REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Assessment of the ICT performance and capacity of MIA/IT
Systems and drafting of concepts for the Key MIA Information
Systems

Strengthening capacities of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and its internal subdivisions for the effective implementation of the sector reform agenda (MIA Project)



United Nations Development Programme December 2017

Section 1. Letter of Invitation

Chisinau, Republic of Moldova 28 December 2017

Ref. no.: RfP17/01629

Subject: Assessment of the ICT performance and capacity of MIA/IT Systems and drafting of the concepts for the key MIA Information Systems

Dear Sir / Madam:

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) hereby invites you to submit a Proposal to this Request for Proposal (RFP) for the above-referenced subject.

This RFP includes the following documents:

Section 1 - This Letter of Invitation

Section 2 – Instructions to Proposers (including Data Sheet)

Section 3 - Terms of Reference

Section 4 – Proposal Submission Form

Section 5 – Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Proposer

Section 6 – Technical Proposal Form

Section 7 - Financial Proposal Form

Section 8 – Form for Proposal Security [NOT APPLICABLE]

Section 9 – Form for Performance Security [NOT APPLICABLE]

Section 10 – Form for Advanced Payment Guarantee [NOT APPLICABLE]

Section 11 – General Terms and Conditions for Contracts

Appendix A – Instructions manual for use of the eTendering system by suppliers.

Appendix B – Terms and Conditions for use of online e-Tendering system.

Your offer, comprising of a Technical and Financial Proposal, should be submitted in accordance with Section 2.

Proposals may be submitted on or before the deadline indicated by UNDP in the e-tendering system. Proposals must be submitted in the online e-tendering system in the following link:

https://etendering.partneragencies.org using your username and password. If you have not registered in the system before, you can register now by logging in using:

Username:event.guest **Password**: why2change

and follow the registration steps as specified in the system user guide.

You are kindly requested to indicate whether your company intends to submit a Proposal by clicking on "Accept Invitation" button. If that is not the case, UNDP would appreciate your indicating the reason, for our records. Email: sc.md@undp.org

If you have received this RFP through a direct invitation by UNDP, transferring this invitation to another firm requires your written notification to UNDP of such transfer and the name of the company to whom the invitation was forwarded.

Should you require further clarifications, kindly communicate with the contact person identified in the attached Data Sheet as the focal point for queries on this RFP.

UNDP looks forward to receiving your Proposal and thanks you in advance for your interest in UNDP procurement opportunities.

Ira CEBOTARI
Head of Operations
UNDP Moldova

Yours sincerely,

Page 2 of 34

Section 2: Instruction to Proposers

Definitions

- a) "Contract" refers to the agreement that will be signed by and between the UNDP and the successful proposer, all the attached documents thereto, including the General Terms and Conditions (GTC) and the Appendices.
- b) "Country" refers to the country indicated in the Data Sheet.
- c) "Data Sheet" refers to such part of the Instructions to Proposers used to reflect conditions of the tendering process that are specific for the requirements of the RFP.
- d) "Day" refers to calendar day.
- e) "Government" refers to the Government of the country that will be receiving the services provided/rendered specified under the Contract.
- f) "Instructions to Proposers" (Section 2 of the RFP) refers to the complete set of documents that provides Proposers with all information needed and procedures to be followed in the course of preparing their Proposals
- g) "LOI" (Section 1 of the RFP) refers to the Letter of Invitation sent by UNDP to Proposers.
- h) "Material Deviation" refers to any contents or characteristics of the proposal that is significantly different from an essential aspect or requirement of the RFP, and: (i) substantially alters the scope and quality of the requirements; (ii) limits the rights of UNDP and/or the obligations of the offeror; and (iii) adversely impacts the fairness and principles of the procurement process, such as those that compromise the competitive position of other offerors.
- i) "Proposal" refers to the Proposer's response to the Request for Proposal, including the Proposal Submission Form, Technical and Financial Proposal and all other documentation attached thereto as required by the RFP.
- j) "Proposer" refers to any legal entity that may submit, or has submitted, a Proposal for the provision of services requested by UNDP through this RFP.
- k) "RFP" refers to the Request for Proposals consisting of instructions and references prepared by UNDP for purposes of selecting the best service provider to perform the services described in the Terms of Reference.
- 1) "Services" refers to the entire scope of tasks and deliverables requested by UNDP under the RFP.
- m) "Supplemental Information to the RFP" refers to a written communication issued by UNDP to prospective Proposers containing clarifications, responses to queries received from prospective Proposers, or changes to be made in the RFP, at any time after the release of the RFP but before the deadline for the submission of Proposals.
- n) "Terms of Reference" (TOR) refers to the document included in this RFP as Section 3 which describes the objectives, scope of services, activities, tasks to be performed, respective responsibilities of the proposer, expected results and deliverables and other data pertinent to the performance of the range of duties and services expected of the successful proposer.

GENERAL

- 1. UNDP hereby solicits Proposals in response to this Request for Proposal (RFP). Proposers must strictly adhere to all the requirements of this RFP. No changes, substitutions or other alterations to the rules and provisions stipulated in this RFP may be made or assumed unless it is instructed or approved in writing by UNDP in the form of Supplemental Information to the RFP.
- 2. Submission of a Proposal shall be deemed as an acknowledgement by the Proposer that all obligations stipulated by this RFP will be met and, unless specified otherwise, the Proposer has read, understood and agreed to all the instructions in this RFP.
- 3. Any Proposal submitted will be regarded as an offer by the Proposer and does not constitute or imply the acceptance of any Proposal by UNDP. UNDP is under no obligation to award a contract to any Proposer as a result of this RFP.
- 4. UNDP implements a policy of zero tolerance on proscribed practices, including fraud, corruption, collusion, unethical practices, and obstruction. UNDP is committed to preventing, identifying and addressing all acts of fraud and corrupt practices against UNDP as well as third parties involved in UNDP activities. (See
 - http://www.undp.org/about/transparencydocs/UNDP Anti Fraud Policy English FINAL june 2011.p df and
 - http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/procurement/procurement protest/ for full description of the policies).
- 5. In responding to this RFP, UNDP requires all Proposers to conduct themselves in a professional, objective and impartial manner, and they must at all times hold UNDP's interests paramount. Proposers must strictly avoid conflicts with other assignments or their own interests, and act without consideration for future work. All Proposers found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Without limitation on the generality of the above, Proposers, and any of their affiliates, shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this solicitation process, if they:
 - Are or have been associated in the past, with a firm or any of its affiliates which have been engaged UNDP to provide services for the preparation of the design, specifications, Terms of Reference, cost analysis/estimation, and other documents to be used for the procurement of the goods and services in this selection process;
 - Were involved in the preparation and/or design of the programme/project related to the services requested under this RFP; or
 - Are found to be in conflict for any other reason, as may be established by, or at the discretion of, UNDP.

In the event of any uncertainty in the interpretation of what is potentially a conflict of interest, proposers must disclose the condition to UNDP and seek UNDP's confirmation on whether or not such conflict exists.

- **6.** Similarly, the Proposers must disclose in their proposal their knowledge of the following:
 - 6.1 That they are owners, part-owners, officers, directors, controlling shareholders, or they have key personnel who are family of UNDP staff involved in the procurement functions and/or the Government of the country or any Implementing Partner receiving services under this RFP; and
 - 6.2 All other circumstances that could potentially lead to actual or perceived conflict of interest, collusion or unfair competition practices.

Failure of such disclosure may result in the rejection of the proposal or proposals affected by the non-disclosure.

- 7. The eligibility of Proposers that are wholly or partly owned by the Government shall be subject to UNDP's further evaluation and review of various factors such as being registered as an independent entity, the extent of Government ownership/share, receipt of subsidies, mandate, access to information in relation to this RFP, and others that may lead to undue advantage against other Proposers, and the eventual rejection of the Proposal.
- **8.** All Proposers must adhere to the UNDP Supplier Code of Conduct, which may be found at this link: http://web.ng.undp.org/procurement/undp-supplier-code-of-conduct.pdf.

