



RFP26/03220: Supply and Installation of Artificial Spawning Nests for Phytophilous Fish in the Iagorlic Creek, and Knowledge-Sharing Events.

United Nations Development Programme, through its "**Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River**" Project hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
 - Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
 - Section 3: Data Sheet
 - Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
 - Section 5: Terms of Reference
 - Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
 - Section 7: Proposal Forms
-
- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
 - Form B: Checklist
 - Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
 - Form D: Proposer Information
 - Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
 - Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
 - Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
 - Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
 - Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
 - Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
 - Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with



the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00912**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at sc.md@undp.org. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova





Table of Contents

1 Overview.....	5
1.1 General Information.....	5
1.2 Tender Timeline.....	7
1.3 Terms.....	7
1.4 Attachments.....	8
2 Requirements.....	9
2.1 Section 1. General Provisions.....	9
2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination.....	10
2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility.....	11
2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification	13
2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria	17
2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation.....	32
2.7 Section I-1.	32
2.8 Section I-2.	33
3 Lines.....	34
3.1 Line Information.....	34



1 Overview

1.1 General Information

Title	RFP26/03220: Supply and Installation of Artificial Spawning Nests
E-Mail	sc.md@undp.org
Financial Offer Sealed	Yes
Reference Number	PRC0164827/RFP26/03220
Beneficiary Country	MDA

Introduction

RFP26/03220: Supply and Installation of Artificial Spawning Nests for Phytophilous Fish in the Iagorlic Creek, and Knowledge-Sharing Events.

United Nations Development Programme, through its "**Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River**" Project hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
 - Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
 - Section 3: Data Sheet
 - Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
 - Section 5: Terms of Reference
 - Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
 - Section 7: Proposal Forms
-
- Form A: Proposal Confirmation



- Form B: Checklist
- Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- Form D: Proposer Information
- Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00912**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at sc.md@undp.org. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.



Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova

This is a two-envelope process. Financial proposals will be opened and evaluated only for offers that qualify from the technical evaluation.

1.2 Tender Timeline

Open Date 19/02/26 13:55 PM
Close Date 19/03/26 14:30 PM
Time Zone Coordinated Universal Time

1.3 Terms

Negotiation Currency USD (US Dollar)

Eligible Response Currencies

Check the one currency in which you will enter your response.

	Response	Description	Price Precision
--	----------	-------------	-----------------



	Currency		
<input type="checkbox"/>	USD	US Dollar	2
<input type="checkbox"/>	MDL	Moldovan Leu	2

1.4 Attachments

File Name or URL	Type	Description
RFP26_03220	File	
Guides_Suppliers	File	
RFP26_03220_Proposal Forms	File	

Note: The attachments above are part of general attachments to this Tender. There may be more attachments uploaded with requirement or price schedule. All attachments can be accessed from the portal directly

2 PART: TENDER REQUIREMENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Response is required*


Dear supplier,

Please carefully review the requirements and questions in this section.

Provide answers where required (marked with *asterisk symbol) and upload supporting documents when requested so (marked with *asterisk symbol).

Kindly note that your Financial Proposal (Forms K-J) should ONLY be uploaded under Section 6 (the "Financial Evaluation" section below/ Commercial), and price should also be included in the Price Schedule on the platform under "Lines".

Please note that there are several Sections to be filled in, under the "Requirements" (2) step on the right side the page:



2.1 Section 1. General Provisions

*1. General Instructions to Proposers

This tender is governed by the provisions in Section 2. Instructions to Proposers herewith attached. By participating and submitting an offer you confirm to have understood and accepted such provisions.

Target: Confirm acceptance of instructions and provisions of this tender

*2. Bid Data Sheet

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet contains information and instructions specific to this Tender. Please confirm to have read, understood, and accepted such provisions, herewith attached.

Target: Have read and understood provisions in BDS

3. Criteria for Evaluation and Contract Award

The lowest priced method which selects the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically responsive Proposers. The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%.

Full acceptance of the UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions (GTC). This is a mandatory criterion and cannot be deleted regardless of the nature of services required. Non-acceptance of the GTC may be



grounds for the rejection of the Proposal.

