

## RFP25/03100: Company to develop of a Feasibility Study and Concept Design Package for the Ecological Rehabilitation of the Lower Dniester Oxbow

United Nations Development Programme, through its **Supporting the Moldovan authorities in the sustainable management of the Dniester River/Dniester 2 Project** hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
- Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
- · Section 3: Data Sheet
- Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
- Section 5: Terms of Reference
- Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
- Section 7: Proposal Forms
- · Form A: Proposal Confirmation
- · Form B: Checklist
- · Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- · Form D: Proposer Information
- · Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- · Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- · Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- · Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- · Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters,



namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00781.** Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <a href="http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/">http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/</a> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at <a href="mailto:sc.md@undp.org">sc.md@undp.org</a>. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

**UNDP Moldova** 





## **Table of Contents**

1 Overview	5
1.1 General Information	5
1.2 Tender Timeline	7
1.3 Response Rules	7
1.4 Terms	7
2 Requirements	8
2.1 Section 1. General Provisions	8
2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination	9
2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility	11
2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification	12
2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria	
2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation	
2.7 Section I-1.	22
2.8 Section I-2.	22
2.9 Section I-3.	22
3 Lines	23
3.1 Line Information	23



#### 1 Overview

#### 1.1 General Information

Title RFP25/03100:Dniester2/ Development of a Feasibility Study and Concept

Design

Contact Point Procurement Unit

**Outcome** 

Two Stage Evaluation Yes

E-Mail sc.md@undp.org

Reference Number RFP25/03100

Beneficiary Country MDA

Introduction

RFP25/03100: Company to develop of a Feasibility Study and Concept Design Package for the Ecological Rehabilitation of the Lower Dniester Oxbow

United Nations Development Programme, through its **Supporting the Moldovan authorities in the sustainable management of the Dniester River/Dniester 2 Project** hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
- Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
- · Section 3: Data Sheet
- Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
- Section 5: Terms of Reference
- Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
- Section 7: Proposal Forms



- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
- Form B: Checklist
- Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- Form D: Proposer Information
- Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- · Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00781.** Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <a href="http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/">http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/</a> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at <a href="mailto:sc.md@undp.org">sc.md@undp.org</a>. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make



sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

**UNDP** Moldova

This is a two stage negotiation and all responses will be evaluated in two stages.

#### 1.2 Tender Timeline

**Preview Date** 

**Open Date** 31/07/25 11:12 AM **Close Date** 28/08/25 13:30 PM

Time Zone Coordinated Universal Time

#### 1.3 Response Rules

This negotiation is governed by all the rules displayed below.

11110 11	ogoliation to governou by an tito rates displayed below.	
	Rule	
$\checkmark$	Suppliers are allowed to revise their submitted response	

#### 1.4 Terms

Negotiation Currency USD

#### **Eligible Response Currencies**

Check the one currency in which you will enter your response.

	Response Currency	Description	Price Precision
100	USD	US Dollar	2
	MDL	Moldovan Leu	2



## 2 Requirements

\*Response is required


## Dear supplier,

Please carefully review the requirements and questions in this section.

Provide answers where required (marked with \*asterisk symbol) and upload supporting documents when requested so (marked with \*asterisk symbol).

Kindly note that your Financial Proposal (Forms K-J) should ONLY be uploaded under Section 6 (the "Financial Evaluation" section below/ Commercial), and price should also be included in the Price Schedule on the platform under "Lines".

Please note that there are several Sections to be filled in, under the <u>"Requirements"</u> (2) step on the right side the page:



#### 2.1 Section 1. General Provisions

#### \*1. General Instructions to Proposers

This tender is governed by the provisions in Section 2. Instructions to Proposers herewith attached. By participating and submitting an offer you confirm to have understood and accepted such provisions.

Target: Confirm acceptance of instructions and provisions of this tender

#### \*2. Bid Data Sheet

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet contains information and instructions specific to this Tender. Please confirm to have read, understood, and accepted such provisions, herewith attached.

Target: Have read and understood provisions in BDS

#### 3. Criteria for Evaluation and Contract Award

Combined Scoring Method, using the 70%-30% distribution for technical and financial proposals respectively. The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%.

#### **Technical Proposal (70%)**

- Bidder's Qualification, Capacity and Experience



- Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan
- Management Structure and Key Personnel

#### Financial Proposal (30%)

To be computed as a ratio of the Proposal's offer to the lowest price among the proposals received by UNDP.

Full acceptance of the UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions (GTC). This is a mandatory criterion and cannot be deleted regardless of the nature of services required. Non-acceptance of the GTC may be grounds for the rejection of the Proposal.