A. CONTENTS OF PROPOSAL

9. Sections of Proposal

Proposers are required to complete, sign and submit the following documents:

- 9.1 Proposal Submission Cover Letter Form (see RFP Section 4);
- 9.2 Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Proposer (see RFP Section 5);
- 9.3 Technical Proposal (see prescribed form in RFP Section 6);
- 9.4 Financial Proposal (see prescribed form in RFP Section 7);
- 9.5 Proposal Security, if applicable (if required and as stated in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 9-11), see prescribed Form in RFP Section 8);
- 9.6 Any attachments and/or appendices to the Proposal.

10. Clarification of Proposal

- Proposers may request clarifications of any of the RFP documents no later than the date indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 16) prior to the proposal submission date. Any request for clarification must be sent in writing via courier or through electronic means to the UNDP address indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 17). UNDP will respond in writing, transmitted by electronic means and will transmit copies of the response (including an explanation of the query but without identifying the source of inquiry) to all Proposers who have provided confirmation of their intention to submit a Proposal.
- 10.2 UNDP shall endeavor to provide such responses to clarifications in an expeditious manner, but any delay in such response shall not cause an obligation on the part of UNDP to extend the submission date of the Proposals, unless UNDP deems that such an extension is justified and necessary.

11. Amendment of Proposals

- At any time prior to the deadline of Proposal submission, UNDP may for any reason, such as in response to a clarification requested by a Proposer, modify the RFP in the form of a Supplemental Information to the RFP. All prospective Proposers will be notified in writing of all changes/amendments and additional instructions through Supplemental Information to the RFP and through the method specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS No. 18).
- In order to afford prospective Proposers reasonable time to consider the amendments in preparing their Proposals, UNDP may, at its discretion, extend the deadline for submission of Proposals, if the nature of the amendment to the RFP justifies such an extension.

B. PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS

12. Cost

The Proposer shall bear any and all costs related to the preparation and/or submission of the Proposal, regardless of whether its Proposal was selected or not. UNDP shall in no case be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the procurement process.

13. Language

The Proposal, as well as any and all related correspondence exchanged by the Proposer and UNDP, shall be written in the language (s) specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS No 4). Any printed literature furnished by the Proposer written in a language other than the language indicated in the **Data Sheet**, must be accompanied by a translation in the preferred language indicated in the **Data Sheet**. For purposes of interpretation of the Proposal, and in the event of discrepancy or inconsistency in meaning, the version translated into the preferred language shall govern. Upon conclusion of a contract, the language of the contract shall govern the relationship between the contractor and UNDP.

14. Proposal Submission Form

The Proposer shall submit the Proposal Submission Form using the form provided in Section 4 of this RFP.

15. Technical Proposal Format and Content

Unless otherwise stated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 28), the Proposer shall structure the Technical Proposal as follows:

- 15.1 Expertise of Firm/Organization this section should provide details regarding management structure of the organization, organizational capability/resources, and experience of organization/firm, the list of projects/contracts (both completed and on-going, both domestic and international) which are related or similar in nature to the requirements of the RFP, and proof of financial stability and adequacy of resources to complete the services required by the RFP (see RFP clause 18 and DS No. 26 for further details). The same shall apply to any other entity participating in the RFP as a Joint Venture or Consortium.
- Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan this section should demonstrate the Proposer's response to the Terms of Reference by identifying the specific components proposed, how the requirements shall be addressed, as specified, point by point; providing a detailed description of the essential performance characteristics proposed; identifying the

works/portions of the work that will be subcontracted; and demonstrating how the proposed methodology meets or exceeds the specifications, while ensuring appropriateness of the approach to the local conditions and the rest of the project operating environment. This methodology must be laid out in an implementation timetable that is within the duration of the contract as specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 29 and 30).

Proposers must be fully aware that the products or services that UNDP requires may be transferred, immediately or eventually, by UNDP to the Government partners, or to an entity nominated by the latter, in accordance with UNDP's policies and procedures. All proposers are therefore required to submit the following in their proposals:

- a) A statement of whether any import or export licenses are required in respect of the goods to be purchased or services to be rendered, including any restrictions in the country of origin, use or dual use nature of the goods or services, including any disposition to end users; and
- b) Confirmation that the Proposer has obtained license of this nature in the past, and have an expectation of obtaining all the necessary licenses, should their Proposal be rendered the most responsive.
- 15.3 Management Structure and Key Personnel This section should include the comprehensive curriculum vitae (CVs) of key personnel that will be assigned to support the implementation of the proposed methodology, clearly defining the roles and responsibilities vis-à-vis the proposed methodology. CVs should establish competence and demonstrate qualifications in areas relevant to the TOR.
 - In complying with this section, the Proposer assures and confirms to UNDP that the personnel being nominated are available for the Contract on the dates proposed. If any of the key personnel later becomes unavailable, except for unavoidable reasons such as death or medical incapacity, among other possibilities, UNDP reserves the right to consider the proposal non-responsive. Any deliberate substitution arising from unavoidable reasons, including delay in the implementation of the project of programme through no fault of the Proposer shall be made only with UNDP's acceptance of the justification for substitution, and UNDP's approval of the qualification of the replacement who shall be either of equal or superior credentials as the one being replaced.
- Where the **Data Sheet** requires the submission of the Proposal Security, the Proposal Security shall be included along with the Technical Proposal. The Proposal Security may be forfeited by UNDP, and reject the Proposal, in the event of any or any combination of the following conditions:
 - a) If the Proposer withdraws its offer during the period of the Proposal Validity specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 11), or;
 - b) If the Proposal Security amount is found to be less than what is required by UNDP as indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 9), or;
 - c) In the case the successful Proposer fails:
 - i. to sign the Contract after UNDP has awarded it;
 - ii. to comply with UNDP's variation of requirement, as per RFP clause 35; or
 - iii. to furnish Performance Security, insurances, or other documents that UNDP may require as a condition to rendering the effectivity of the contract that may be awarded to the Proposer.

16. Financial Proposals

The Financial Proposal shall be prepared using the attached standard form (Section 7). It shall list all major cost components associated with the services, and the detailed breakdown of such costs. All outputs and activities described in the Technical Proposal must be priced separately on a one-to-one correspondence. Any output and activities described in the Technical Proposal but not priced in the Financial Proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items, as well as in the final total price.

17. Currencies

All prices shall be quoted in the currency indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 15). However, where Proposals are quoted in different currencies, for the purposes of comparison of all Proposals:

- a) UNDP will convert the currency quoted in the Proposal into the UNDP preferred currency, in accordance with the prevailing UN operational rate of exchange on the last day of submission of Proposals; and
- b) In the event that the proposal found to be the most responsive to the RFP requirement is quoted in another currency different from the preferred currency as per **Data Sheet** (DS no. 15), then UNDP shall reserve the right to award the contract in the currency of UNDP's preference, using the conversion method specified above.

Proposals submitted by two (2) or more Proposers shall all be rejected if they are found to have <u>any</u> of the following:

- a) they have at least one controlling partner, director or shareholder in common; or
- b) any one of them receive or have received any direct or indirect subsidy from the other/s; or
- c) they have the same legal representative for purposes of this RFP; or
- d) they have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about, or influence on the Proposal of, another Proposer regarding this RFP process;
- e) they are subcontractors to each other's Proposal, or a subcontractor to one Proposal also submits another Proposal under its name as lead Proposer; or
- f) an expert proposed to be in the team of one Proposer participates in more than one Proposal received for this RFP process. This condition does not apply to subcontractors being included in more than one Proposal.

18. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Proposer

The Proposer shall furnish documentary evidence of its status as an eligible and qualified vendor, using the forms provided under Section 5, Proposer Information Forms. In order to award a contract to a Proposer, its qualifications must be documented to UNDP's satisfaction. These include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a) That, in the case of a Proposer offering to supply goods under the Contract which the Proposer did not manufacture or otherwise produce, the Proposer has been duly authorized by the goods' manufacturer or producer to supply the goods in the country of final destination;
- b) That the Proposer has the financial, technical, and production capability necessary to perform the Contract; and
- c) That, to the best of the Proposer's knowledge, it is not included in the UN 1267/1989 List or the UN Ineligibility List, nor in any and all of UNDP's list of suspended and removed vendors.