2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination

*1. General Conditions of Contract

Do you accept the General Conditions of Contract as specified in Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms?

Target: Accept General Conditions of Contract.

*2. Proposal Validity

Do you accept that your proposal is valid for 90 days as required in Section 2. General Instructions and Section 3. Bid Data Sheet sections?

Target: Accept Proposal Validity Conditions.

*3. Proposal Forms

Please provide Technical Proposal Forms (A-I) as per forms provided, duly signed by a legal representative of your company. **Do not provide the Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) at this stage. The Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) shall be submitted only in the "Commercial section" below. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms J and K. Non-compliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received.**

Target: Proposal Forms Submitted

*4. Company profile

Please provide a Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including list of relevant institutions the Company has been cooperating with, including the topic and year must be presented together with the application package.

Target: Company profile provided

*5. Legal documents

Please provide Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration.

Target: Legal documents provided

6. List of Shareholders and Other Entities Financially Interested in the Firm

Please provide certified details of the ownership of the Bidder company (including each member of a JV consortium), providing the percentage ownership, share or stockholding of each party with an interest exceeding 5% of the company ownership (or Annex 1 to Business Registration Certificate – for local companies)

Response attachments are optional.

*7. Tax Registration/Payment Certificate

Please provide Tax Registration/Payment Certificate issued by the Internal Revenue Authority evidencing that the Bidder is updated with its tax payment obligations, or Certificate of Tax exemption, if any such privilege is enjoyed by the Bidder

8. Official Letter of Appointment

Please provide an Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if Bidder is submitting a Bid on behalf of an entity located outside the country.

Response attachments are optional.

9. Internal Documents



Please provide internal documents such as Company Organigram, Quality Assurance and Risk mitigation procedures, Sustainability Commitments, etc.

***10. Financial Statement**

Please provide the Latest Audited Financial Statements (Income Statements and Balance Sheets) including Auditor's Reports (for international companies) or registered Financial Report at the Statistical Bureau (for local companies) for the past 3 (three) years for the Bidder (2022, 2023, 2024)

Target: Financial Statements provided

***11. Statement of Satisfactory Performance**

Please provide at least 3 Clients' statements confirming satisfactory performance by the Proposer, each JV partner/Subcontractor (if the case), on the contracts of highest value carried out, during the past 5 (five) years, by each intended participant

Target: Statement of Satisfactory Performance provided

12. Copy of preliminary Agreement

Please upload a Copy of preliminary Agreement in case of Consortium

Response attachments are optional.

***13. Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan**

Please provide a detailed description of the Methodology, Approach, and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services required in the ToR, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel

Target: Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan provided

***14. Copies of contracts**

Please provide copies of contracts to prove that Offeror meets the similar experience requirement (stated under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria)

***15. Technical Data Sheet**

Please provide detailed description of the goods (Artificial Spawning Nests) offered, including design and Technical Data Sheet (photos, leaflets and other available materials).

Target: Technical Data Sheet provided

***16. CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability**

Please provide list of qualified key personnel, together with CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability (signed by the envisaged person) of the Key personnel (mentioned under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria), including experience relevant to the required skills

Target: CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability provided

17. Quality Certificates

Please provide (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any

Response attachments are optional.

2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility

1. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility



Eligibility will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.
 If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligibility Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
Legal Status: Proposer is a legally registered entity	Form D: Proposer Information
Diversity, Inclusion and Belonging: Proposer belongs to a diverse supplier group, including micro, small or medium sized enterprise, women or youth owned business or other.	Form D: Proposer Information
Eligibility: Vendor is not suspended, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization, the World Bank Group or any other International Organisation in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Bankruptcy: The Proposer has not declared bankruptcy, is not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission



***2. Compliance with Minimum Eligibility Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Eligibility Criteria?

2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification

1. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification

Qualification will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Qualifications Criteria

Qualification Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
<p>History of non-performing contracts^[1]: Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default within the last 3 years¹.</p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Litigation History: No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Proposer for the last 3 years.</p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Previous Experience:</p>	
<p>The Proposer must demonstrate at least three (3) years of organizational experience in the implementation of nature-based, ecological, or low-impact technical interventions and/or applied aquatic biodiversity activities in rivers, tributaries, reservoirs, wetlands, or protected aquatic areas.</p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>



