



**RfP26/03306: Piloting of Methodologies for the Assessment of Hydromorphological Alterations and the Identification of Heavily Modified and Artificial Surface Water Bodies on the Ichel River**

United Nations Development Programme, through its **"Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River" Project** hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
  - Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
  - Section 3: Data Sheet
  - Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
  - Section 5: Terms of Reference
  - Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
  - Section 7: Proposal Forms
- 
- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
  - Form B: Checklist
  - Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
  - Form D: Proposer Information
  - Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
  - Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
  - Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
  - Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
  - Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
  - Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
  - Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender



following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-01016**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at [sc.md@undp.org](mailto:sc.md@undp.org). Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.

Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova



## Table of Contents

1 Overview.....		4
1.1 General Information.....		4
1.2 Tender Timeline.....		6
1.3 Terms.....		6
1.4 Attachments.....		6
2 Requirements.....		8
2.1 Section 1. General Provisions.....		8
2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination.....		8
2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility.....		10
2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification .....		11
2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria .....		13
2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation.....		26
2.7 Section I-1. ....		26
2.8 Section I-2. ....		26
2.9 Section I-3. ....		27
3 Lines.....		28
3.1 Line Information.....		28



## 1 Overview

### 1.1 General Information

<b>Title</b>	RfP26/03306_Piloting Methodologies for Assessment of Hydromorpho Alterations
<b>E-Mail</b>	sc.md@undp.org
<b>Financial Offer Sealed</b>	Yes
<b>Reference Number</b>	PRC0179805/RfP26/03306
<b>Beneficiary Country</b>	MDA

#### Introduction

#### **RfP26/03306: Piloting of Methodologies for the Assessment of Hydromorphological Alterations and the Identification of Heavily Modified and Artificial Surface Water Bodies on the Ichel River**

United Nations Development Programme, through its "**Supporting the Moldovan Authorities in the Sustainable Management of the Dniester River**" Project hereby invites prospective proposers to submit a proposal in accordance with the General Conditions of Contract and the Terms of Reference as set out in this Request for Proposal (RFP).

To enable you to submit a proposal, please read the following attached documents carefully.

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
- Section 2: Instruction to Proposers
- Section 3: Data Sheet
- Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
- Section 5: Terms of Reference
- Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms
- Section 7: Proposal Forms

- Form A: Proposal Confirmation
- Form B: Checklist



- Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
- Form D: Proposer Information
- Form E: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information
- Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
- Form G: Format for Technical Proposal
- Form H: Format for CV of proposed key personnel
- Form I: Statement of Exclusivity and Availability
- Form J: Financial Proposal Submission
- Form K: Format for Financial Proposal

If you are interested in submitting a proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the deadline for submission of proposals set out in Section 3: Data Sheet.

Should you be interested to submit a proposal, please log in to the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal and subscribe to this tender following the instructions in the system user guide. Please search for the tender using search filters, namely **Negotiation ID: UNDP-MDA-01016**. Once subscribed to the tender, you will be able to receive notifications in case of amendments of the tender document and requirements.

Please indicate whether you intend to submit a bid by creating a draft response without submitting directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal.

Offers must be submitted directly in the Quantum NextGenERP supplier portal following this link: <http://supplier.quantum.partneragencies.org/> using the profile you may have in the portal (please log in using your username and password). In case you have never registered before, follow the [Supplier Portal Registration Link](#).

Should you require further clarifications on the application through the Quantum online portal, kindly contact the Procurement Unit at [sc.md@undp.org](mailto:sc.md@undp.org). Please pay attention that the proposal shall be submitted online through the Quantum system and any proposal sent to the above email shall be disqualified.

Should you require further clarifications on the Request for Proposal, Terms of Reference or other requirements, kindly communicate using the messaging functionality in the portal.



Deadline for Submission of Offers (Date and Time), which is visible in the online procurement system will be final. System will not accept submission of any proposal after that date and time. It is the responsibility of the bidder to make sure that the proposal is submitted prior to this deadline for submission.

Bidders are advised to upload proposal documents and to submit their offer a day prior or well before the date and time indicated under the deadline for submission of Offers. Do not wait until last minute. If Bidder faces any issue during submitting offers at the last minutes prior to the deadline for submission, UNDP may not be able to assist on such a short notice and will not be held liable in such instance. UNDP will not accept any offer that is not submitted directly through the System.

