



RFP26/03274: Development of a Study on Illicit Environmental Activities Affecting the Water Resources in the Dniester River Basin and Delivery of Targeted Information and Training Activities to Strengthen Water Resources Compliance and Enforcement.

United Nations Development Programme, through its "**Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River**" Project hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
 - Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
 - Section 3: Data Sheet
 - Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
 - Section 5: Terms of Reference
 - Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
 - Section 7: Proposal Forms
-
- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
 - Form B: Checklist
 - Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
 - Form D: Proposer Information
 - Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
 - Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
 - Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
 - Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
 - Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
 - Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
 - Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out



in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00996**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at sc.md@undp.org. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova



Table of Contents

1 Overview.....	4	4
1.1 General Information.....	4	4
1.2 Tender Timeline.....	6	6
1.3 Terms.....	6	6
1.4 Attachments.....	7	7
2 Requirements.....	8	8
2.1 Section 1. General Provisions.....	8	8
2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination.....	9	9
2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility.....	10	10
2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification	11	11
2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria	15	15
2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation.....	29	29
2.7 Section I-1.	30	30
2.8 Section I-2.	30	30
3 Lines.....	31	31
3.1 Line Information.....	31	31



1 Overview

1.1 General Information

Title	RFP26/03274: Study on Illicit Environmental Activities
E-Mail	sc.md@undp.org
Financial Offer Sealed	Yes
Reference Number	PRC0175931/RFP26/03274
Beneficiary Country	MDA
Introduction	

RFP26/03274: Development of a Study on Illicit Environmental Activities Affecting the Water Resources in the Dniester River Basin and Delivery of Targeted Information and Training Activities to Strengthen Water Resources Compliance and Enforcement.

United Nations Development Programme, through its "**Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River**" Project hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
- Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
- Section 3: Data Sheet
- Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
- Section 5: Terms of Reference
- Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
- Section 7: Proposal Forms



- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
- Form B: Checklist
- Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- Form D: Proposer Information
- Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-00996**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at sc.md@undp.org. Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.



Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova

This is a two-envelope process. Financial proposals will be opened and evaluated only for offers that qualify from the technical evaluation.

1.2 Tender Timeline

Open Date 15/05/26 05:05 AM
Close Date 11/06/26 13:30 PM
Time Zone Coordinated Universal Time

1.3 Terms

Negotiation Currency USD (US Dollar)

Eligible Response Currencies

Check the one currency in which you will enter your response.

	Response	Description	Price Precision
--	----------	-------------	-----------------



	Currency		
<input type="checkbox"/>	USD	US Dollar	2
<input type="checkbox"/>	MDL	Moldovan Leu	2

1.4 Attachments

File Name or URL	Type	Description
RFP26_03274_Proposal Forms	File	
Guides for Suppliers	File	
RFP26_03274	File	

Note: The attachments above are part of general attachments to this Tender. There may be more attachments uploaded with requirement or price schedule. All attachments can be accessed from the portal directly

2 PART: TENDER REQUIREMENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

**Response is required*


Dear supplier,

Please carefully review the requirements and questions in this section.

Provide answers where required (marked with *asterisk symbol) and upload supporting documents when requested so (marked with *asterisk symbol).

Kindly note that your Financial Proposal (Forms K-J) should ONLY be uploaded under Section 6 (the "Financial Evaluation" section below/ Commercial), and price should also be included in the Price Schedule on the platform under "Lines".

Please note that there are several Sections to be filled in, under the "Requirements" (2) step on the right side the page:



2.1 Section 1. General Provisions

*1. General Instructions to Proposers

This tender is governed by the provisions in Section 2. Instructions to Proposers herewith attached. By participating and submitting an offer you confirm to have understood and accepted such provisions.

Target: Confirm acceptance of instructions and provisions of this tender

*2. Bid Data Sheet

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet contains information and instructions specific to this Tender. Please confirm to have read, understood, and accepted such provisions, herewith attached.

Target: Have read and understood provisions in BDS

3. Criteria for Evaluation and Contract Award

The lowest priced method which selects the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically responsive Proposers. The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%.

Full acceptance of the UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions (GTC). This is a mandatory criterion and cannot be deleted regardless of the nature of services required. Non-acceptance of the GTC may be



grounds for the rejection of the Proposal.

2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination

***1. General Conditions of Contract**

Do you accept the General Conditions of Contract as specified in Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms?

Target: Accept General Conditions of Contract.

***2. Proposal Validity**

Do you accept that your proposal is valid for 90 days as required in Section 2. General Instructions and Section 3. Bid Data Sheet sections?

Target: Accept Proposal Validity Conditions.