<p>Relevant experience may include, but is not limited to: field implementation of ecological or habitat enhancement measures; aquatic or riparian restoration activities; biodiversity-supporting interventions in freshwater ecosystems; applied aquatic biodiversity research; or field-based ecological or environmental monitoring activities relevant to freshwater habitats.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, Lead Party should meet requirement).</i></p>	
<p>The Proposer must demonstrate experience in at least one (1) completed assignment in monitoring and assessment of ecological impacts related to fish reproduction, aquatic habitats, or aquatic biodiversity, proportionate to the scope of this assignment. Relevant experience may include, but is not limited to: monitoring or observation of spawning activity or habitat use by fish species; observation and assessment of ecological outcomes of restoration or conservation measures; collection, analysis, and reporting of field-based ecological or biodiversity data; preparation of monitoring summaries, lessons learned, or adaptive recommendations based on observed impacts. Monitoring experience may be applied and field-based and commensurate with the scale and complexity of the proposed activities.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>



<p><i>Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	
<p>Minimum Key Personnel:</p>	
<p>The minimum personnel mandatory for the implementation of the contract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Team Leader / Project Coordinator (1) 2. Fisheries / Aquatic Ecology and Field Implementation Specialist (1) 3. Ecological Monitoring and Biodiversity Specialist (1) 4. Event Organization, Communication and Logistics Specialist (1) <p>The position of Team Leader / Project Coordinator may be combined with one (1) other position listed above, provided that the expert meets the minimum qualification and experience requirements for both roles.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Duly signed CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability, including any other supporting documents, attached to Form H: Format for CV of proposed Key Personnel</p>
<p>Financial Standing:</p>	
<p>Liquidity: The Ratio Average current assets / Current liabilities over the last 3 (three) years must be</p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years.</p>



<p>equal or greater than 1. Proposers must include in their Proposal audited balance sheets cover the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Turnover: Proposers should have annual sales turnover of minimum 60,000 USD for the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>

11 Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employer's decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.



***2. Compliance with Minimum Qualification Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Qualification Criteria?

2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria

1. Technical Evaluation Criteria

The technical proposal will be evaluated based on the criteria set here below following the maximum obtainable points set.

Evaluation team will score each criterion based on the information provided in the proposal.

To pass the technical evaluation, the proposal must score at least 70% of the maximum total obtainable points.

Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms

Summary of technical proposal evaluation sections		Points obtainable
1.	Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	200
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan	500
3.	Management structure and key personnel	300
	Total	1000



Section 1. Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience		Points obtainable
1.1	<p>Reputation of organisation and staff credibility / reliability / industry standing</p> <p>- <i>A company is a well-known market player, based on reference letters - up to 15 pts.</i></p> <p>- <i>Financial statement: (At least \$60 000 of average annual turnover for last three years - 15 pts., between \$60 001 and \$70 000 - 20 pts; more than \$70 001 - 25 pts)</i></p>	40
1.2	<p>General organisational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted.</p> <p>- <i>Age/size of the firm (3 years - 10 pts, > 3 years - 5 pts for each additional year up to max. 30 pts).</i></p> <p>- <i>Project management controls (organigram) (no - 0 pts, yes - 10 pts)</i></p>	40
1.3	Organizational Experience in Nature-Based and Aquatic Interventions	100



-The Proposer must demonstrate at least three (3) years of organizational experience in the implementation of nature-based, ecological, or low-impact technical interventions and/or applied aquatic biodiversity activities in rivers, tributaries, reservoirs, wetlands, or protected aquatic areas. Relevant experience may include, but is not limited to: field implementation of ecological or habitat enhancement measures; aquatic or riparian restoration activities; biodiversity-supporting interventions in freshwater ecosystems; applied aquatic biodiversity research relevant to freshwater habitats.