19. Joint Venture, Consortium or Association

If the Proposer is a group of legal entities that will form or have formed a joint venture, consortium or association at the time of the submission of the Proposal, they shall confirm in their Proposal that: (i) they have designated one party to act as a lead entity, duly vested with authority to legally bind the members of the joint venture jointly and severally, and this shall be duly evidenced by a duly notarized Agreement among the legal entities, which shall be submitted along with the Proposal; and (ii) if they are awarded the contract, the contract shall be entered into, by and between UNDP and the designated lead entity, who shall be acting for and on behalf of all the member entities comprising the joint venture.

After the Proposal has been submitted to UNDP, the lead entity identified to represent the joint venture shall not be altered without the prior written consent of UNDP. Furthermore, neither the lead entity nor the member entities of the joint venture can:

- a) Submit another proposal, either in its own capacity; nor
- b) As a lead entity or a member entity for another joint venture submitting another Proposal.

The description of the organization of the joint venture/consortium/association must clearly define the expected role of each of the entity in the joint venture in delivering the requirements of the RFP, both in the Proposal and the Joint Venture Agreement. All entities that comprise the joint venture shall be subject to the eligibility and qualification assessment by UNDP.

Where a joint venture is presenting its track record and experience in a similar undertaking as those required in the RFP, it should present such information in the following manner:

- a) Those that were undertaken together by the joint venture; and
- b) Those that were undertaken by the individual entities of the joint venture expected to be involved in the performance of the services defined in the RFP.

Previous contracts completed by individual experts working privately but who are permanently or were temporarily associated with any of the member firms cannot be claimed as the experience of the joint venture or those of its members, but should only be claimed by the individual experts themselves in their presentation of their individual credentials.

If a joint venture's Proposal is determined by UNDP as the most responsive Proposal that offers the best value for money, UNDP shall award the contract to the joint venture, in the name of its designated lead entity. The lead entity shall sign the contract for and on behalf of all other member entities.

20. Alternative Proposals

Unless otherwise specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 5 and 6), alternative proposals shall not be considered. Where the conditions for its acceptance are met, or justifications are clearly established, UNDP reserves the right to award a contract based on an alternative proposal.

21. Validity Period

Proposals shall remain valid for the period specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 8), commencing on the submission deadline date also indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 21). A Proposal valid for a shorter period shall be immediately rejected by UNDP and rendered non-responsive.

In exceptional circumstances, prior to the expiration of the proposal validity period, UNDP may request Proposers to extend the period of validity of their Proposals. The request and the responses shall be made in writing, and shall be considered integral to the Proposal.

22. Proposer's Conference

When appropriate, a proposer's conference will be conducted at the date, time and location specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 7). All Proposers are encouraged to attend. Non-attendance, however, shall <u>not</u> result in disqualification of an interested Proposer. Minutes of the proposer's conference will be either posted on the UNDP website, or disseminated to the individual firms who have registered or expressed interest with the contract, whether or not they attended the conference. No verbal statement made during the conference shall modify the terms and conditions of the RFP unless such statement is specifically written in the Minutes of the Conference, or issued/posted as an amendment in the form of a Supplemental Information to the RFP.

C. SUBMISSION AND OPENING OF PROPOSALS

23. Submission

- The Financial Proposal and the Technical Proposal Envelopes <u>MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE</u> and <u>each of them must be submitted sealed individually</u> and clearly marked on the outside as either "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" or "FINANCIAL PROPOSAL", as appropriate. Each envelope MUST clearly indicate the name of the Proposer. The outer envelopes shall bear the address of UNDP as specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no.20) and shall include the Proposer's name and address, as well as a warning that state "not to be opened before the time and date for proposal opening" as specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 24). The Proposer shall assume the responsibility for the misplacement or premature opening of Proposals due to improper sealing and labeling by the Proposer.
- 23.2 Proposers must submit their Proposals in the manner specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 22 and 23). When the Proposals are expected to be in transit for more than 24 hours, the Proposer must ensure that sufficient lead time has been provided in order to comply with UNDP's deadline for submission. UNDP shall indicate for its record that the official date and time of receiving the Proposal is the <u>actual</u> date and time when the said Proposal has physically arrived at the UNDP premises indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 20).
- Proposers submitting Proposals by mail or by hand shall enclose the original and each copy of the Proposal, in separate sealed envelopes, duly marking each of the envelopes as "Original Proposal" and "Copy of Proposal" as appropriate. The 2 envelopes shall then be sealed in an outer envelope. The number of copies required shall be as specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS No. 19). In the event of any discrepancy between the contents of the "Original Proposal" and the "Copy of Proposal", the contents of the original shall govern. The original version of the Proposal shall be signed or initialed by the Proposer or person(s) duly authorized to commit the Proposer on every page. The authorization shall be communicated through a document evidencing such authorization issued by the highest official of the firm, or a Power of Attorney, accompanying the Proposal.
- Proposers must be aware that the mere act of submission of a Proposal, in and of itself, implies that the Proposer accepts the General Contract Terms and Conditions of UNDP as attached hereto as Section 11.

24. Deadline for Submission of Proposals and Late Proposals

Proposals must be received by UNDP at the address and no later than the date and time specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 20 and 21).

UNDP shall not consider any Proposal that arrives after the deadline for submission of Proposals. Any Proposal received by UNDP after the deadline for submission of Proposals shall be declared late, rejected, and returned unopened to the Proposer.

25. Withdrawal, Substitution, and Modification of Proposals

- 25.1 Proposers are expected to have sole responsibility for taking steps to carefully examine in detail the full consistency of its Proposals to the requirements of the RFP, keeping in mind that material deficiencies in providing information requested by UNDP, or lack clarity in the description of services to be provided, may result in the rejection of the Proposal. The Proposer shall assume the responsibility regarding erroneous interpretations or conclusions made by the Proposer in the course of understanding the RFP out of the set of information furnished by UNDP.
- A Proposer may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal after it has been submitted by sending a written notice in accordance with Clause 23.1, duly signed by an authorized representative, and shall include a copy of the authorization (or a Power of Attorney). The corresponding substitution or modification of the Proposal must accompany the respective written notice. All notices must be received by UNDP prior to the deadline for submission and submitted in accordance with RFP Clause 23.1 (except that withdrawal notices do not require copies). The respective envelopes shall be clearly marked "WITHDRAWAL," "SUBSTITUTION," or MODIFICATION".
- 25.3 Proposals requested to be withdrawn shall be returned unopened to the Proposers.
- No Proposal may be withdrawn, substituted, or modified in the interval between the deadline for submission of Proposals and the expiration of the period of proposal validity specified by the Proposer on the Proposal Submission Form or any extension thereof.

26. Proposal Opening

UNDP will open the Proposals in the presence of an ad-hoc committee formed by UNDP of at least two (2) members. If electronic submission is permitted, any specific electronic proposal opening procedures shall be as specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 23).

The Proposers' names, modifications, withdrawals, the condition of the envelope labels/seals, the number of folders/files and all other such other details as UNDP may consider appropriate, will be announced at the opening. No Proposal shall be rejected at the opening stage, except for late submission, for which the Proposal shall be returned unopened to the Proposer.

27. Confidentiality

Information relating to the examination, evaluation, and comparison of Proposals, and the recommendation of contract award, shall not be disclosed to Proposers or any other persons not officially concerned with such process, even after publication of the contract award.

Any effort by a Proposer to influence UNDP in the examination, evaluation and comparison of the Proposals or contract award decisions may, at UNDP's decision, result in the rejection of its Proposal.

In the event that a Proposer is unsuccessful, the Proposer may seek a meeting with UNDP for a debriefing. The purpose of the debriefing is discussing the strengths and weaknesses of the Proposer's submission, in order to assist the Proposer in improving the proposals presented to UNDP. The content of other proposals and how they compare to the Proposer's submission shall not be discussed.

D. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

28. Preliminary Examination of Proposals

UNDP shall examine the Proposals to determine whether they are complete with respect to minimum documentary requirements, whether the documents have been properly signed, whether or not the Proposer is in the UN Security Council 1267/1989 Committee's list of terrorists and terrorist financiers, and in UNDP's list of suspended and removed vendors, and whether the Proposals are generally in order, among other indicators that may be used at this stage. UNDP may reject any Proposal at this stage.

29. Evaluation of Proposals

- 29.1 UNDP shall examine the Proposal to confirm that all terms and conditions under the UNDP General Terms and Conditions and Special Conditions have been accepted by the Proposer without any deviation or reservation.
- The evaluation team shall review and evaluate the Technical Proposals on the basis of their responsiveness to the Terms of Reference and other documentation provided, applying the evaluation criteria, sub-criteria, and point system specified in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 32). Each responsive Proposal will be given a technical score. A Proposal shall be rendered non-responsive at this stage if it does not substantially respond to the RFP particularly the demands of the Terms of Reference, which also means that it fails to achieve the minimum technical score indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 25). Absolutely no changes may be made by UNDP in the criteria, subcriteria and point system indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 32) after all Proposals have been received.
- In the second stage, only the Financial Proposals of those Proposers who achieve the minimum technical score will be opened for evaluation for comparison and review. The Financial Proposal Envelopes corresponding to Proposals that did not meet the minimum passing technical score shall be returned to the Proposer unopened. The overall evaluation score will be based either on a combination of the technical score and the financial offer, or the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically qualified Proposers. The evaluation method that applies for this RFP shall be as indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS No. 25).

When the Data Sheet specifies a combined scoring method, the formula for the rating of the Proposals will be as follows:

Rating the Technical Proposal (TP):

TP Rating = (Total Score Obtained by the Offer / Max. Obtainable Score for TP) x 100

Rating the Financial Proposal (FP):

FP Rating = (Lowest Priced Offer / Price of the Offer Being Reviewed) x 100

Total Combined Score:

(TP Rating) x (Weight of TP, e.g. 60%) + (FP Rating) x (Weight of FP, e.g., 40%)

Total Combined and Final Rating of the Proposal

- 29.4 UNDP reserves the right to undertake a post-qualification exercise aimed at determining, to its satisfaction the validity of the information provided by the Proposer. Such post-qualification shall be fully documented and, among those that may be listed in the **Data Sheet** (DS No.33), may include, but need not be limited to, all or any combination of the following:
 - a) Verification of accuracy, correctness and authenticity of information provided by the Proposer on the legal, technical and financial documents submitted;
 - b) Validation of extent of compliance to the RFP requirements and evaluation criteria based on what has so far been found by the evaluation team;
 - c) Inquiry and reference checking with Government entities with jurisdiction on the Proposer, or any other entity that may have done business with the Proposer;
 - d) Inquiry and reference checking with other previous clients on the quality of performance on ongoing or previous contracts completed;
 - e) Physical inspection of the Proposer's offices, branches or other places where business transpires, with or without notice to the Proposer;
 - f) Quality assessment of ongoing and completed outputs, works and activities similar to the requirements of UNDP, where available; and
 - g) Other means that UNDP may deem appropriate, at any stage within the selection process, prior to awarding the contract.

30. Clarification of Proposals

To assist in the examination, evaluation and comparison of Proposals, UNDP may, at its discretion, ask any Proposer for a clarification of its Proposal.

UNDP's request for clarification and the response shall be in writing. Notwithstanding the written communication, no change in the prices or substance of the Proposal shall be sought, offered, or permitted, except to provide clarification, and confirm the correction of any arithmetic errors discovered by UNDP in the evaluation of the Proposals, in accordance with RFP Clause 32.

Any unsolicited clarification submitted by a Proposer in respect to its Proposal, which is not a response to a request by UNDP, shall not be considered during the review and evaluation of the Proposals.

31. Responsiveness of Proposal

UNDP's determination of a Proposal's responsiveness will be based on the contents of the Proposal itself.

A substantially responsive Proposal is one that conforms to all the terms, conditions, TOR and other requirements of the RFP without material deviation, reservation, or omission.

If a Proposal is not substantially responsive, it shall be rejected by UNDP and may not subsequently be made responsive by the Proposer by correction of the material deviation, reservation, or omission.

32. Nonconformities, Reparable Errors and Omissions

Provided that a Proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP may waive any non-conformities or omissions in the Proposal that, in the opinion of UNDP, do not constitute a material deviation.

Provided that a Proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP may request the Proposer to submit the necessary information or documentation, within a reasonable period of time, to rectify nonmaterial nonconformities or omissions in the Proposal related to documentation requirements. Such omission shall not be related to any aspect of the price of the Proposal. Failure of the Proposer to comply with the request may result in the rejection of its Proposal.

Provided that the Proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP shall correct arithmetical errors as follows:

- a) if there is a discrepancy between the unit price and the line item total that is obtained by multiplying the unit price by the quantity, the unit price shall prevail and the line item total shall be corrected, unless in the opinion of UNDP there is an obvious misplacement of the decimal point in the unit price, in which case the line item total as quoted shall govern and the unit price shall be corrected;
- b) if there is an error in a total corresponding to the addition or subtraction of subtotals, the subtotals shall prevail and the total shall be corrected; and
- c) if there is a discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in words shall prevail, unless the amount expressed in words is related to an arithmetic error, in which case the amount in figures shall prevail subject to the above.

If the Proposer does not accept the correction of errors made by UNDP, its Proposal shall be rejected.

E. AWARD OF CONTRACT

33. Right to Accept, Reject, or Render Non-Responsive Any or All Proposals

UNDP reserves the right to accept or reject any Proposal, to render any or all of the Proposals as non-responsive, and to reject all Proposals at any time prior to award of contract, without incurring any liability, or obligation to inform the affected Proposer(s) of the grounds for UNDP's action. Furthermore, UNDP shall not be obliged to award the contract to the lowest price offer.

UNDP shall also verify, and immediately reject their respective Proposal, if the Proposers are found to appear in the UN's Consolidated List of Individuals and Entities with Association to Terrorist Organizations, in the List of Vendors Suspended or Removed from the UN Secretariat Procurement Division Vendor Roster, the UN Ineligibility List, and other such lists that as may be established or recognized by UNDP policy on Vendor Sanctions. (See

http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/procurement_protest/ for details).

34. Award Criteria

Prior to expiration of the period of proposal validity, UNDP shall award the contract to the qualified Proposer with the highest total score based on the evaluation method indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS nos. 25 and 32).

35. Right to Vary Requirements at the Time of Award

At the time of award of Contract, UNDP reserves the right to vary the quantity of services and/or goods, by up to a maximum twenty-five per cent (25%) of the total offer, without any change in the unit price or other terms and conditions.

36. Contract Signature

Within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of the Contract, the successful Proposer shall sign and date the Contract and return it to UNDP.

Failure of the successful Proposer to comply with the requirement of RFP Clause 35 and this provision shall constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, and forfeiture of the Proposal Security if any, and on which event, UNDP may award the Contract to the Proposer with the second highest rated Proposal, or call for new Proposals.

37. Performance Security

A performance security, if required, shall be provided in the amount and form provided in Section 9 and by the deadline indicated in the **Data Sheet** (DS no. 14), as applicable. Where a Performance Security will be required, the submission of the said document, and the confirmation of its acceptance by UNDP, shall be a condition for the effectivity of the Contract that will be signed by and between the successful Proposer and UNDP.

38. Bank Guarantee for Advanced Payment

Except when the interests of UNDP so require, it is the UNDP's preference to make no advanced payment(s) on contracts (i.e., payments without having received any outputs). In the event that the Proposer requires an advanced payment upon contract signature, and if such request is duly accepted by UNDP, and the said advanced payment exceeds 20% of the total proposal price, or exceed the amount of USD 30,000, UNDP shall require the Proposer to submit a Bank Guarantee in the same amount as the advanced payment. A bank guarantee for advanced payment shall be furnished in the form provided in Section 10.

39. Vendor Protest

UNDP's vendor protest procedure provides an opportunity for appeal to those persons or firms not awarded a purchase order or contract through a competitive procurement process. In the event that a Proposer believes that it was not treated fairly, the following link provides further details regarding UNDP vendor protest procedures: http://www.undp.org/procurement/protest.shtml.