***3. Proposal Forms**

Please provide Technical Proposal Forms (A-I) as per forms provided, duly signed by a legal representative of your company. **Do not provide the Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) at this stage. The Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) shall be submitted only in the "Commercial section" below. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms J and K. Non-compliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received.**

Target: Proposal Forms Submitted

***4. Company profile**

Please provide a Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including list of relevant institutions the Company has been cooperating with, including the topic and year must be presented together with the application package.

Target: Company profile provided

***5. Legal documents**

Please provide Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration.

Target: Legal documents provided

6. List of Shareholders and Other Entities Financially Interested in the Firm

Please provide certified details of the ownership of the Bidder company (including each member of a JV consortium), providing the percentage ownership, share or stockholding of each party with an interest exceeding 5% of the company ownership (or Annex 1 to Business Registration Certificate – for local companies)

Response attachments are optional.

***7. TaxRegistration/Payment Certificate**

Please provide Tax Registration/Payment Certificate issued by the Internal Revenue Authority evidencing that the Bidder is updated with its tax payment obligations, or Certificate of Tax exemption, if any such privilege is enjoyed by the Bidder

8. Official Letter of Appointment

Please provide an Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if Bidder is submitting a Bid on behalf of an entity located outside the country.

Response attachments are optional.

9. Internal Documents



Please provide internal documents such as Company Organigram, Quality Assurance and Risk mitigation procedures, Sustainability Commitments, etc.

***10. Financial Statement**

Please provide the Latest Audited Financial Statements (Income Statements and Balance Sheets) including Auditor's Reports (for international companies) or registered Financial Report at the Statistical Bureau (for local companies) for the past 3 (three) years for the Bidder (2023, 2024, 2025)

Target: Financial Statements provided

***11. Statement of Satisfactory Performance**

Please provide at least 2 Clients' statements confirming satisfactory performance by the Proposer, each JV partner/Subcontractor (if the case), on the contracts of highest value carried out, during the past 10 (ten) years, by each intended participant

Target: Statement of Satisfactory Performance provided

12. Copy of preliminary Agreement

Please upload a Copy of preliminary Agreement in case of Consortium

Response attachments are optional.

***13. Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan**

Please provide a detailed description of the Methodology, Approach, and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services required in the ToR, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel

Target: Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan provided

***14. Copies of contracts**

Please provide copies of contracts to prove that Offeror meets the similar experience requirement (stated under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria)

***15. CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability**

Please provide list of qualified key personnel, together with CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability (signed by the envisaged person) of the Key personnel (mentioned under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria), including experience relevant to the required skills

Target: CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability provided

16. Quality Certificates

Please provide (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any

Response attachments are optional.

2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility

1. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility

Eligibility will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Eligibility Criteria



Eligibility Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
Legal Status: Proposer is a legally registered entity	Form D: Proposer Information
Diversity, Inclusion and Belonging: Proposer belongs to a diverse supplier group, including micro, small or medium sized enterprise, women or youth owned business or other.	Form D: Proposer Information
Eligibility: Vendor is not suspended, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization, the World Bank Group or any other International Organisation in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
Bankruptcy: The Proposer has not declared bankruptcy, is not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission

***2. Compliance with Minimum Eligibility Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Eligibility Criteria?

2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification



1. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification

Qualification will be evaluated on "Pass"/"Fail" basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Qualifications Criteria

Qualification Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
<p>History of non-performing contracts[1]: Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default within the last 3 years¹.</p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Litigation History: No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Proposer for the last 3 years.</p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Previous Experience:</p>	
<p>Minimum 5 years of demonstrated organisational experience in at least one of the following areas: environmental governance / environmental compliance and enforcement; water resources management; environmental crime / inspection / enforcement systems; analytical studies and assessments in the environmental sector</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, Lead Party should meet</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>



<p>requirement).</p>	
<p>Demonstrated experience in at least two (2) assignments of similar nature and complexity implemented over the last 5 years, demonstrating experience in: analytical studies / research assignments in environmental or water sector; and/or capacity-building / training delivery for public authorities or enforcement institutions</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Minimum Key Personnel:</p>	
<p>The minimum personnel mandatory for the implementation of the contract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Team Leader / Capacity Building Lead - 1 (one) 2. International Environmental Enforcement Expert - 1 (one) 3. Senior Environmental Crime Expert - 1 (one) 4. Fisheries & Aquatic Ecosystems Specialist - 1 (one) 5. Forestry / Illegal Logging Specialist - 1 (one) 1. Field Researcher - 1 	<p>Duly signed CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability, including any other supporting documents, attached to Form H: Format for CV of proposed Key Personnel</p>



<p>(one)</p> <p><i>Please note: The above listed roles can be cumulated by certain team members, but not more than two roles per team member, clarifying in the Methodology the reasoning for such approach and distribution of tasks.</i></p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	
<p>Financial Standing:</p>	
<p>Liquidity: The Ratio Average current assets / Current liabilities over the last 3 (three) years must be equal or greater than 1. Proposers must include in their Proposal audited balance sheets cover the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Turnover: Proposers should have annual sales turnover of minimum 55,500.00 USD for the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years.</p> <p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>



[1] Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employer's decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.