(3 years – 20 pts; > 3 years – 5 pts for each additional year, up to max. 40 pts)

Experience in Ecological Monitoring and Impact Assessment

-The Proposer must demonstrate experience in at least one (1) completed assignment in monitoring and assessment of ecological impacts related to fish reproduction, aquatic habitats, or aquatic biodiversity, proportionate to the scope of this assignment. Relevant experience may include, but is not limited to: monitoring or observation of spawning activity or habitat use by fish species; observation and assessment of ecological outcomes of restoration or conservation measures; collection, analysis, and reporting of field-based ecological or biodiversity data; preparation of monitoring summaries, lessons learned, or adaptive recommendations based on observed



	<p><i>impacts. Monitoring experience may be applied and field-based and commensurate with the scale and complexity of the proposed activities.</i></p> <p><i>(1 assignment – 10 pts, up to max. 30 pts)</i></p> <p>Integrated Implementation and Knowledge-Exchange Experience</p> <p><i>-The Proposer must demonstrate experience, combining practical field implementation of measures supporting aquatic habitat functionality or aquatic biodiversity with the organization or coordination of technical or knowledge-exchange activities, as relevant to the scope of this assignment. Relevant experience may include, but is not limited to: implementation or field application of habitat enhancement or biodiversity-supporting measures; creation, restoration, or management of aquatic or fish spawning habitats; and organization or facilitation of technical meetings, workshops, conferences, or other knowledge-exchange events related to aquatic ecosystems, fisheries, biodiversity conservation, or ecological monitoring. The demonstrated experience should reflect both hands-on field implementation and the capacity to support technical dialogue and knowledge exchange among stakeholders. Experience in implementing projects or activities on the left bank of the Nistru River will be considered an asset.</i></p>	
--	---	--



	<p><i>(each assignment – 10 pts, up to max. 20 pts)</i></p> <p>Working experience with UN Agencies and/or other international organizations will be an asset</p> <p><i>(no – 0 pts., yes – 10 pts.).</i></p>	
1.4	<p>Organisation Commitment to Sustainability</p> <p><i>- Organisation is compliant with ISO 14001 or ISO 14064 or equivalent – 5 points</i></p> <p><i>- Organisation is a member of the UN Global Compact – 5 points</i></p> <p><i>- Organisation demonstrates significant commitment to sustainability through some other means (for example internal company policy documents on women empowerment, climate change, renewable energies or membership of trade institutions promoting such issues) – 10 points</i></p>	20
Total Section 1		200



Section 2. Proposed methodology approach and implementation plan	Points obtainable
<p>2.1</p>	<p>Understanding of the Assignment and Compliance with Technical Specifications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Excellent Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a comprehensive and deep understanding of the assignment. The proposed goods fully comply with the ToR technical specifications and performance requirements and are supported by complete, clear documentation (technical sheets, manufacturer documentation, signed compliance statement etc.). Evidence demonstrates the ability to meet and potentially exceed ToR requirements: 100 pts.</i> •<i>Good Understanding. The proposer demonstrates good understanding of the assignment. The proposed goods comply with the ToR technical specifications and are supported by good documentation and evidence of ability to meet the requirements, with only minor clarifications needed: 90 pts.</i> •<i>Satisfactory Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a general understanding of the assignment. The proposed goods generally comply with the ToR technical specifications, supported by satisfactory but limited documentation. Some aspects may require clarification: 70 pts.</i> <p style="text-align: center;">100</p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Poor Understanding. The proposer shows limited understanding of the assignment. Compliance with the ToR technical specifications is partially demonstrated, with marginally acceptable or weak supporting evidence: 40 pts.</i> •<i>Very poor Understanding. The proposer demonstrates very little understanding of the assignment. The proposal includes goods but fails to provide adequate evidence of compliance with the ToR technical specifications: 10 pts.</i> •<i>No submission. Required information has not been submitted, is unacceptable, or the proposed goods do not meet the mandatory technical specifications: 0 pts</i> 	
2.2	<p>Is the conceptual framework adopted appropriate for the assignment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Excellent. The conceptual framework is fully appropriate for the assignment. All technical and performance requirements are comprehensively addressed. The methodology for ensuring compliance with the Terms of Reference requirements is clearly articulated: 120 pts</i> •<i>Good. The conceptual framework is appropriate and addresses the technical requirements well. Minor refinements may be possible but are not critical: 108 pts.</i> 	120



