pts. 	80
 2.4 Is the scope of the task well defined and does it correspond to the TOR? The scope of the task is well defined and does correspond to the TOR – up to 90 pts.; The scope of the task requires improvements and adjustments in order to correspond to the TOR – up to 55 pts.; The scope of the task is not well defined and partially corresponds to the TOR – up to 20 pts. 	90
Total Section 2	360

Sectio	n 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel		Points obtainable
3.1	Qualifications of key personnel proposed		
3.1 a	Team Leader		150
	Master's degree in Social Sciences, Economics, Political Science or other relevant fields (<i>Master's degree – 5 pts, PhD degree – 10 pts.</i>)	10	
	Proven experience of at least 5 years in supervising/team leading, ability to analyze, plan, communicate effectively orally and in written form, draft reports, solve problems, organize and meet the expected results (5 years – 10 pts., each additional year – 5 pts., up to a max. of 35 pts.)	35	
	Experience of at least 3 years in conducting research, surveys, focus-group discussions, other forms of sociological surveys (3 years – 10 pts., each additional year – 5 pts., up to a max. of 30 pts.) Experience in the Transnistrian region would be considered an advantage $(no - 0 pts., yes - 10 pts.)$	40	
	Experience in coordinating similar research projects (less than 1 year – 0 pts.; 1 year – 5 pts.; each additional year – 5 pts., up to maxi. 25 pts.)	25	
	Facilitation skills to agree on survey design with multiple stakeholders (no – 0 pts., yes – 15 pts.)	15	
	Demonstrated understanding of ethical and (de facto) regulatory frameworks surrounding the undertaking of attitude surveys, including in the Transnistrian region; (no – 0 pts., yes – 10 pts.)	10	
	Experience in working with UN or other donors is considered to be an asset $(no - 0 pts., yes - 10 pts.)$	10	
	Language qualifications (fluency in Romanian or Russian is mandatory, English is an asset) (<i>Romanian, Russian – 2 pts. each, English – 1 pt., up to a max. 5 pts.</i>)	5	
3.1 b	Experts (2 experts - the total number of points shall be calculated as an average be totals received by each expert)	petween the	150
	Master's degree in Social Sciences, Economics, Political Science, or other relevant fields (<i>Master's degree – 10 pts, PhD degree – 25 pts.</i>)	25	
	At least 5 years of practical experience of research and expertise on Human rights (5 years – 10 pts,. each additional year – 10 pts., up to max. 40 pts.)	40	
	Professional experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys on vulnerable groups experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys on Roma would be considered a strong advantage (less than 1 year – 0 pts.; 1 year – 10 pts.; each additional year – 10 pts., up to max. 40 pts.)	50	
	Experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys on Roma will be a strong advantage ($no - 0$ pts., yes $- 10$ pts.)		
	Familiarity with qualitative research methodology (no – 0 pts., yes – 15 pts.)	15	
	Experience in working with UN or other donors for similar team-based research projects (less than 1 year -0 pts.; 1 year -5 pts.; each additional year -5 pts., up to maximum 15 pts.)	15	

Т	tal Section 3	30
English is an asset) (Romanian, Russian – 2 pts. each, English – 1 pt., up to max of 5 pts.)		
Language qualifications (fluency in Romanian and Russian is mandator		

Section 5. Terms of Reference

Post title:	Research company to conduct the survey on the current human rights situation of Roma, including Roma refugees, on both banks of the Nistru river and underlying causes that limit their access to human rights
Project:	UN Human Rights Office in Moldova (OHCHR)

BACKGROUND

The UN Human Rights Office in Moldova (OHCHR) represents the world's commitment to universal ideals of human dignity. It has a unique mandate from the international community to promote and protect all human rights.

The OHCHR field presence in Moldova, led by the Human Rights Adviser, assists the UN RC Office, UN Country Team in Moldova (UNCT), Government, and civil society in strengthening human rights and human rights-based approaches, working closely with the UN Country Team on capacity building and mainstreaming human rights in their work, as well as providing support in engagement with national actors on human rights, including advising national authorities upon request. Thematic priorities for the work of the Office are: (i) Strengthening rule of law and accountability for human rights violations; (ii) Enhancing equality and countering discrimination; (iii) Integrating human rights in sustainable development; (iv) Enhancing civic space and people's participation.