#### 2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination

#### \*1. General Conditions of Contract

Do you accept the General Conditions of Contract as specified in Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms?

Target: Accept General Conditions of Contract.

#### \*2. Proposal Validity

Do you accept that your proposal is valid for 90 days as required in Section 2. General Instructions and Section 3. Bid Data Sheet sections?

Target: Accept Proposal Validity Conditions.

#### \*3. Proposal Forms

Please provide Technical Proposal Forms (A-I) as per forms provided, duly signed by a legal representative of your company. Do not provide the Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) at this stage. The Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) shall be submitted only in the "Commercial section" below. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms J and K. Noncompliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received.

Target: Proposal Forms Submitted

#### \*4. Company profile

Please provide a Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including list of relevant institutions the Company has been cooperating with, including the topic and year must be presented together with the application package.

Target: Company profile provided

#### \*5. Legal documents

Please provide Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration.

Target: Legal documents provided

#### 6. List of Shareholders and Other Entities Financially Interested in the Firm

Please provide the List of Shareholders and Other Entities Financially Interested in the Firm owning 5% or more of the stocks and other interests, or its equivalent if Bidder is not a corporation including the Certificate from State Register

Response attachments are optional.

#### 7. Official Letter of Appointment or Power of Attorney

Please provide an Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if Bidder is submitting a Bid on behalf of an entity located outside the country or the Power of Attorney.



Response attachments are optional.

#### \*8. TaxRegistration/Payment Certificate

Please provide Tax Registration/Payment Certificate issued by the Internal Revenue Authority evidencing that the Bidder is updated with its tax payment obligations, or Certificate of Tax exemption, if any such privilege is enjoyed by the Bidder

#### \*9. Financial Statement

Please provide the Latest Audited Financial Statements (Income Statements and Balance Sheets) including Auditor's Reports (for international companies) or registered Financial Report at the Statistical Bureau (for local companies) for the past 3 (three) years for the Bidder (2022-2024)

Target: Financial Statements provided

#### \*10. Statement of Satisfactory Performance

Please provide the Statements of Satisfactory Performance (references) from the Top three 3 Clients' statements confirming satisfactory performance by the Proposer, each JV partner/Subcontractor (if the case), on the contracts of highest value carried out, during the past 5 (five) years, by each intended participant

Target: Statement of Satisfactory Performance provided

#### 11. Copy of preliminary Agreement

Please upload a Copy of preliminary Agreement in case of Consortium

Response attachments are optional.

#### \*12. Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan

Please provide a detailed description of the Methodology, Approach, and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services required in the ToR, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel

Target: Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan provided

## \*13. Copies of contracts

Please provide copies of contracts to prove that Offeror meets the similar experience requirement (stated under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria)

#### \*14. CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability

Please provide list of qualified key personnel, together with CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability (signed by the envisaged person) of the Key personnel (mentioned under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria), including experience relevant to the required skills

Target: CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability provided

#### 15. Quality Certificates

Please provide (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any

Response attachments are optional.

#### 16. Environmental Compliance Certificates

Please provide Environmental Compliance Certificates, Accreditations, Markings/Labels, and other evidence of the Proposer's practices which contributes to the ecological sustainability of reduction of environment impact (e.g., use of non-toxic substances, recycled raw materials, energy-efficient equipment, reduced carbon emission, etc.)



## 2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility

# 1. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility Eligibility will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

## **Eligibility Criteria**

Eligibility Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
Legal Status: Proposer is a legally registered entity	Form D: Proposer Information
Diversity, Inclusion and Belonging: Proposer belongs to a diverse supplier group, including micro, small or medium sized enterprise, women or youth owned business or other.	Form D: Proposer Information
Eligibility: Vendor is not suspended, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization, the World Bank Group or any other International Organisation in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Bankruptcy: The Proposer has not declared bankruptcy, in not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission



its operations in the foreseeable future	
---	--

## \*2. Compliance with Minimum Eligibility Criteria

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Eligibility Criteria?