We look forward to receiving your proposal.

UNDP Moldova

**This is a two-envelope process. Financial proposals will be opened and evaluated only for offers that qualify from the technical evaluation.**

## 1.2 Tender Timeline

**Open Date** 11/06/26 13:11 PM  
**Close Date** 02/07/26 13:30 PM  
**Time Zone** Coordinated Universal Time

## 1.3 Terms

**Negotiation Currency** USD (US Dollar)

### Eligible Response Currencies

*Check the one currency in which you will enter your response.*

	Response Currency	Description	Price Precision
<input type="checkbox"/>	USD	US Dollar	2
<input type="checkbox"/>	MDL	Moldovan Leu	2

## 1.4 Attachments

File Name or URL	Type	Description
Guides_Suppliers	File	
PROPOSAL FORMS	File	



<b>File Name or URL</b>	<b>Type</b>	<b>Description</b>
Request for Porposal	File	

Note: The attachments above are part of general attachments to this Tender. There may be more attachments uploaded with requirement or price schedule. All attachments can be accessed from the portal directly



## 2 PART: TENDER REQUIREMENT AND EVALUATION CRITERIA

*\*Response is required*

### 2.1 Section 1. General Provisions

#### \*1. General Instructions to Proposers

This tender is governed by the provisions in Section 2. Instructions to Proposers herewith attached. By participating and submitting an offer you confirm to have understood and accepted such provisions.

*Target: Confirm acceptance of instructions and provisions of this tender*

#### \*2. Bid Data Sheet

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet contains information and instructions specific to this Tender. Please confirm to have read, understood, and accepted such provisions, herewith attached.

*Target: Have read and understood provisions in BDS*

#### 3. Combined Scoring Method, using the 70%-30% distribution for technical and financial proposals respectively. The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%.

##### Technical Proposal (70%)

- Bidder's Qualification, Capacity and Experience
- Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan
- Management Structure and Key Personnel

##### Financial Proposal (30%)

To be computed as a ratio of the Proposal's offer to the lowest price among the proposals received by UNDP.

**Full acceptance of the UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions (GTC). This is a mandatory criterion and cannot be deleted regardless of the nature of services required. Non-acceptance of the GTC may be grounds for the rejection of the Proposal.**

### 2.2 Section 2. Evaluation Criteria - Preliminary Examination

#### \*1. General Conditions of Contract

Do you accept the General Conditions of Contract as specified in Section 6: Conditions of Contract and Contract Forms?

*Target: Accept General Conditions of Contract.*

#### \*2. Proposal Validity



Do you accept that your proposal is valid for 90 days as required in Section 2. General Instructions and Section 3. Bid Data Sheet sections?

*Target: Accept Proposal Validity Conditions.*

**\*3. Proposal Forms**

Please provide Technical Proposal Forms (A-I) as per forms provided, duly signed by a legal representative of your company. **Do not provide the Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) at this stage. The Financial Proposal Forms (J-K) shall be submitted only in the "Commercial section" below. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms J and K. Non-compliance with this instruction may result in rejection of the proposal received.**

*Target: Proposal Forms Submitted*

**\*4. Company profile**

Please provide a Company Profile, which should not exceed fifteen (15) pages, including list of relevant institutions the Company has been cooperating with, including the topic and year must be presented together with the application package.

*Target: Company profile provided*

**\*5. Legal documents**

Please provide Certificate of Incorporation/ Business Registration.

*Target: Legal documents provided*

**6. Official Letter of Appointment as Local Representative**

Please provide the Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, in case the Bid is submitted on behalf of an entity located outside the country.

*Response attachments are optional.*

**7. Internal Documents**

Please provide internal documents such as Company Organigram, Quality Assurance and Risk mitigation procedures, Sustainability Commitments, etc.