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Satisfactory. The conceptual framework is generally appropriate but may require some adjustments to fully incorporate all technical and performance requirements: 84 pts</i> • <i>Poor. The conceptual framework requires significant adjustments to adequately address most technical and performance requirements: 48 pts.</i> • <i>Very poor. The conceptual framework is largely inadequate, missing critical elements necessary to ensure compliance with the ToR technical specifications: 12 pts.</i> • <i>No submission. No conceptual framework provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
<p>2.3</p>	<p>Details on how the different service elements shall be organised, controlled and delivered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, clear, and well-structured explanation of how all service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 70 pts.</i> • <i>Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly complete description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 63 pts.</i> 	<p>70</p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic explanation of how service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 49 pts.</i> • <i>Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 28 pts.</i> • <i>Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 7 pts</i> • <i>No Submission. No details are provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
<p>2.4</p>	<p>Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, well-structured, and highly detailed description of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools: 70 pts.</i> • <i>Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly complete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 63 pts.</i> • <i>Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic description of</i> 	<p>70</p>



	<p><i>performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools: 49 pts.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 28 pts.</i> •<i>Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 7 pts</i> •<i>No Submission. No details are provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
<p>2.5</p>	<p>Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Excellent. The proposed plan is clear, well-structured with a defined and realistic sequence of activities; all needed human and material resources promise efficient implementation: 90 pts.</i> •<i>Good. The proposed plan is clear and structured, resources mostly sufficient for efficient implementation: 81 pts.</i> •<i>Satisfactory. The proposed plan is clear and structured with minor refinements possible, has a realistic sequence of activities if refined; resources may not be fully sufficient for implementation: 63 pts.</i> 	<p>90</p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Poor. The proposed plan is partially structured, with significant gaps in activity sequence and resource allocation: 36 pts.</i> •<i>Very poor. The proposed plan is not well-structured, lacks clarity in sequence, and resources are largely missing: 9 pts</i> •<i>No Submission. No plan provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
	<p>Description of the quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures:</p> <p><i>To what extent does the proposal include robust quality assurance mechanisms to ensure effective, timely, and results-oriented implementation of activities (no quality assurance mechanisms are described - 0 pts.; some quality measures are included but lack detail or consistency (10 pts.); comprehensive and realistic quality assurance system is provided (25 pts.)</i></p> <p><i>How well are the key risks to project implementation identified, and how appropriate and realistic are the proposed mitigation strategies (No risks identified and no mitigation measures proposed - 0 pts.; Several relevant risks identified with some mitigation strategies but lacks integration or depth - 10 pts.; Risks are thoroughly analysed; mitigation strategies are well-developed, proactive, and integrated into the implementation</i></p>	<p>50</p>



	<i>plan - 25 pts.)</i>	
Total Section 2		500

Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel		Points obtainable	
1	Team Leader / Project Coordinator	90	
	University degree in environmental sciences, biology, ecology, fisheries, natural resource management, or a related field <i>(University degree - 5 pts; Master's - 10 pts; PhD - 15 pts)</i>		15
	At least 7 (seven) years of professional experience in coordination or management of biodiversity conservation, fisheries, ecological restoration, or applied environmental projects, including demonstrated ability to work within national regulatory and institutional frameworks in the Republic of Moldova or comparable contexts <i>(7 years - 15 pts; each</i>		25



	<p><i>additional year - 5 pts; max. 25 pts)</i></p>	
	<p>Proven leadership in managing multidisciplinary teams and assignments for government institutions, international donors, or development partners</p> <p><i>(1 assignment - 5 pts; each additional assignment - 5 pts; max. 20 pts)</i></p>	20
	<p>Experience coordinating field-based ecological interventions (e.g. habitat enhancement, biodiversity measures, fisheries-related actions)</p> <p><i>(1 assignment - 10 pts; 2 or more assignments - 20 pts)</i></p>	20
	<p>Romanian and Russian language proficiency required <i>(5 pts each language)</i></p>	10
	<p>Fisheries / Aquatic Ecology and Field Implementation Specialist</p>	
2	<p>University degree in fisheries science, ichthyology, biology, ecology, or a related discipline</p> <p><i>(University degree - 5 pts; Master's - 10 pts; PhD - 15 pts)</i></p>	15
		90