Instructions to Proposers

DATA SHEET

The following data for the services to be procured shall complement, supplement, or amend the provisions in the Instruction to Proposers. In the case of a conflict between the Instructions to Proposers, the Data Sheet, and other annexes or references attached to the Data Sheet, the provisions in the Data Sheet shall govern.

DS No.	Cross Ref. to Instruct ions	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
1		Project Title:	Strengthening capacities of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and its internal subdivisions for the effective implementation of the sector reform agenda (MIA Project)
2		Title of Services/Work:	Assessment of the ICT performance and capacity of MIA/IT Systems and drafting of the concepts for the key MIA Information Systems
3		Country / Region of Work Location:	Republic of Moldova
4	C.13	Language of the Proposal:	☑ English, Romanian or Russian
5	C.20	Conditions for Submitting Proposals for Parts or sub-parts of the TOR	☑ Not Allowed
6	C.20	Conditions for Submitting Alternative Proposals	⊠ Shall not be considered
7	C.22	A pre-proposal conference will be held on:	Time: 14:30 (Moldova local time) Date: 16 January 2018 "Le Roi" Business Centre, 29, Sfatul Tarii Street, 3 rd floor, room 305, MD-2012 Chisinau, Moldova. The UNDP focal point for the arrangements: Liuba Livadari, Project Associate, MIA Project, e-mail: liuba.livadari@undp.org
8	C.21	Period of Proposal Validity commencing on the submission date	⊠ 120 days
9	B.9.5 C.15.4 b)	Proposal Security	⊠ Not Required
10	B.9.5	Acceptable forms of Proposal Security	N/A

11	B.9.5 C.15.4	Validity of Proposal Security	N/A
	a)		
12		Advanced Payment upon signing of contract	⊠ Not allowed
13		Liquidated Damages	N/A
14	F.37	Performance Security	☑ Not required
15		Preferred Currency of Proposal and Method for Currency	☑ United States Dollars (US\$)
		conversion	Reference date for determining UN Operational Exchange Rate: 05 February 2018
16	B.10.1	Deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/ questions	5 days before the submission date
17	B.10.1	Contact Details for submitting clarifications/questions¹	Focal Person in UNDP: Liuba Livadari, Project Associate, MIA Project, E-mail address dedicated for this purpose:
			liuba.livadari@undp.org
18	B.11.1	Manner of Disseminating Supplemental Information to the RFP and responses/clarifications to queries	☑ Uploaded in the system. Once uploaded, Prospective Proposers (i.e. Proposers that have accepted the invitation in the system) will be notified via email that changes have occurred. It is the responsibility of the Proposers to view the respective changes and clarifications in the system.
19	D.23.3	No. of copies of Proposal that must be submitted	☑ Electronic copy
20	D.23.1 D.23.2 D.24	Proposal Submission Address	https://etendering.partneragencies.org
21	C.21 D.24	Deadline of Submission	Date and Time: o5 February 2018, 12:00 p.m. (noon) (Moldova local time) IMPORTANT NOTE: the time zone indicated in the system is New York Time zone. PLEASE NOTE:- 1. Date and time visible on the main screen of event (on etendering portal) will be final and prevail over any other closing time indicated elsewhere, in case they are different. The correct proposal closing time is as indicated in the etendering portal and system will not accept any proposal after that time. It is the responsibility of the proposers to make sure proposals are submitted within this deadline.

_

¹ This contact person and address is officially designated by UNDP. If inquiries are sent to other person/s or address/es, even if they are UNDP staff, UNDP shall have no obligation to respond nor can UNDP confirm that the query was officially received.

			UNDP will not accept any proposal that is not submitted directly in the system.
			2. Try to submit your proposal a day prior or well before the closing time. Do not wait until last minute. If you face any issue submitting your proposal at the last minute, UNDP may not be able to assist.
22	D.23.2	Allowable Manner of Submitting Proposals	☑ Electronic submission of Proposal https://etendering.partneragencies.org
			Username: event.guest Password: why2change
			Please note: 1. It is strongly recommended to create your username with two parts, your first name and last name separately by ".", similar to the one shown above. 2. You can participate in the proposal event only if you have registered in the system. Please refer to Appendix A-Instructions to bidders and Appendix B-Q&A for bidders.
23	D.23.2 D.26	Conditions and Procedures for electronic submission and opening, if allowed	☑ One file, containing Technical proposal (including CVs, registration certificate and all supporting documents).
		opening, ii anowea	☑ One file, containing Financial Proposal (password encrypted). Password must not be provided to UNDP until it is formally requested by UNDP focal point indicated in DS No. 17.
			Note: If one file is too bulky, it may be split into 4-5 files.
			Important: The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be uploaded individually and clearly labelled.
			The Financial Proposal file must be encrypted with a password so that it cannot be opened nor viewed until the password is provided. The password for opening the Financial Proposal should be provided only upon request of UNDP. UNDP will request password only from bidders whose technical proposal has been found to be technically responsive.
24	D.23.1	Date, time and venue for opening of Proposals	N/A
25	E.29.3	Evaluation method to be used in selecting the most responsive Proposal	☑ Combined Scoring Method, using 60%-40% distribution for technical and financial proposals, respectively, where minimum passing score of technical proposal is 70%
26	C.15.1	Required Documents that must be Submitted to Establish Qualification of Proposers (In "Certified True Copy" form only)	Company Profile, which should <u>not</u> exceed fifteen (15) pages, including printed brochures and product
			Page 18 of 34

		catalogues relevant to the goods/services being
		procured;
		2. Certificate of Registration of the business, including
		Articles of Incorporation, or equivalent document if
		Bidder is not a corporation;
		3. Official Letter of Appointment as local representative, if
		Bidder is submitting a Bid in behalf of an entity located
		outside the country;
		4. List of completed and/or ongoing contracts for projects
		of similar typology and complexity (as per the attached
		Terms of Reference) for the past five (5) years, indicating
		beneficiary, contract amount, period of execution;
		5. List of qualified key experts, together with CVs and
		professional certificates;
		6. Latest Financial Statement (Income Statement and
		Balance Sheet) for the past 2 (two) years
		7. Quality Certificate ISO 9001, ISO 27001 and other
		similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations
		received by the Bidder, if any
		8. Statement of Satisfactory Performance from the Top 2
		Clients in terms of Contract Value in the past 3 years
		9. All information regarding any past and current litigation
		during the last five (5) years, in which the bidder is
		involved, indicating the parties concerned, the subject of
		the litigation, the amounts involved, and the final
		resolution if already concluded.
		IMPORTANT: Please give a relevant name of max. 60 characters to each document before uploading to e-Tendering (example: 26.1 Company Profile_Company Name); Accepted PDF files only.
27	Other documents that may be Submitted to Establish Eligibility	N/A
28 C.15	Structure of the Technical Proposal (only if different from the provisions of Clause 15)	In addition to required documents that must be submitted to establish qualification of proposers (list of documents provided in DS No. 26 of the RFP Data Sheet), the Applicant will include the following documents: Proposer will include the following documents: 1. The organizational structure of the project; 2. Detailed implementation methodology;