**\*8. Financial Statement**

Please provide the Latest Audited Financial Statements (Income Statements and Balance Sheets) including Auditor's Reports (for international companies) or registered Financial Report at the Statistical Bureau (for local companies) for the past 3 (three) years for the Bidder (2023, 2024, 2025)

*Target: Financial Statements provided*

**\*9. Client Statements**

2 Clients' statements confirming satisfactory performance by the Proposer, each JV partner/Subcontractor (if the case), on the contracts of highest value carried out, during the past 5 (five) years, by each intended participant

*Target: Statement of Satisfactory Performance provided*

**10. Copy of preliminary Agreement**

Please upload a Copy of preliminary Agreement in case of Consortium

*Response attachments are optional.*



**\*11. Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan**

Please provide the Methodology, Approach, and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services required in the ToR, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel and submission of necessary supporting information and documents as per ToR. The supporting information and documents shall include but shall not be limited to project management organizational chart with clear roles and responsibilities, as well as practices applied to interaction and collaboration within the project, including: project plan management, detailed activity planning, resource management, communication plan, change management, risk management, deliverable quality management, progress monitoring and reporting.

*Target: Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan provided*

**\*12. Copies of contracts**

Please provide copies of contracts to prove that Offeror meets the similar experience requirement (stated under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria)

**\*13. CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability**

Please provide list of qualified key personnel, together with CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability (signed by the envisaged person) of the Key personnel (mentioned under Section 4: Evaluation Criteria), including experience relevant to the required skills

*Target: CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability provided*

**14. Quality Certificates**

Please provide (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any

**\*15.**

**Environmental Compliance Certificates, Accreditations etc.**

Please provide the Environmental Compliance Certificates, Accreditations, Markings/Labels, and other evidence of the Proposer's practices which contributes to the ecological sustainability of reduction of environment impact (e.g., use of non-toxic substances, recycled raw materials, energy-efficient equipment, reduced carbon emission, etc.).

**2.3 Section 3. Evaluation Criteria - Minimum Eligibility**

1.

Eligibility Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
<b>Legal Status:</b> Proposer is a legally registered entity	Form D: Proposer Information
<b>Diversity, Inclusion and Belonging:</b> Proposer belongs to a diverse supplier group, including micro, small or medium sized enterprise, women or youth owned business or other.	Form D: Proposer Information



<b>Eligibility:</b> Vendor is not suspended, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization, the World Bank Group or any other International Organisation in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
<b>Conflict of Interest:</b> No conflicts of interest in accordance with Section 2 Article 4.	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission
<b>Bankruptcy:</b> The Proposer has not declared bankruptcy, in not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future	Form C: Technical Proposal Submission

**\*2. Compliance with Minimum Eligibility Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Eligibility Criteria?

**2.4 Section 4. Evaluation Criteria - Qualification**

1.

Qualification Criteria	Documents to establish compliance
<b>History of non-performing contracts[1]:</b> Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default within the last 3 years .	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
<b>Litigation History:</b> No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Proposer for the last 3 years.	Form F: Eligibility and Qualification
<b>Previous Experience:</b>	



<p>Minimum 5 years of demonstrated organisational experience in at least one of the following areas: hydromorphological assessment of surface water bodies; implementation of Water Framework Directive (WFD)-related methodologies; river basin management; ecological status and ecological potential assessment; environmental monitoring; hydrology; hydrobiology; water resources management; or related environmental assessment fields.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, Lead Party should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p>Demonstrated experience in at least two (2) assignments of similar nature and complexity implemented over the last 5 years, demonstrating experience in hydromorphological assessment of surface water bodies, identification and assessment of hydromorphological alterations and pressures, ecological status and/or ecological potential assessment, implementation of Water Framework Directive (WFD)-related methodologies, river basin management, environmental monitoring, and/or delivery of technical trainings and capacity-building activities related to water resources management.</p> <p><i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p><b>Minimum Key Personnel:</b></p>	
<p>The minimum personnel mandatory for the implementation of the contract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Team Leader – 1 (one)</li> <li>2. Hydromorphology Expert – 1 (one)</li> <li>3. Hydrology Expert – 1</li> </ol>	<p>Duly signed CVs and Statements of Exclusivity and Availability, including any other supporting documents, attached to Form H: Format for CV of proposed Key Personnel</p>



<p>(one) 4. Hydrobiology Expert – 1 (one)</p> <p>An expert may cover more than one key position, provided that all qualification requirements for the respective positions are fully met. <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	
<p><b>Financial Standing:</b></p>	
<p><b>Liquidity:</b> The Ratio Average current assets / Current liabilities over the last 3 (three) years must be equal or greater than 1. Proposers must include in their Proposal audited balance sheets cover the last 3 (three) years. <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years. Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>
<p><b>Turnover:</b> Proposers should have annual sales turnover of minimum 107,000.00 USD for the last 3 (three) years. <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i></p>	<p>Copy of audited financial statements for the last 3 (three) years. Form F: Eligibility and Qualification</p>

[1]

**\*2. Compliance with Minimum Qualification Criteria**

Do you confirm that you comply with the Minimum Qualification Criteria?