	<p>At least 5 (five) years of professional experience in fish ecology, fisheries management, or aquatic habitat restoration, including field-based work</p> <p><i>(5 years - 10 pts; each additional year - 5 pts; max. 30 pts)</i></p>	30	
	<p>Proven experience in field implementation of measures supporting aquatic habitat functionality or fish reproduction (e.g. habitat enhancement, restoration measures, ecological structures or comparable interventions)</p> <p><i>(1 assignment - 15 pts; 2 or more assignments - 25 pts)</i></p>	25	
	<p>Experience in applied observation or assessment of fish presence, spawning activity, or habitat use</p> <p><i>(no - 0 pts; yes - 15 pts)</i></p>	15	
	<p>Romanian language proficiency required (yes - 5 pts)</p>	5	
	Ecological Monitoring and Biodiversity Specialist		
3	<p>University degree in ecology, biology, environmental sciences, aquatic ecology, biodiversity conservation, or a related discipline</p> <p><i>(University degree - 5 pts., master's degree -10 pts; PhD. - 15 pts.)</i></p>	15	70



	<p>At least 5 (five) years of experience in applied ecological or biodiversity monitoring in freshwater ecosystems</p> <p><i>(5 years – 10 pts; each additional year – 5 pts; up to max. 25 pts)</i></p>	25	
	<p>Proven experience in applied monitoring and assessment of aquatic biodiversity, fish reproduction, or habitat effectiveness, including field data collection and reporting</p> <p><i>(1 assignment – 15 pts; 2 or more assignments – 25 pts)</i></p>	25	
	<p>Romanian language proficiency required (yes – 5 pts)</p>	5	
4	<p>Event Organization, Communication and Logistics Specialist</p> <p>University degree in communications, public administration, social sciences, environmental sciences, event management, business administration, or a related field</p> <p><i>(University degree – 5 pts; Master's degree – 10 pts) — 10 pts</i></p>	10	50



	<p>Relevant professional experience in organization of technical, scientific, or stakeholder engagement events</p> <p>(1 event - 10 pts; 2-3 events - 15 pts)</p>	15	
	<p>Experience in coordination of logistics, agendas, participant management, and documentation (minutes, reports, summaries) (no - 0 pts; yes - 10 pts)</p>	10	
	<p>Experience with donor-funded or international projects</p> <p>(no - 0 pts; yes - 5 pts)</p>	5	
	<p>Romanian and Russian language proficiency required (5 pts each language)</p>	10	
Total Section 3			300

2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation

***1. Financial Proposal**

Please provide the cost breakdown of your financial proposal as per Form K (Format for Financial Proposal) and instructions provided. Indicate the total amount here and make sure it matches with the total amount indicated line items.

Target: Cost breakdown provided

2.7 Section I-1.



2.8 Section I-2.



3 PART: Schedule of Requirement and Price Schedule

Instructions

The proposer is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the format from Forms J and K and submit them in an envelope separate from the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) as indicated in the Instruction to Proposers.

The inclusion of any financial information in the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) shall lead to disqualification of the Proposer.

The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements of the Terms of Reference and the proposer's Technical Proposal.

Kindly note that bidders are expected to complete both Form J and K and upload these forms under the Commercial Section 6 in "Requirements" as well as complete the item line pricing below including the total proposal amount.

3.1 Line Information

Line Description	Category	Item	UOM	Requested Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
1-Artificial spawning nests supplied and installed	Fishery industry and technology						
2-Monitoring summaries	Fishery industry and technology						
3-Report on Two Technical Review Meetings	Fishery industry and technology						
4-Final report	Fishery industry and technology						

*For Additional Attributes of lines, please review the negotiation lines from supplier portal.