			3. Chart for execution of services (Work Time Schedule) for example GANTT; 4. Quality Assurance Plan; 5. Progress Monitoring Process 6. Exception Handling Process; 7. Deliverables Acceptance Plan 8. Project Library Structure. ☑ In case the Service Provider is a consortium of companies, the application should contain a clear distribution of tasks among the consortium members/subcontractors; ☑ If the Bidder subcontracts activities of obtaining any deliverable, then it shall submit the Work Package attached to these activities in a form signed by both the Bidder as well as proposed Subcontractor and shall contain: date, responsible person (including their CV, qualifications supported by Certificates), description of the work package, description of deliverables that are part of the working package, quality-checking methods to be used, level of resources to be allocated, date of commencement and finalization, constraints, reporting method. ☑ Other relevant documents. IMPORTANT: Please give a relevant name of max. 60 characters to each document before uploading to e-Tendering (example: 26.1 Company Profile_Company
29	C.15.2	Latest Expected date for	Name); Accepted PDF files only. o5 March 2018
J	- 3	commencement of Contract	
30	C.15.2	Expected duration of contract (Target Commencement Date and Completion Date)	6 months (March 2018 — August 2018)
31		UNDP will award the contract to:	☑ One Proposer only
32	E.29.2 F.34	Criteria for the Award of Contract and Evaluation of Proposals	(See tables below)
33	E.29.4	Post-Qualification Actions	 ☑ Verification of accuracy, correctness and authenticity of the information provided by the proposer on the legal, technical and financial documents submitted; ☑ Validation of extent of compliance to the RfP requirements and evaluation criteria based on what has so far been found by the evaluation team; ☑ Inquiry and reference checking with other previous clients on the quality of performance on on-going or previous contracts completed.
34		Conditions for Determining Contract Effectivity	☑ Signature by both parties

35		Further information, instructions and/or amendments to the
	RFP	solicitation documents shall be published at the UNDP
		Moldova tenders website:
		http://www.undp.md/tenders/index.shtml

Summa	ry of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms	Score Weight	Points Obtainable
1.	Expertise of Firm / Organization	30%	300
2.	Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan	35%	350
3.	Management Structure and Key Personnel	35%	350
Total		1000	

Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 1					
Expertise of the Firm/Organization					
1.1	 Credibility / Reliability/Industry standing of the Organization based on submitted reference letters and existing UNDP records (Performance Evaluation Forms), feedback received from beneficiaries of previously implemented projects (provided reference letters – 20 pts, performance evaluations from UNDP or feedback from beneficiaries of previously implemented projects –20 pts; max 40 pts) 				
1.2	 General Organizational Capability which is likely to affect implementation age/size of the organization (5 years -20 pts, each additional year - 2 pts, up to max of 40 pts) Extent to which any work would be subcontracted (subcontracting carries additional risks which may affect project implementation, but properly done it offers a chance to access specialized skills). (no subcontractors - 10 pts, 1 subcontractor - 5 pts, more than 1 subcontractor - 0 pts) 	50			
1.3	Quality assurance procedures (basic quality assurance procedures – 5 pts, to some extent – 15 pts, well-defined and clearly presented procedures – 30 pts)	30			
1.4	 Relevance of: Active on the market and has at least 5 years of operational progressive experience in providing ITC consultancy services similar to the deliverables specified in the Terms of Reference (o-4 years – o pts, 5 years – 20 pts, each additional year – 5 pts, up to max. 50 pts) Have proven experience (minimum 3 similar projects described in the company portfolio) in conducting similar works/projects in terms of the scope and size of the current project described in the attached Terms of Reference (3 projects – 30 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max 40 pts.) Experience in working with Moldovan governmental institutions will be an asset (each assignment 5 pts, up to max. 25 pts) Accreditation of the Leading Company to ISO 9001, ISO 27001 and others for the activities covered by this contract (consultancy services and assessment for business, procurement advisory services) will be an asset (each relevant certificate – 5 pts., up to max 15 pts.) Previous experience of working with UNDP and other development partners and capacity to properly manage a contract/subcontract under a donor funded effort (each assignment 5 pts, up to max. 20 pts) 	150			
1.5	 Gender equality and diversity commitments: Appointment of women to managerial positions in the team (no – o pts, at least 1 woman - 10 pts) Overall gender balance in the team (no balance – o pts, almost equal number between sexes - 10 pts) 	30 age 21 of 34			

	Diversity within the team: people from minority, vulnerable or marginalized groups are				
		part of the team (no diversity – o pts, at least one person of those listed - 10 pts)			
Total Fo	orm	1	300		

Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 2				
	Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implem	entatio	n Plan	
2.1	The assignments are well understood, properly addressed and corresplisted in the TOR	ond to	the requirements	80
2.2	Have the important aspects of the task been addressed in sufficient detail?			60
2.3	Is the proposal based on a preliminary analysis of the project envi institutions local context and was this data input properly used in the pro (no preliminary analysis – o points, preliminary analysis performed to results/data from analysis included in the proposal – 50 pts)	eparatio	on of the proposal?	50
2.4	Is the scope of task well defined and does it correspond to the TOR?			50
2.5	Is the presentation clear and is the sequence of activities and the plar promise efficient implementation to the project?			80
2.6	Does the proposal contain a qualitative risk assessment and approprious (no risk assessment and mitigation measures — o pts, basic qualitation measures — 15 pts, detailed qualitative risk assessment and su — 30 pts)	tive risi	k assessment and	30
Total	Form 2			350
Technical Proposal Evaluation Form 3				Points Obtainable
	Management Structure and Key Perso	nnel		
	<u> </u>			
2.1	Project Manager			98
3.1	Project Manager		Sub-Score	90
	General Qualification		89	
	Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system); (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.; PhD – 14 pts; or BA+10 years of experience – 14 pts)	14		
	Minimum 5 years of experience in ICT audit and consultancy projects; (5 years –15 pts., each additional year – 5 pts., up to max of 40 pts.)	40		
	Experience in the management of minimum 3 projects in the implemented area, of similar complexity as described in the present ToR (3 projects –10 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 20 pts.)	20		
	At least one of the following certificates is a must: PRINCE2 / PMP; Certifications such as TOGAF, CISA will be an asset (at least one certificate – 10 pts., additional relevant certificates + 5 pts)			
	Language Qualifications (fluency in Romanian – 3 pts; fluency of English-knowledge of Russian or other language – 3 pts.; up to max. 9 pts)	- 3 pts;	9	
3.2	Team of experts:		98	
J -	Architecture Expert in IS			46
	Architecture Expert III 13		6.1.6	40
	General Qualification		Sub-Score	
			40	

Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna	10		
system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consultancy projects, including one with CPA, for			
developing the IS architecture, having a key role within them (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.)	30		
Language Qualifications (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in R	ussian	6	
– 3 pts)		46	
Expert in Business Process Analysis		_	69
General qualifications		Sub-Score	
Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree		63	
in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.)	10		
Minimum 3 years of experience in the area of designing information systems and concluding information systems' design or procurement documentation (less than 3 years – o pts., 3 years – 10 pts., each additional year – 5 pts., up to max of 20 pts.)	20		
Knowledge of methodology and rules for drafting technical specifications meant for CPA from the Republic of Moldova (yes-5 pts., no – o pts.)	5		
Minimum 3 technical specifications conceptualized for information systems of similar complexity, as described in the present ToR (the existence of such experience should be proved and an example of	28		
developed technical specifications should be presented, if needed);			
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.);			
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28		6	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Ro		6 69	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rollinguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts)			46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rollinguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Technological Architecture Expert			46
(3 projects — 10 pts., each additional project — 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rollinguages (proficiency in Romanian — 3 pts. proficiency in Russian — 3 pts.) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications		69	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rolanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna)		69 Sub-Score	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rolanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.)		69 Sub-Score	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Relanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian	10	69 Sub-Score	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rolanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.)	10	Sub-Score 40	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Relanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts)	10	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46	46
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rollanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts)	10	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Relanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Information Security Expert General qualifications	10	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rilanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Information Security Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) or higher education (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system) – 10 pts.; higher	10	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Rilanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts.) Information Security Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) or higher education (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.; higher education – 15 pts.)	10 30	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Ri languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Information Security Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) or higher education (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.; higher education – 15 pts.) Minimum 3 years of experience in the area of security audit and consultancy in the area of information security and information	10	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Ri languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Information Security Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) or higher education (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.; higher education – 15 pts.) Minimum 3 years of experience in the area of security audit and consultancy in the area of information security and information technology (less than 3 years – 0 pts., 3 years – 15 pts., each additional year – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.)	10 30	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	
(3 projects – 10 pts., each additional project – 2 pts., up to max of 28 pts.); Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Relanguages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Technological Architecture Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.) Minimum 3 consulting projects for the development of technology architecture, in which he/she played a key role (3 projects –15 pts., each additional project – 5 pts., up to max of 30 pts.) Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts. proficiency in Russian – 3 pts) Information Security Expert General qualifications Bachelor degree in the ICT area (pre-Bologna system)/Master degree in the ICT area (Bologna system) or higher education (Bachelor degree (Pre-Bologna system)/ Master degree (Bologna system) – 10 pts.; higher education – 15 pts.) Minimum 3 years of experience in the area of security audit and consultancy in the area of information security and information technology (less than 3 years – 0 pts., 3 years – 15 pts., each additional	10 30	69 Sub-Score 40 6 46 Sub-Score	

Total Form 3			
		91	
languages (proficiency in Romanian – 3 pts, Russian – 3 pts)		O	
Language Qualifications: perfect mastering of Romanian or Russian		6	
certificate – 5pts., up to max 20 pts.)			
CISSP, (each required certificate – 5 pts., each additional relevant			
Compulsory professional acknowledgement certifications: CISM /	20		

Section 3: Terms of Reference (TOR)

Please see Annex 1: Terms of Reference for procuring ICT consultancy for the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Moldova.