**2.5 Section 5. Technical Evaluation Criteria**

1.



Summary of technical proposal evaluation sections		Points obtainable
1.	Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience	300
2.	Proposed methodology, approach and implementation plan	350
3.	Management structure and key personnel	350
	<b>Total</b>	<b>1000</b>

Section 1. Proposer's qualification, capacity and experience		Points obtainable
1.1	<p>Reputation of organisation and staff credibility / reliability / industry standing</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A company is a well-known market player, based on reference letters - up to 15 pts.</li> <li>- Financial statement: (between \$107,000.00 and \$150 000 of average annual turnover for last three years - 15 pts., between \$150 001 and \$200 000 - 25 pts; more than \$200 000 - 35 pts)</li> </ul>	50
1.2	<p>General organisational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Age of the legal entity 5 years - 20 pts, &gt; 5 years - 5 pts for each additional year, up to max. 50 pts).</li> <li>- Project management controls</li> </ul>	70



	(organigram) (no – 0 pts, yes - 20 pts)	
1.3	<p>Relevance of Specialised Knowledge and Experience on Similar Engagements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Minimum 5 years of demonstrated organisational experience in at least one of the following areas: hydromorphological assessment of surface water bodies; implementation of Water Framework Directive (WFD)-related methodologies; river basin management; ecological status and ecological potential assessment; environmental monitoring; hydrology; hydrobiology; water resources management; or related environmental assessment fields.</li> </ul> <p><i>(5 years – 20 pts.; each additional year – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 45 pts.)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrated experience in at least two (2) assignments of similar nature and complexity implemented over the last 5 years, demonstrating experience in hydromorphological assessment of surface water bodies, identification and assessment of hydromorphological alterations and pressures, ecological status and/or ecological potential assessment, implementation of Water Framework Directive (WFD)-related methodologies, river basin management, environmental monitoring, and/or delivery of technical trainings and capacity-building activities related to water resources management.</li> </ul>	160



	<p><i>(2 assignments – 20 pts.; each additional assignment – 10 pts.; up to a maximum of 60 pts.)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrated international experience in delivery of technical training programmes, field-based demonstration activities, learning-by-doing approaches, and institutional capacity-building programmes related to water resources.</li> </ul> <p><i>(1 assignment – 10 pts.; each additional assignment – 10 pts.; up to a maximum of 50 pts.)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrated working experience with UN Agencies and/or other international organizations.</li> </ul> <p><i>(no – 0 pts.; yes – 5 pts.)</i></p>	
<p>1.4</p>	<p>Organisation Commitment to Sustainability</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- <i>Organisation is compliant with ISO 14001 or ISO 14064 or equivalent – 5 points</i></li> <li>- <i>Organisation is a member of the UN Global Compact – 5 points</i></li> <li>- <i>Organisation demonstrates significant commitment to sustainability through some other means (for example internal company policy documents on women empowerment, climate change, renewable energies or membership of trade institutions promoting such issues) – 10 points</i></li> </ul> <p>20</p>	



<b>Total Section 1</b>	<b>300</b>
------------------------	------------

<b>Section 2. Proposed methodology approach and implementation plan</b>	<b>Points obtainable</b>
<p>2.1</p> <p>Understanding of the Assignment and Compliance with requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Excellent Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a comprehensive and deep understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted and supported by excellent evidence of ability to support and exceed ToR requirements: 80 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Good Understanding. The proposer demonstrates good understanding of the assignment and provided good evidence of ability to support the ToR requirements: 70 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Satisfactory Understanding. The proposer demonstrates a general understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted are supported by satisfactory evidence of ability to support ToR requirements: 55 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Poor Understanding. The proposer shows limited understanding of the assignment. The requirements submitted are supported by marginally acceptable or weak evidence of ability to support ToR requirements: 30pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Very poor Understanding. The proposer demonstrates very little understanding of the assignment.</i></li> </ul>	80