***2. Compliance with Minimum Qualification Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Qualification Criteria?

2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria

1. Technical Evaluation Criteria

The technical proposal will be evaluated based on the criteria set here below following the maximum obtainable points set.

Evaluation team will score each criterion based on the information provided in the proposal.

To pass the technical evaluation, the proposal must score at least 70% of the maximum total obtainable points.

Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms



Summary of technical proposal evaluation sections		Points obtainable
1.	Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	250
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan	450
3.	Management structure and key personnel	300
	Total	1000

Section 1. Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience		Points obtainable
1.1	<p>Reputation of organisation and staff credibility / reliability / industry standing</p> <p>- A company is a well-known market player, based on reference letters - up to 15 pts.</p> <p>- Financial statement: (between \$55 500 and \$70 000 of average annual turnover for last three years - 15 pts., between \$70 001 and \$80 000 - 25 pts; more than \$80 001 - 35 pts)</p>	50



<p>1.2</p>	<p>General organisational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted.</p> <p>- Age of the legal entity 5 years – 20 pts, > 5 years – 10 pts for each additional year, up to max. 40 pts).</p> <p>- Project management controls (organigram) (no – 0 pts, yes - 20 pts)</p>	<p>60</p>
<p>1.3</p>	<p>Relevance of specialised knowledge and experience on similar engagements done in the region / country</p> <p>- Minimum 5 years of demonstrated organisational experience in at least one of the following areas: environmental governance / environmental compliance and enforcement; water resources management; environmental crime / inspection / enforcement systems; analytical studies and assessments in the environmental sector.</p> <p>(5 years – 20 pts.; each additional year – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 35 pts.)</p> <p>- Demonstrated experience in at least two (2) assignments of similar nature and complexity implemented over the last 5 years, demonstrating experience in analytical studies / research assignments</p>	<p>120</p>



	<p>in the environmental or water sector and/or capacity-building / training delivery for public authorities or enforcement institutions.</p> <p>(2 assignments – 20 pts.; each additional assignment – 10 pts.; up to a maximum of 50 pts.)</p> <p>- Demonstrated specialised experience in analysing environmental pressures and supporting environmental compliance and enforcement processes, including identification of enforcement gaps, environmental risks, illicit environmental activities, institutional coordination mechanisms, or development of practical recommendations for environmental compliance and enforcement authorities.</p> <p>(each assignment – 10 pts.; up to a maximum of 30 pts.)</p> <p>- Demonstrated working experience with UN Agencies and/or other international organizations.</p> <p>(no – 0 pts.; yes – 5 pts.)</p>	
<p>1.4</p>	<p>Organisation Commitment to Sustainability</p> <p>- Organisation is compliant with ISO 14001 or ISO 14064 or equivalent – 5 points</p>	<p>20</p>



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Organisation is a member of the UN Global Compact – 5 points - Organisation demonstrates significant commitment to sustainability through some other means (for example internal company policy documents on women empowerment, climate change, renewable energies or membership of trade institutions promoting such issues) – 10 points 	
Total Section 1		250

Section 2. Proposed methodology approach and implementation plan		Points obtainable
2.1	<p>Understanding of the Assignment and Compliance with requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Excellent Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a comprehensive and deep understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted and supported by excellent evidence of ability to support and exceed ToR requirements: 100 pts.</i> • <i>Good Understanding. The proposer demonstrates good understanding of the assignment and provided good evidence of ability to support the ToR requirements: 90 pts.</i> • <i>Satisfactory Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a general understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted are supported by satisfactory evidence of ability to support ToR</i> 	100