## **2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification**

#### 1. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification

Qualification will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

#### **Qualifications Criteria**

Documents	to esta	ablish
compliance		
	Eligibility	and
	Eligibility	and
	Eligibility	and
	compliance Form F: Qualification  Form F: Qualification	Form F: Eligibility Qualification  Form F: Eligibility Qualification  Form F: Eligibility



requirement).	
Demonstrated experience in at least three (3) assignments involving development of feasibility studies, technical designs, or environmental and social impact assessments for ecosystem restoration, nature-based solutions, or infrastructure projects	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).	
Minimum Key Personnel:	
	Duly signed CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability, including any other supporting
Restoration Specialist (1)	documents, attached to Form H: Format for CV of
2. Hydrologist / Water Engineer (1)	proposed Key Personnel
Ecologist / Biodiversity Expert (1)	
4. Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Specialist (1)	
5. Technical Designer / Civil Engineer (1)	
6. Community Liaison / Stakeholder Engagement Specialist (1)	
7. GIS and Remote Sensing Specialist (1)	
Please note: Position of Team leader can be combined with another position (but only one) listed above, if the person has the minimum qualifications required.  (For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).	



Financial Standing:	
Liquidity: The Ratio Average current assets / Current liabilities over the last 3 (three) years must be equal or greater than 1. Proposers must include in their Proposal audited balance sheets cover the last 3 (three) years.	statements for the last 3 (three) years.  Form F: Eligibility and
(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).	
<b>Turnover:</b> Proposers should have annual sales turnover of minimum 30,000 USD for the last 3 (three) years.	statements for the last 3 (three) years.
(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification

[1]Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employer's decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.

#### \*2. Compliance with Minimum Qualification Criteria

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Qualification Criteria?

#### 2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria

#### 1. Technical Evaluation Criteria

The technical proposal will be evaluated based on the criteria set here below following the maximum obtainable points set.

Evaluation team will score each criteria based on the information provided in the proposal.

To pass the technical evaluation, the proposal must score at least 70% of the maximum total obtainable points.



Summary of technical proposal evaluation sections		Points obtainable	
1.	Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	300	
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan	400	
3.	Management structure and key personnel	300	
	Total	1000	

Section 1. Propo	ser's qualification, capacity and experience	Points obtainable
1.1	Reputation of organisation and staff credibility / industry standing  - A company is a well-known market player, based on reference letters – up to 15 pts.  - Financial statement: (At least \$30 000 of average annual turnover for last three years – 15 pts., between \$30 000 and \$50 000 – 25 pts; more than \$50 000 – 35 pts)	50
1.2	General organisational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted.  - Age/size of the firm (5 years - 10 pts, > 5 years - 5 pts for each additional year up to max. 30 pts).  - Project management controls (organigram) - up to 20 pts	50
1.3	Relevance of specialised knowledge and experience on similar engagements done in the region / country  - At least 5 (five) years of experience in implementing projects related to ecological restoration, river or wetland rehabilitation, water resources management or related	170



	Total Section 1 300
	- Organisation is compliant with ISO 14001 or ISO 14064 or equivalent – 10 points  - Organisation is a member of the UN Global Compact – 5 points  - Organisation demonstrates significant commitment to sustainability through some other means (for example internal company policy documents on women empowerment, climate change, renewable energies or membership of trade institutions promoting such issues) – 15 points
.4	Organisation Commitment to Sustainability
	guidelines (e.g., Ramsar Convention, EU Water Framework Directive, or equivalent) (1 assignment - 10 pts, for each additional assignment - 5 pts, up to max. of 25 pts).  - Working experience with UN Agencies and/or other international organizations will be an asset (no - 0 pts., yes - 10 pts.).
	- Demonstrated experience in at least one (1) assignment aligned with international environmental standards and
	-Demonstrated experience in at least two (2) assignments related to wetland or floodplain restoration, waterbody rehabilitation, or biodiversity conservation in the Dniester River Basin (2 assignments – 10 pts, for each additional assignment - 5 pts, up to max. of 25 pts).
	- Demonstrated experience in at least three (3) assignments involving development of feasibility studies, technical designs, or environmental and social impact assessments for ecosystem restoration, nature-based solutions, or infrastructure projects. (3 assignments – 20 pts, for each additional assignment -10 pts, up to max. of 60 pts).
	<ul> <li>30 pts, &gt; 5 years - 5 pts for each additional year up to max. of 50 pts)</li> </ul>