Section 4: Proposal Submission Form²

[insert: Location] [insert: Date]

To: [insert: Name and Address of UNDP focal point]

Dear Sir/Madam:

We, the undersigned, hereby offer to provide professional services for [insert: title of services] in accordance with your Request for Proposal dated [insert: Date] and our Proposal. We are hereby submitting our Proposal, which includes the Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal sealed under a separate envelope.

We hereby declare that:

- a) All the information and statements made in this Proposal are true and we accept that any misrepresentation contained in it may lead to our disqualification;
- b) We are currently not on the removed or suspended vendor list of the UN or other such lists of other UN agencies, nor are we associated with, any company or individual appearing on the 1267/1989 list of the UN Security Council;
- c) We have no outstanding bankruptcy or pending litigation or any legal action that could impair our operation as a going concern; and
- d) We do not employ, nor anticipate employing, any person who is or was recently employed by the UN or UNDP.

We confirm that we have read, understood and hereby accept the Terms of Reference describing the duties and responsibilities required of us in this RFP, and the General Terms and Conditions of UNDP's Contract for Professional Services.

We agree to abide by this Proposal for [insert: period of validity as indicated in Data Sheet]

We undertake, if our Proposal is accepted, to initiate the services not later than the date indicated in the Data Sheet.

We fully understand and recognize that UNDP is not bound to accept this proposal, that we shall bear all costs associated with its preparation and submission, and that UNDP will in no case be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the evaluation.

	We remain,
	Yours sincerely,
[Please	Authorized Signature [In full and initials]: Name and Title of Signatory: Name of Firm: Contact Details: mark this letter with your corporate seal, if available]
<u>II leuse</u>	mark this tetter with your corporate seal, if available

 $^{^{2}}$ No deletion or modification may be made in this form. Any such deletion or modification may lead to the rejection of the Proposal.

Section 5: Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Proposer

Proposer Information Form³

Date: [insert date (as day, month and year) of Proposal Submission]
RFP No.: [insert RFP reference number]
Page ______ of _____ pages

1. Proposer's Legal Name: [insert Proposer's legal name]
2. In case of Joint Venture (JV), legal name of each party: [insert legal name of each party in JV]
3. Actual or intended Country/ies of Registration/Operation: [insert actual or intended Country of Registration]

5. Countries of Operation 6. No. of staff in each Country 7. Years of Operation in each Country

8. Legal Address/es in Country/ies of Registration/Operation: [insert Proposer's legal address in country of registration]

9. Value and Description of Top three (3) Biggest Contract for the past five (5) years

10. Latest Credit Rating (if any)

11. Brief description of litigation history (disputes, arbitration, claims, etc.), indicating current status and outcomes, if already resolved.

12. Proposer's Authorized Representative Information

4. Year of Registration: [insert Proposer's year of registration]

Name: [insert Authorized Representative's name] Address: [insert Authorized Representative's address]

Telephone/Fax numbers: [insert Authorized Representative's telephone/fax numbers]

Email Address: [insert Authorized Representative's email address]

13. Are you in the UNPD List 1267.1989 or UN Ineligibility List? \square YES or \square NO

14. Attached are copies of original documents of:

☐ All eligibility document requirements listed in the Data Sheet

☐ If Joint Venture/Consortium – copy of the Memorandum of Understanding/Agreement or Letter of Intent to form a JV/Consortium, or Registration of JV/Consortium, if registered

 \square If case of Government corporation or Government-owned/controlled entity, documents establishing legal and financial autonomy and compliance with commercial law.

³ The Proposer shall fill in this Form in accordance with the instructions. Apart from providing additional information, no alterations to its format shall be permitted and no substitutions shall be accepted.

Joint Venture Partner Information Form (if Registered)4

Date: [insert date (as day, month and year) of Proposal Submission]

RFP No.: [insert RFP reference number]

Page _____ of ____ pages Proposer's Legal Name: [insert Proposer's legal name] JV's Party legal name: [insert JV's Party legal name] JV's Party Country of Registration: [insert JV's Party country of registration] 3. 4. Year of Registration: [insert Party's year of registration] 5. Countries of Operation 6. No. of staff in each Country 7. Years of Operation in each Country 8. Legal Address/es in Country/ies of Registration/Operation: [insert Party's legal address in country of registration] 9. Value and Description of Top three (3) Biggest Contract for the past five (5) years 10. Latest Credit Rating (if any) 11. Brief description of litigation history (disputes, arbitration, claims, etc.), indicating current status and outcomes, if already resolved. 12. JV's Party Authorized Representative Information Name: [insert name of JV's Party authorized representative] Address: [insert address of JV's Party authorized representative] Telephone/Fax numbers: [insert telephone/fax numbers of JV's Party authorized representative] Email Address: [insert email address of JV's Party authorized representative]

14. Attached are copies of original documents of: [check the box(es) of the attached original documents]

☐ In case of government owned entity, documents establishing legal and financial autonomy and compliance with

☐ All eligibility document requirements listed in the Data Sheet ☐ Articles of Incorporation or Registration of firm named in 2.

commercial law.

⁴ The Proposer shall fill in this Form in accordance with the instructions. Apart from providing additional information, no alterations to its format shall be permitted and no substitutions shall be accepted.

Section 6: Technical Proposal Form

TECHNICAL PROPOSAL FORMAT

Strengthening the capacity of SMEs from Moldova and the Transnistrian region to participate at international specialized fairs and exhibitions

Note: Technical Proposals not submitted in this format may be rejected. The financial proposal should be included in separate envelope.

Name of Proposing Organization / Firm:	
Country of Registration:	
Name of Contact Person for this Proposal:	
Address:	
Phone / Fax:	
Email:	

SECTION 1: EXPERTISE OF FIRM/ ORGANISATION

This section should fully explain the Proposer's resources in terms of personnel and facilities necessary for the performance of this requirement. All contents of this section may be modified or expanded depending on the evaluation criteria stated in the RFP.

- 1.1 Brief Description of Proposer as an Entity: Provide a brief description of the organization / firm submitting the proposal, its legal mandates/authorized business activities, the year and country of incorporation, types of activities undertaken, and approximate annual budget, etc. Include reference to reputation, or any history of litigation and arbitration in which the organization / firm has been involved that could adversely affect or impact the performance of services, indicating the status/result of such litigation/arbitration.
- <u>1.2. Financial Capacity:</u> Provide the latest Audited Financial Statement (Income Statement and Balance Sheet) duly certified by a Public Accountant, and with authentication of receiving by the Government's Internal Revenue Authority. Include any indication of credit rating, industry rating, etc.
- 1.3. Track Record and Experiences: Provide the following information regarding corporate experience within the last five (5) years which are related or relevant to those required for this Contract.

	Name of project	Client	Contract Value	Period of activity	Types of activities undertaken	Status or Date Completed	References Contact Details (Name, Phone, Email)
Γ							

SECTION 2 - APPROACH AND IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

This section should demonstrate the Proposer's responsiveness to the TOR by identifying the specific components proposed, addressing the requirements, as specified, point by point; providing a detailed description of the essential performance characteristics proposed; and demonstrating how the proposed methodology meets or exceeds the requirements.