	<p>requirements: 70 pts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Poor Understanding. The proposer shows limited understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted are supported by marginally acceptable or weak evidence of ability to support ToR requirements: 40 pts.</i> •<i>Very poor Understanding. The proposer demonstrates very little understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted but not supported by evidence to demonstrate ability to comply with ToR requirements: 10 pts.</i> •<i>No submission. Information has not been submitted or is unacceptable:0pts</i> 	
2.2	<p>Is the conceptual framework adopted appropriate for the assignment?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •<i>Excellent. The conceptual framework is fully appropriate for the assignment. All requirements are comprehensively addressed. The methodology for ensuring compliance with the Terms of Reference requirements is clearly articulated: 100 pts</i> •<i>Good. The conceptual framework is appropriate and addresses the requirements well. Minor refinements may be possible but are not critical: 90 pts.</i> •<i>Satisfactory. The conceptual framework is generally appropriate but may require some adjustments to fully incorporate all technical and performance requirements: 70 pts</i> •<i>Poor. The conceptual framework requires significant adjustments to adequately address most technical and performance requirements: 40 pts.</i> •<i>Very poor. The conceptual framework is</i> 	100



	<p><i>largely inadequate, missing critical elements necessary to ensure compliance with the ToR technical specifications: 10 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•No submission. No conceptual framework provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i></p>	
<p>2.3</p>	<p>Details on how the different service elements shall be organised, controlled and delivered:</p> <p><i>•Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, clear, and well-structured explanation of how all service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 60 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly completed description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 54 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic explanation of how service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 42 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 24 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 6 pts</i></p> <p><i>•No Submission. No details are provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i></p>	<p>60</p>
<p>2.4</p>	<p>Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement</p> <p><i>•Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, well-structured, and highly detailed description of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and</i></p>	<p>60</p>



	<p>tools: 60 pts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly complete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 54 pts.</i> • <i>Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic description of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools: 42 pts.</i> • <i>Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 24 pts.</i> • <i>Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 6 pts</i> • <i>No Submission. No details are provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
<p>2.5</p>	<p>Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Excellent. The proposed plan is clear, well-structured with a defined and realistic sequence of activities; all needed human and material resources promise efficient implementation: 80 pts.</i> • <i>Good. The proposed plan is clear and structured, resources mostly sufficient for efficient implementation: 72 pts.</i> • <i>Satisfactory. The proposed plan is clear and structured with minor refinements possible,</i> 	<p>80</p>



	<p><i>has a realistic sequence of activities if refined; resources may not be fully sufficient for implementation: 56 pts.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Poor. The proposed plan is partially structured, with significant gaps in activity sequence and resource allocation: 32 pts.</i> • <i>Very poor. The proposed plan is not well-structured, lacks clarity in sequence, and resources are largely missing: 8 pts.</i> • <i>No Submission. No plan provided or completely unacceptable: 0 pts.</i> 	
	<p>Description of the quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures:</p> <p><i>To what extent does the proposal include robust quality assurance mechanisms to ensure effective, timely, and results-oriented implementation of activities (no quality assurance mechanisms are described – 0 pts.; some quality measures are included but lack detail or consistency (10 pts.); comprehensive and realistic quality assurance system is provided (25 pts.)</i></p> <p><i>How well are the key risks to project implementation identified, and how appropriate and realistic are the proposed mitigation strategies (No risks identified and no mitigation measures proposed – 0 pts.; Several relevant risks identified with some mitigation strategies but lacks integration or depth – 10 pts.; Risks are thoroughly analysed; mitigation strategies are well-developed, proactive, and integrated into the implementation plan – 25 pts.)</i></p>	50



Total Section 2	450
------------------------	------------

Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel			Points obtainable
1	Team Leader / Capacity Building Lead		60
	Advanced university degree in environmental sciences, law, public administration, natural resources management, or related field. (Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)	10	
	Minimum 7 years of relevant professional experience in environmental governance, environmental enforcement, or related fields, including coordination of multidisciplinary teams and delivery of capacity-building activities. (7 years – 5 pts.; each additional year – 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)	15	
	Proven experience in similar assignments, including analytical studies, stakeholder coordination, and training delivery for public authorities.	20	



	(each assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 20 pts.)		
	Fluency in English and Romanian languages. Working knowledge of Russian is an asset. (English – 5 pts.; Romanian – 5 pts.; Russian – 5 pts.)	15	
2	International Environmental Enforcement Expert		55
	Advanced university degree in environmental law, environmental sciences, criminology, public administration, or related field. (Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)	10	
	Minimum 10 years of professional experience in environmental enforcement, compliance assurance, or investigation of environmental offences, preferably within EU or comparable regulatory contexts. (10 years – 5 pts.; each additional year – 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 10 pts.)	10	
	Demonstrated experience with EU environmental enforcement approaches, including inspection systems, risk-based controls, evidence management, and inter-institutional coordination (e.g. IMPEL or similar). (each assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 20 pts.)	20	