Section 2. Propos	sed methodology approach and implementation plan	Points obtainable
2.1	Understanding of the requirement: Have the important aspects of the task been addressed in sufficient detail?	
	- The Proposer has full understanding of the assignment. The important aspects of the task have been addressed in sufficient detail in a manner which does not require any further clarification on the proposed methodology – up to 80 pts.	
	- The Proposer has satisfactory understanding of the assignment. The important aspects of the task have been addressed in a manner which requires some clarification on the proposed methodology – up to 50 pts.	80
	- The Proposer has limited understanding of the assignment. The important aspects of the task have not been addressed in sufficient detail and require major clarification on the proposed methodology – up to 20 pts.	
2.2	Description of the Proposer's approach and methodology for meeting or exceeding the requirements of the Terms of Reference	
	- The proposed approach and methodology fully demonstrate responsiveness to the ToR- up to 100 pts.	
	- The proposed approach and methodology correspond to the TOR but require some adjustments to properly address all the tasks up to 65 pts.	100
	- The proposed approach and methodology don't correspond to the TOR and require major adjustments to properly address the tasks up to 30 pts.	
2.3	Details on how the different service elements shall be organised, controlled and delivered	
	- Different service elements of the assignment are adequately weighted relative to one another - up to 50 pts.	50
	- Different service elements of the assignment have been partially weighted relative to one another – up to 30 pts.	30
	- The different service elements of the assignment have not been weighted relative to one another – up to 10 pts.	



2.4	Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement  - The proposed M&E methodology fully responds to the engagement – up to 50 pts.  - The proposed M&E methodology is well-structured and defined but requires some clarifications from bidder – up to 25 pts.  - The proposed M&E methodology requires major adjustments to address the tasks –up to 10 pts.  - No M&E methodology was provided – 0 pts.	50
2.5	Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic  - The Implementation Plan is well structured with well-defined sequence of activities in a manner which does not require further clarification on methodology – up to 70 pts.  - The Implementation Plan is well structured with well-defined sequence of activities but some clarification on methodology is needed – up to 40 pts.  - The presentation of the implementation plan is not well structured and requires major clarifications from bidder – up to 10 pts.	70
	Description of the quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures:  To what extent does the proposal include robust quality assurance mechanisms to ensure effective, timely, and results-oriented implementation of activities (no quality assurance mechanisms are described – 0 pts.; some quality measures are included but lack detail or consistency (10 pts.); comprehensive and realistic quality assurance system is provided (25 pts.)  How well are the key risks to project implementation identified, and how appropriate and realistic are the proposed mitigation strategies (No risks identified and no mitigation measures proposed – 0 pts.; Several relevant risks identified with some mitigation strategies but lacks	50



	integration or depth – 10 pts.; Risks are thoroughly analysed; mitigation strategies are well-developed, proactive, and integrated into the implementation plan – 25 pts.)	
Total Section 2		400

Section 2 Management Strue	ture and Kay Parcannal		Points
Section 3. Management Struc	ture and Key Personner		obtain
	Team leader / Wetlands Restoration Specialist		
	<ul> <li>University degree in economics, public administration, environmental sciences, water resources management, hydrology, civil/hydrotechnical engineering, or a related field (University degree – 5 pts., master's degree 10 pts., PhD. – 15 pts.)</li> </ul>	15	
1	<ul> <li>At least 7 (seven) years of experience in ecosystem restoration, integrated water resources management, or wetland rehabilitation (7 years – 10 pts., each additional year – 2.5 pts., up to a max. of 20 pts.)</li> </ul>	20	60
	<ul> <li>Proven leadership in managing multi- disciplinary teams for at least 2 projects related to development of feasibility studies, technical designs, or environmental and social impact assessments for ecosystem restoration, nature- based solutions, or infrastructure projects. (2 assignments - 5 pts., each additional project - 5 pts., up to max 15 pts.)</li> </ul>	15	
	<ul> <li>Proficiency in Romanian and English language (particularly for developing and submitting reports)</li> <li>(Romanian no – 0 pts., yes – 5pts / English no – 0</li> </ul>	10	
	pts., yes - 5 pts) Hydrologist / Water Engineer		
2	University degree in hydrology, hydraulic engineering, water resources management, or a closely related discipline(University degree – 5	15	-



			_
	pts., master's degree 10 pts or PhD. – 15 pts.)  • At least 5 (five) years of experience in river basin hydrology, floodplain analysis, and modelling of surface water systems(5 years – 5 pts., each additional year – 2 pts., up to a max. of 15 pts.)	15	
	Proven experience in developing hydrological models and assessing water retention or reconnection scenarios (2 assignments-5 pts., each additional assignment – 2.5 pts., up to a max of 10 pts.)	10	50
	<ul> <li>Proficiency in Romanian and/or English language</li> <li>(Romanian no - 0 pts., yes - 5pts / English no - 0 pts., yes - 5 pts)</li> </ul>	10	
	Ecologist / Biodiversity Expert		
	<ul> <li>University degree in biology, ecology, environmental sciences, or natural resource management (University degree – 5 pts., master's degree -10 pts; PhD. – 15 pts.)</li> </ul>	15	
3	At least 5 (five) years of experience in biodiversity assessments, habitat restoration, and ecosystem services valuation (5 years -5 pts., each additional year - 2 pts., up to a max. of 15 pts.)	15	45
	Proven experience with species of conservation concern, or habitat mapping using Ramsar criteria, or with invasive species control and rewilding techniques (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.)	5	
	<ul> <li>Proficiency in Romanian and/or English language</li> <li>(Romanian no – 0 pts., yes – 5pts / English no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts)</li> </ul>	10	
	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Specialist		
4	<ul> <li>University degree in environmental sciences, social sciences, environmental law, or a related discipline (University degree – 5 pts., master's degree -10 pts.)</li> </ul>	10	40
	At least 5 (five) years of experience in conducting Environmental and Social Impact Assessment in compliance with Moldovan Law No.	15	