2.1. Approach to the Service/Work Required: Please provide a detailed description of the methodology

for how the organization/firm will achieve the Terms of Reference of the project, keeping in mind the appropriateness to local conditions and project environment.

- <u>2.2. Technical Quality Assurance Review Mechanisms</u>: The methodology shall also include details of the Proposer's internal technical and quality assurance review mechanisms.
- <u>2.3 Implementation Timelines:</u> The Proposer shall submit a Gantt Chart or Project Schedule indicating the detailed sequence of activities that will be undertaken and their corresponding timing.
- <u>2.4. Subcontracting</u>: Explain whether any work would be subcontracted, to whom, how much percentage of the work, the rationale for such, and the roles of the proposed sub-contractors. Special attention should be given to providing a clear picture of the role of each entity and how everyone will function as a team.
- <u>2.5. Risks / Mitigation Measures</u>: Please describe the potential risks for the implementation of this project that may impact achievement and timely completion of expected results as well as their quality. Describe measures that will be put in place to mitigate these risks.
- <u>2.6.</u> Reporting and Monitoring: Please provide a brief description of the mechanisms proposed for this project for reporting to the UNDP and partners, including a reporting schedule.
- <u>2.7. Anti-Corruption Strategy</u>: Define the anti-corruption strategy that will be applied in this project to prevent the misuse of funds. Describe the financial controls that will be put in place.
- <u>2.8. Partnerships</u>: Explain any partnerships with local, international or other organizations that are planned for the implementation of the project. Special attention should be given to providing a clear picture of the role of each entity and how everyone will function as a team. Letters of commitment from partners and an indication of whether some or all have successfully worked together on other previous projects is encouraged.
- <u>2.9 Statement of Full Disclosure</u>: This is intended to disclose any potential conflict in accordance with the definition of "conflict" under Section 4 of this document, if any.
- <u>2.10 Other:</u> Any other comments or information regarding the project approach and methodology that will be adopted.

SECTION 3: PERSONNEL

- <u>3.1 Management Structure</u>: Describe the overall management approach toward planning and implementing this activity. Include an organization chart for the management of the project describing the relationship of key positions and designations.
- 3.2 Staff Time Allocation: Provide a spreadsheet will be included to show the activities of each staff member and the time allocated for his/her involvement. (Note: This spreadsheet is crucial and no substitution of personnel will be tolerated once the contract has been awarded except in extreme circumstances and with the written approval of the UNDP. If substitution is unavoidable it will be with a person who, in the opinion of the UNDP project manager, is at least as experienced as the person being replaced, and subject to the approval of UNDP. No increase in costs will be considered as a result of any substitution.)
- <u>3.3 Qualifications of Key Personnel</u>. Provide the CVs for key personnel (Task Manager, Senior Expert and other Experts) that will be provided to support the implementation of this project. CVs should demonstrate qualifications in areas relevant to the Scope of Services. Please use the format below:

Name:					
Position for this Contract:					
Nationality:					
Contact information:					
Countries of Work Experience	e:				
Language Skills:					
Educational and other Qualif	ications:				
Summary of Experience: H	ighlight exper	ience in the region and on	similar projects.		
Relevant Experience (From n					
Period: From – To		tivity/ Project/	Job Title and Activities		
		ganization, if	undertaken/Description of		
	applicable:		actual role performed:		
e.g. June 2004-January 2005					
Etc.					
Etc.					
References no.1 (minimum	Name				
of 3):	Designation				
	Organization				
	Contact Info	ormation – Address; Phon	e; Email; etc.		
Reference no.2	Name				
	Designation				
	Organization				
	Contact Information – Address; Phone; Email; etc.				
Reference no.3	Name				
Designation					
	Organization				
Contact Information – Address; Phone; Email; etc.					
Declaration:					
I confirm my intention to serve			•		
of the proposed contract. I als		•	ment described above may		
lead to my disqualification, before or during my engagement.					
Signature of the Nominated T	eam Leader/ľ	Member	Date Signed		

Section 7: Financial Proposal Form⁵

The Proposer is required to prepare the Financial Proposal in an envelope separate from the rest of the RFP as indicated in the Instruction to Proposers.

The Financial Proposal must provide a detailed cost breakdown. Provide separate figures for each functional grouping or category.

Any estimates for cost-reimbursable items, such as travel and out-of-pocket expenses, should be listed separately.

In case of an equipment component to the service provider, the Price Schedule should include figures for both purchase and lease/rent options. UNDP reserves the option to either lease/rent or purchase outright the equipment through the Contractor.

The format shown on the following pages is suggested for use as a guide in preparing the Financial Proposal. The format includes specific expenditures, which may or may not be required or applicable but are indicated to serve as examples.

A. Cost Breakdown per Deliverables*

SN	Deliverables	Percentage of Total Price (Weight for payment)	Tentative Schedule	Price (USD, Lump Sum, All Inclusive, VAT o%)
1.	Performed evaluation of the progress achieved in implementing the MIA ICT Transformation Plan area			
1.1	Progress report regarding the transformation of the MIA ICT area developed and approved by MIA/UNDP.		By March 30,	
1.2	The document of current ICT architecture developed and approved by MIA/UNDP.		2018	
2.	Performed analysis of the key information systems of the MIA.			
2.1	Analysis report regarding the reengineering of the 5 MIA information systems developed and approved by MIA/UNDP.			
	MIA information system 1: Concept of the new Criminal and Forensic Information Register			
	MIA information system 2: Concept of the new version of the State Register of Road Accidents		By May 31, 2018	
	MIA information system 3: Concept of the MIA Interoperability Platform			
	MIA information system 4: Concept of the new version of the System for keeping the records about offences and penalization points			
2.2	Report regarding the strategy for reengineering of the 4 MIA information systems developed and approved by MIA/UNDP.			
	MIA information system 1: Concept of the new Criminal and Forensic Information Register		By June 15,	
	MIA information system 2: Concept of the new version of the State Register of Road Accidents		2018	
	MIA information system 3: Concept of the MIA Interoperability Platform			
	MIA information system 4: Concept of the new version of			

⁵ No deletion or modification may be made in this form. Any such deletion or modification may lead to the rejection of the Proposal.

	the System for keeping the records about offences and penalization points			
3.	Developed 4 (four) key concepts of the MIA information systems.			
3.1	4 (four) key concepts of the MIA information systems developed and approved by MIA/UNDP.			
	MIA information system 1: Developed Concept of the new Criminal and Forensic Information Register			
	MIA information system 2: Developed Concept of the new version of the State Register of Road Accidents		By July 27, 2018	
	MIA information system 3: Developed Concept of the MIA Interoperability Platform			
	MIA information system 4: Developed Concept of the new version of the System for keeping the records about offences and penalization points			
4.	Updated Plan for MIA ICT Transformation.			
4.1	Version of the future ICT architecture document updated and approved by MIA/UNDP.		By July 31,	
4.2	Version of the Plan for transformation of the MIA ICT area updated and approved by MIA/UNDP.		2018	
	Total	100%		USD

^{*}Basis for payment tranches

B. Cost Breakdown by Cost Component:

The Proposers are requested to provide the cost breakdown for the above given prices for each deliverable based on the following format. UNDP shall use the cost breakdown for the price reasonability assessment purposes as well as the calculation of price in the event that both parties have agreed to add new deliverables to the scope of Services.

Description of Activity	Remuneration per Unit of Time	Total Period of Engagement	No. of Personnel	Total Rate for the Period (USD)
I. Personnel Services	(person/day)			
Project Manager				
Architecture Expert IS				
Business Processes Analysis Expert				
Technological Architecture Expert				
Information Security Expert				
II. Out of Pocket Expenses				
Travel Costs				
Daily Allowance				
Communications				
Reproduction				
Equipment Lease				
Others				
III. Other Related Costs				
Total				

Note: The cost components provided in the table above are indicative. Should you need to add extra lines, please, fill free to do so. However, you are kindly required to stick closer to the format and to the units of measure/time required above (remuneration <u>per day;</u> period of engagement <u>calculated in days</u>).

Section 11: Contract for Services

Please see Annex 2: General Terms and Conditions for Contracts.