	<p><i>The requirements submitted but not supported by evidence to demonstrate ability to comply with ToR requirements: 10 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•No submission. Information has not been submitted or is unacceptable:0 pts</i></p>	
<p>2.2</p>	<p><i>Is the conceptual framework adopted appropriate for the assignment?</i></p> <p><i>•Excellent. The conceptual framework is fully appropriate for the assignment. All requirements are comprehensively addressed. The methodology for ensuring compliance with the Terms of Reference requirements is clearly articulated: 90 pts</i></p> <p><i>•Good. The conceptual framework is appropriate and addresses the requirements well. Minor refinements may be possible but are not critical: 80 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Satisfactory. The conceptual framework is generally appropriate but may require some adjustments to fully incorporate all technical and performance requirements: 60 pts</i></p> <p><i>•Poor. The conceptual framework requires significant adjustments to adequately address most technical and performance requirements: 35 pts.</i></p> <p><i>•Very poor. The conceptual framework is largely inadequate, missing critical elements necessary to ensure compliance with the ToR technical specifications: 10 pts.</i></p> <p><i>conceptual framework provided or completely 0 pts.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">90</p>	



<p>2.3</p>	<p>Details on how the different service elements shall be organised, controlled and delivered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, clear, and well-structured explanation of how all service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 50 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly completed description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 45 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic explanation of how service elements will be organised, controlled, and delivered: 35 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete description of service organisation, control, and delivery: 20 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 5 pts.</i></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">50</p>	
<p>2.4</p>	<p>Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Excellent. The submission provides a comprehensive, well-structured, and highly detailed description of performance monitoring and</i></li> </ul>	



	<p><i>evaluation mechanisms and tools: 40 pts.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Good. The submission provides a strong and mostly complete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 36 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Satisfactory. The submission provides an adequate but basic description of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools: 28 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Poor. The submission provides a weak and incomplete explanation of performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms: 16 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Very poor. The submission provides a very limited and unclear response: 4 pts</i></li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;">40</p>	
2.5	<p>Assessment of the implementation plan proposed including whether the activities are properly sequenced and if these are logical and realistic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Excellent. The proposed plan is clear, well-structured with a defined and realistic sequence of activities; all needed human and material resources promise efficient implementation: 60 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Good. The proposed plan is clear and structured, resources mostly sufficient for efficient implementation: 54 pts.</i></li> <li>• <i>Satisfactory. The proposed plan is</i></li> </ul>	



	<p><i>clear and structured with minor refinements possible, has a realistic sequence of activities if refined; resources may not be fully sufficient for implementation: 42 pts.</i></p> <p><i>• Poor. The proposed plan is partially structured, with significant gaps in activity</i></p> <p><i>sequence and resource allocation: 24 pts.</i></p> <p><i>• Very poor. The proposed plan is not well-structured, lacks clarity in sequence, and resources are largely missing: 6 pts.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">60</p>	
<p>2.6</p>	<p>Description of the quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures:</p> <p><i>To what extent does the proposal include robust quality assurance mechanisms to ensure effective, timely, and results-oriented implementation of activities (no quality assurance mechanisms are described – 0 pts.; some quality measures are included but lack detail or consistency (5 pts.); comprehensive and realistic quality assurance system is provided (15 pts.)</i></p> <p><i>How well are the key risks to project implementation identified, and how appropriate and realistic are the proposed mitigation strategies (No risks identified and no mitigation measures proposed – 0 pts.; Several relevant risks identified with some mitigation strategies but lacks integration or depth – 5 pts.; Risks</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">30</p>



	<i>are thoroughly analysed; mitigation strategies are well-developed, proactive, and integrated into the implementation plan - 15 pts.)</i>	
<b>Total Section 2</b>		<b>350</b>

<b>Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel</b>			<b>Points obtainable</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Team Leader</b>		<b>100</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advanced university degree in water resources engineering, water management, environmental science, environmental engineering, or another directly relevant field.</li> </ul> <p><i>(Master - 10 pts.; PhD - 15 pts.)</i></p>	<b>15</b>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Minimum 10 years of relevant professional experience in water resources management, ecological assessment, monitoring, implementation of WFD-related requirements, and/or related fields.</li> </ul> <p><i>(10 years - 20 pts.; each additional year -</i></p>	<b>30</b>	