			_
	86/2014 and international standard (5 years - 5		
	pts., each additional year - 2 pt., up to a max. of		
	15 pts.)		
	Proven experience in public consultations and		
	stakeholder engagement in rural or cross-river	10	
	contexts is an advantage (no - 0 pts., yes - 10	. •	
	pts.)		
	Proficiency in Romanian language	5	
	(Romanian no - 0 pts., yes - 5pts)	3	
	Civil/Hydrotechnical Engineer		
	·		
	University degree in civil engineering,		
	environmental engineering, or a related discipline	10	
_	(University degree – 5 pts., master's degree -10		
5	pts.)		
	At least 5 (five) years of experience in		
	technical design of ecological or water	15	40
	infrastructure(5 years - 5 pts., each additional		
	year – 2 pt., up to a max. of 15 pts.)		
	<ul> <li>Proven experience in producing engineering</li> </ul>	4.0	
	drawings, and specifications in line with national	10	
	legislation (no - 0 pts., yes - 10 pts.)		
	Proficiency in Romanian language	5	
	(Romanian no - 0 pts., yes - 5pts)	•	
	Community Liaison / Stakeholder Engagement Specialist		
	University degree in sociology, public		
	administration, or related field (University degree –	10	
	5 pts., master's degree -10 pts.)		
6	At least 3 (three) years of experience in in		
_	stakeholder engagement and participatory	15	
	planning(3 years – 5 pts., each additional year – 2	10	
	pt., up to a max. of 15 pts.)		40
	Proven experience in community-level		
	consultations in the context of ESIA according to	F	
	Moldovan Law No. 86/2014 and international	5	
	standard (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.)		
	Proficiency in Romanian and Russian		
	language	40	
		10	
	(Romanian no - 0 pts., yes - 5pts / English no - 0		
	pts., yes - 5 pts)		0.5
	GIS and Remote Sensing Specialist		25



7	<ul> <li>University degree in geoinformatics, geography, or related discipline (University degree</li> <li>5 pts., master's degree -10 pts.)</li> </ul>	10	
	At least 3 (three) years of experience in environmental or hydrological projects involving geospatial data(3 years – 5 pts., each additional year – 2.5 pts., up to a max. of 10 pts.)	10	
	<ul> <li>Proficiency in GIS software (e.g., QGIS, ArcGIS) preferably for wetland mapping, spatial analysis, and land cover assessment (no – 0 pts., yes – 5 pts.)</li> </ul>	5	
Total Section 3			30

## **2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation**

## \*1. Financial Proposal

Please provide the cost breakdown of your financial proposal as per Form K (Format for Financial Proposal) and instructions provided. Indicate the total amount here and make sure it matches with the total amount indicated line items.

Target: Cost breakdown provided

- 2.7 Section I-1.
- 2.8 Section I-2.
- 2.9 Section I-3.



#### 3 Lines

Instructions

The proposer is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the format from Forms J and K and submit them in an envelope separate from the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) as indicated in the Instruction to Proposers (these forms shall be duly uploaded under the Commercial Section 6 in "Requirements"). The inclusion of any financial information in the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) shall lead to <u>disqualification</u> of the Proposer.

Additionally, the Proposer shall complete the item line pricing below including the total proposal amount.

The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements of the Terms of Reference and the proposer's Technical Proposal.

The proposer shall select the applicable currency of proposal: MDL (Moldovan Leu) for local suppliers and USD (US Dollars) for international suppliers.

#### 3.1 Line Information

Line	Category Name	Item	UOM	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
1-Baseline Assessment and Data Synthesis Report	77101700						
2-Feasibility Study Report	77101700						
3- Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Report	77101700						
4-Concept Design Documentation Package	77101700						



Line	Category Name	Item	UOM	Estimated Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
5-Final Consolidated Assignment Report	77101700						