One of the activities planned by OHCHR in 2022 is to conduct research to identify the current human rights situation and needs of Roma and underlying causes that limit access to their human rights on both banks of the Nistru river, taking into consideration the COVID-19 pandemic that has been amplified by the energy and economic crises, and humanitarian and refugee crises caused by the war in Ukraine. Based on the findings, a set of recommendations will be developed, and measures will be proposed to respond to identified needs. This will be done to prevent Roma from being left behind (further), by facilitating respect, protection, and promotion of their human rights on both banks of the Nistru river.

OHCHR plans to conduct a full-fledged analysis of the human rights situation of Roma on both banks of the Nistru river, including Roma refugees, that would include different qualitative and quantitative research methodologies and tools, such as: desk review, survey, in-depth interviews with experts, focus group discussions². For this, OHCHR is looking for a sociological company that would provide support in developing the research methodology (developing the research instruments and sampling), data collection, and analysis.

RATIONALE

Sociological studies are important tools for the assessment of the situation in the field of human rights,

² The research methodology will be finally developed and approved in cooperation with the research company and human rights consultant that will be selected for this purpose

including of vulnerable groups, such as Roma. In addition, they can serve as means for the identification of gaps in ensuring equality and countering discrimination. They measure developments and can support the planning of further strategic and/or targeted actions. The data collected through the sociological survey, in-depth interviews and focus group discussions, as part of the study, will be collated with the information/findings of the desk review of international human rights standards and recommendations, national and regional policies and decisions with human rights impact on the situation of Roma on both banks of the Nistru river.

OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE OF SERVICE

OHCHR Moldova seeks to contract one company to conduct a survey that reflects the perception of Roma of their human rights situation as well as underlying causes for their limited access to human rights, including Roma refugees on both banks of the Nistru river. The company will work under the supervision of the OHCHR Human Rights Adviser, the Human Rights Officer, and the Thematic Area Coordinator, in close collaboration with a human rights consultant selected for the purpose of assessing the human rights situation of Roma on both banks of Nistru river. The study, among others, will analyze and expose vulnerabilities of Roma in the context of the energy and economic crises and associated socio-economic disruptions, as well as refugee crisis.

The national company is expected to produce the following:

1. QUANTITATIVE SURVEY AMONG ROMA ON THE RIGHT BANK OF THE NISTRU RIVER

Sample: adult Roma, mainly in the localities densely populated by the Roma population

The population of the survey: The population of the survey is people 18+.

Proposed sample size: 401 questionnaires/interviews will be conducted on the right bank of the Nistru river in the localities densely populated by Roma communities³. The diversity aspect will be followed, with disaggregation by gender, age, disability, and rural/urban population.

The questionnaire shall be applied in Romanian or Russian depending on the respondents' preferences.

2. FOCUS GROUPS ON THE RIGHT AND THE LEFT BANK OF THE NISTRU RIVER

Sample type: representative of Roma adult population (18+), including Roma refugees, from at least 8 localities densely populated by Roma on both banks of the Nistru river. Six focus groups discussions will be conducted for local Roma and Roma refugees⁴ on both banks of the Nistru river.

Note: the sociological company will work jointly and in close cooperation with the human rights consultant that will be selected by OHCHR to develop the study/report on the human rights situation of Roma on both banks of the Nistru river and the underlying causes that limit their access to human rights.

The Contractor will be responsible for the following tasks:

a) In consultation with the OHCHR team and jointly with the consultant, who will develop the study on the human rights situation of Roma, including refugees, on both banks of the Nistru river⁵

³ The exact sampling will be established jointly with the sociological company

⁴ Roma refugees accommodated in the households and in the Refugee Accommodation Centers.