	<p>Fluency in English language. Working knowledge of Romanian and Russian is an asset.</p> <p>(English – 5 pts.; Romanian – 5 pts.; Russian – 5 pts.)</p>	15	
3	Senior Environmental Crime Expert		
	<p>University degree in law, criminology, environmental sciences, or related field.</p> <p>(Bachelor – 3 pts.; Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)</p>	10	55
	<p>Minimum 7 years of experience in prevention, investigation, or analysis of environmental offences (criminal and/or contravention level).</p> <p>(7 years – 5 pts.; each additional year – 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 10 pts.)</p>	10	
	<p>Proven experience in environmental enforcement procedures, evidence handling, case-building, and institutional coordination.</p> <p>(each assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 20 pts.)</p>	20	
	<p>Fluency in Romanian language. Working knowledge of English and Russian is an asset.</p> <p>(Romanian – 5 pts.; English – 5 pts.;</p>	15	



	Russian - 5 pts.)		
4	Fisheries & Aquatic Ecosystems Specialist		45
	University degree in fisheries, biology, ecology, aquaculture or related field. (Bachelor - 3 pts.; Master - 5 pts.; PhD - 10 pts.)	10	
	Minimum 7 years of experience in fisheries management and/or aquatic ecosystem assessment. (7 years - 5 pts.; each additional year - 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 11 pts.)	11	
	Demonstrated experience in analysing impacts of environmental pressures (including illegal or unsustainable activities) on aquatic ecosystems. (each assignment - 3 pts.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)	15	
	Fluency in Romanian language. Working knowledge of English and Russian is an asset. (Romanian - 3 pts.; English - 3 pts.; Russian - 3 pts.)	9	



5	Forestry / Illegal Logging Specialist		45
	University degree in forestry, ecology, natural resources management or related field. (Bachelor – 3 pts.; Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)	10	
	Minimum 7 years of experience in forest protection, governance, or illegal logging analysis. (7 years – 5 pts.; each additional year – 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 11 pts.)	11	
	Demonstrated experience in analysing degradation of riparian forest belts and related environmental pressures. (each assignment – 3 pts.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)	15	
	Fluency in Romanian language. Working knowledge of English and Russian is an asset. (Romanian – 3 pts.; English – 3 pts.; Russian – 3 pts.)	9	
	Field Researcher		40
	University degree in a relevant field (e.g. environmental sciences, geography, sociology, economics, public administration, law or other related disciplines)	9	



6	(Bachelor – 5 pts.; Master – 9 pts.)	
	<p>Minimum 3 years of experience in field data collection, stakeholder interviews, and engagement with local communities, including work in environmental or rural contexts.</p> <p>(3 years – 5 pts.; each additional year – 1 pt.; up to a maximum of 10 pts.)</p>	10
	<p>Demonstrated experience in supporting data collection, surveys, or field-based inputs for analytical studies or assessments, including coordination with local stakeholders.</p> <p>(each assignment – 3 pts.; up to a maximum of 12 pts.)</p>	12
	<p>Fluency in Romanian language. Working knowledge of English and Russian is an asset.</p> <p>(Romanian – 3 pts.; English – 3 pts.; Russian – 3 pts.)</p>	9
Total Section 3		300

2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation



***1. Financial Proposal**

Please provide the cost breakdown of your financial proposal as per Form K (Format for Financial Proposal) and instructions provided. Indicate the total amount here and make sure it matches with the total amount indicated line items.

Target: Cost breakdown provided

2.7 Section I-1.

2.8 Section I-2.



3 PART: Schedule of Requirement and Price Schedule

Instructions

The proposer is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the format from Forms J and K and submit them in an envelope separate from the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) as indicated in the Instruction to Proposers.

The inclusion of any financial information in the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) shall lead to disqualification of the Proposer.

The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements of the Terms of Reference and the proposer's Technical Proposal.

Kindly note that bidders are expected to complete both Form J and K and upload these forms under the Commercial Section 6 in "Requirements" as well as complete the item line pricing below including the total proposal amount.

3.1 Line Information

Line Description	Category	Item	UOM	Requested Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
1-Study on Illicit Environmental Activities affecting the water resources in the Dniester River Basin District	Environmental impact assessment						
2-Report on Study Presentation Information Event on Key Findings and Recommendations of the Study on Illicit Environmental Activities affecting the water resources in the Dniester River Basin District	Environmental impact assessment						



Line Description	Category	Item	UOM	Requested Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
3-Report on Delivery of Training Sessions for Environmental Inspectors - Right Bank	Environmental impact assessment						
4-Report on Information Event on the Left Bank of the Dniester River	Environmental impact assessment						

*For Additional Attributes of lines, please review the negotiation lines from supplier portal.