	<p><i>5 pts.; up to a maximum of 30 pts.)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Demonstrated experience as Team Leader in projects related to implementation of the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and/or related EU water directives, including coordination of multidisciplinary technical teams and quality assurance of technical outputs.</li> </ul> <p><i>(1 assignment – 15 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 30 pts.)</i></p>	30	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Experience related to WFD implementation in an EU Member State and/or EU candidate country.</li> </ul> <p><i>(1 assignment – 5 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)</i></p>	15	
	<p><i>(English – 5 pts.; Romanian – 5 pts.)</i></p>	10	
2	<b>Hydromorphology Expert</b>		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advanced university degree in water resources engineering, hydrology, water management, environmental science,</li> </ul>	10	



	<p>geomorphology, or another directly relevant field.</p> <p><i>(Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)</i></p>		
	<p><i>(7 years – 15 pts.; each additional year – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 25 pts.)</i></p>	<p>25</p>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrated relevant experience in projects involving WFD-compliant hydromorphological assessment methodologies, characterization of surface water bodies, and identification and designation of heavily modified and artificial water bodies.</li> </ul> <p><i>(1 assignment – 10 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 30 pts.)</i></p>	<p>30</p>	<p>90</p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demonstrated experience in practical field application of hydromorphological assessment methodologies and in the use and interpretation of GIS and spatial data for hydromorphological assessment, including validation of maps and spatial outputs.</li> </ul>	<p>15</p>	



	(1 assignment – 5 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)		
	Fluency in written and spoken English and Romanian.  (English – 5 pts.; Romanian – 5 pts.)	10	
3	<b>Hydrology Expert</b>		80
	(Master – 5 pts.; PhD – 10 pts.)	10	
	(7 years – 15 pts.; each additional year – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 25 pts.)	25	
	(1 assignment – 10 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 20 pts.)	20	
	(1 assignment – 5 pts.; each additional assignment – 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 15 pts.)	15	
	(English – 5 pts.; Romanian – 5 pts.)	10	
4	<b>Hydrobiology Expert</b>		80
	(Master – 10 pts.; PhD – 15 pts.)	15	



	(7 years - 15 pts.; each additional year - 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 25 pts.)	25	
	(1 assignment - 10 pts.; each additional assignment - 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 20 pts.)	20	
	(1 assignment - 5 pts.; each additional assignment - 5 pts.; up to a maximum of 10 pts.)	10	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fluency in written and spoken English and Romanian.</li> </ul> (English - 5 pts.; Romanian - 5 pts.)	10	
<b>Total Section 3</b>			<b>350</b>

## 2.6 Section 6. Financial Evaluation

### \*1. Financial Proposal

Please provide the cost breakdown of your financial proposal as per Form K (Format for Financial Proposal) and instructions provided. Indicate the total amount here and make sure it matches with the total amount indicated line items.

*Target: Cost breakdown provided*

### 2.7 Section I-1.

### 2.8 Section I-2.



**2.9 Section I-3.**



### 3 PART: Schedule of Requirement and Price Schedule

#### Instructions

**The proposer is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the format from Forms J and K and submit them in an envelope separate from the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) as indicated in the Instruction to Proposers.**

**The inclusion of any financial information in the Technical Proposal (Forms A-I) shall lead to disqualification of the Proposer.**

*The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements of the Terms of Reference and the proposer's Technical Proposal.*

**Kindly note that bidders are expected to complete both Form J and K and upload these forms under the Commercial Section 6 in "Requirements" as well as complete the item line pricing below including the total proposal amount.**

**The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements of the Terms of Reference and the proposer's Technical Proposal. The proposer shall select the applicable currency of proposal: MDL (Moldovan Leu) for local suppliers and USD (US Dollars) for international suppliers.**

#### 3.1 Line Information

Line Description	Category	Item	UOM	Requested Quantity	Unit Price	Total Price	Additional Attributes
1-Deliverable 1: Preparation Report and Inception Package	Environmental impact assessment						
2-Deliverable 2: Report on Technical Assessment and on the Training Programme	Environmental impact assessment						
3-Deliverable 3: Final Consolidated Report and Final Recommendations Package	Environmental impact assessment						

\*For Additional Attributes of lines, please review the negotiation lines from supplier portal.