⁵ A human rights consultant will be selected by OHCHR to develop the study and work together with the sociological company in developing the

(further referred to as human rights consultant), develop the survey questionnaires and interview guide for the focus group discussions;

- b) Prepare the sampling;
- c) Train the interviewers;
- d) Conduct field data collection and data validation;
- e) Develop tables and graphs based on the results of the survey⁶
- f) Prepare and submit a technical report on the survey, and focus groups conducted with the consultant.

KEY OUTPUTS

The Survey is expected to be in line with the **key basic principles**, including:

- national ownership (through the involvement of key relevant stakeholders to contribute to the compilation of the report);
- independence of analysis;
- quality of analysis;
- participatory and inclusive preparation;
- flexibility and creativity in presentation (clear and user-friendly manner).

DELIVERABLES AND INDICATIVE TIMEFRAME

The contracted company will develop a detailed work plan following the below tentative schedule. Performing the mentioned above activities, the Contractor will be responsible for delivery of the main deliverables:

Del	verables	Tentative timeline
1.	Development, in close consultation with OHCHR, of the survey questionnaire and the sample preparation for the survey, the interview guide for the focus groups discussions. Testing of the questionnaire.	By 7 November 2022
2.	Data collection and validation.	By 30 November 2022
3.	Data provision (development of the statistical report and tabulation).	By 20 December 2022

All written deliverables should be agreed with OHCHR and be provided electronically. The language of delivery is to be proposed as part of the bid process. Bids which include submission of final survey results in Romanian or English are encouraged.

TIMEFRAME

The specific timeframe for conducting the surveys is **November-December 2022**.

research instruments

⁶ The company will work closely with and will provide guidance to the human rights consultant on the interpretation/analysis of the survey data

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS, COMPETENCIES, AND EXPERIENCE:

a) Company related competencies

- Previous proven corporate experience of at least 5 years in research;
- Professional experience of at least 3 years in conducting quantitative social surveys, experience in the Transnistrian region is an advantage;
- Experience in elaborating qualitative research methodology;
- Work for UN entities/ major multilateral/ or bilateral programmes.

b) Research team related competencies (CVs of the individual team members will be evaluated separately)

Team leader

Education and general qualifications:

- Master's degree in Social Sciences, Economics, political science or other relevant fields;
- Experience in supervising/team leading, ability to analyze, plan, communicate effectively
 orally and in written form, draft reports, solve problems, organize, and meet the expected
 results (proven experience of at least 5 years);
- Experience of at least 3 years in conducting research, surveys, focus-group discussions, other forms of sociological surveys, experience in the Transnistrian region would be considered an advantage;
- Experience in coordinating similar research projects;
- Facilitation skills to agree on survey design with multiple stakeholders;
- Demonstrated understanding of ethical and (de facto) regulatory frameworks surrounding the undertaking of attitude surveys, including in the Transnistrian region;
- Experience in working with UN or other donors is considered to be an asset;
- Language qualifications (fluency in Romanian or Russian is mandatory, English is an asset).

Experts

Education and general qualifications:

- Master's degree in Social Sciences, Economics, political science or other relevant fields;
- At least five 5 years of practical experience of research and expertise on Human rights;
- Professional experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys on vulnerable groups, experience in developing and conducting quantitative social surveys on Roma would be considered a strong advantage;
- Familiarity with qualitative research methodology;
- Experience in working with UN or other donors for similar team-based research projects;
- Language qualifications (fluency in Romanian and Russian is mandatory for all individual experts, English is an asset).

SECTION 6: CONDITIONS OF CONTRACT AND CONTRACT FORMS

6.1 The types of Contract to be signed and the applicable UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions, as specified in Data Sheet, can be accessed at http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html

6.2 Special Conditions of Contract

The following Special Conditions of Contract (SCC) shall supplement and/or amend the General Conditions of Contract. Whenever there is a conflict, the provisions herein shall prevail over those in the GCC:

Liquidated Damages will be imposed as follows:

Percentage of contract price per day of delay: **0.3 %.** Max. number of days of delay: 30, after which UNDP may terminate the contract.