

United Nations Development Programme



*Empowered lives.
Resilient nations.*

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Re-advertisement: EU4MD/ Design, Update and Development of 2 (two) Websites

RFP No.: 21/02319

Project: EU4Moldova: Focal regions

Country: Moldova, Republic of

Issued on: 9 July 2021

Contents

SECTION 1. LETTER OF INVITATION	4
SECTION 2. INSTRUCTION TO BIDDERS	5
A. GENERAL PROVISIONS	5
1. Introduction.....	5
2. Fraud & Corruption, Gifts and Hospitality	5
3. Eligibility.....	5
4. Conflict of Interests	5
B. PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	6
5. General Considerations.....	6
6. Cost of Preparation of Proposal.....	6
7. Language.....	6
8. Documents Comprising the Proposal	6
9. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder	6
10. Technical Proposal Format and Content.....	6
11. Financial Proposals.....	7
12. Proposal Security.....	7
13. Currencies.....	7
14. Joint Venture, Consortium or Association	7
15. Only One Proposal	8
16. Proposal Validity Period	8
17. Extension of Proposal Validity Period	8
18. Clarification of Proposal	9
19. Amendment of Proposals.....	9
20. Alternative Proposals	9
21. Pre-Bid Conference	9
C. SUBMISSION AND OPENING OF PROPOSALS	9
22. Submission	9
23. Deadline for Submission of Proposals and Late Proposals	10
24. Withdrawal, Substitution, and Modification of Proposals	11
25. Proposal Opening.....	11
D. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS	11
26. Confidentiality	11
27. Evaluation of Proposals.....	11
28. Preliminary Examination	11
29. Evaluation of Eligibility and Qualification	11
30. Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals	12
31. Due Diligence	12
32. Clarification of Proposals	13
33. Responsiveness of Proposal	13
34. Nonconformities, Reparable Errors and Omissions	13
E. AWARD OF CONTRACT	13
35. Right to Accept, Reject, Any or All Proposals	13
36. Award Criteria.....	14
37. Debriefing.....	14
38. Right to Vary Requirements at the Time of Award	14
39. Contract Signature	14
40. Contract Type and General Terms and Conditions.....	14
41. Performance Security.....	14
42. Bank Guarantee for Advanced Payment.....	14
43. Liquidated Damages	14
44. Payment Provisions.....	14
45. Vendor Protest	14
46. Other Provisions	15
SECTION 3. BID DATA SHEET	16
SECTION 4. EVALUATION CRITERIA	19

SECTION 5. TERMS OF REFERENCE26

SECTION 6: RETURNABLE BIDDING FORMS / CHECKLIST33

FORM A: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM34

FORM B: BIDDER INFORMATION FORM35

FORM C: JOINT VENTURE/CONSORTIUM/ASSOCIATION INFORMATION FORM37

FORM E: FORMAT OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL41

FORM F: FINANCIAL PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM.....43

FORM G: FINANCIAL PROPOSAL FORM44

Section 1. Letter of Invitation

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) hereby invites you to submit a Proposal to this Request for Proposal (RFP) for the above-referenced subject.

This RFP includes the following documents and the General Terms and Conditions of Contract which is inserted in the Bid Data Sheet (BDS):

- Section 1: This Letter of Invitation
- Section 2: Instruction to Bidders
- Section 3: Bid Data Sheet (BDS)
- Section 4: Evaluation Criteria
- Section 5: Terms of Reference
- Section 6: Returnable Bidding Forms
 - Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form
 - Form B: Bidder Information Form
 - Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information Form
 - Form D: Qualification Form
 - Form E: Format of Technical Proposal
 - Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form
 - Form G: Financial Proposal Form

Annex 1 - Detailed Technical Specifications.

If you are interested in submitting a Proposal in response to this RFP, please prepare your Proposal in accordance with the requirements and procedure as set out in this RFP and submit it by the Deadline for Submission of Proposals set out in Bid Data Sheet.

Please acknowledge receipt of this RFP by sending an email to liliana.caterov@undp.org, indicating whether you intend to submit a Proposal or otherwise. You may also utilize the "Accept Invitation" function in e-Tendering system, where applicable. This will enable you to receive amendments or updates to the RFP. Should you require further clarifications, kindly communicate with the contact person/s identified in the attached Bid Data Sheet as the focal point for queries on this RFP.


UNDP looks forward to receiving your Proposal and thank you in advance for your interest in UNDP procurement opportunities.

Issued by:



Name: Liliana CATEROV
Title: Procurement Coordinator
Date: **July 9, 2021**

Approved by:



Name: Denis SUSCHEVICI
Title: Head of Procurement Unit
Date: **July 9, 2021**

CG

Section 2. Instruction to Bidders

A. GENERAL PROVISIONS	
1. Introduction	1.1 Bidders shall adhere to all the requirements of this RFP, including any amendments in writing by UNDP. This RFP is conducted in accordance with the UNDP Programme and Operations Policies and Procedures (POPP) on Contracts and Procurement which can be accessed at https://popp.undp.org/SitePages/POPPBSUnit.aspx?TermID=254a9f96-b883-476a-8ef8-e81f93a2b38d 1.2 Any Proposal submitted will be regarded as an offer by the Bidder and does not constitute or imply the acceptance of the Proposal by UNDP. UNDP is under no obligation to award a contract to any Bidder as a result of this RFP. 1.3 As part of the bid, it is desired that the Bidder registers at the United Nations Global Marketplace (UNGM) website (www.ungm.org). The Bidder may still submit a bid even if not registered with the UNGM. However, if the Bidder is selected for contract award, the Bidder must register on the UNGM prior to contract signature.
2. Fraud & Corruption, Gifts and Hospitality	2.1 UNDP strictly enforces a policy of zero tolerance on proscribed practices, including fraud, corruption, collusion, unethical or unprofessional practices, and obstruction of UNDP vendors and requires all bidders/vendors observe the highest standard of ethics during the procurement process and contract implementation. UNDP's Anti-Fraud Policy can be found at http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/accountability/audit/office_of_audit_andinvestigation.html#anti 2.2 Bidders/vendors shall not offer gifts or hospitality of any kind to UNDP staff members including recreational trips to sporting or cultural events, theme parks or offers of holidays, transportation, or invitations to extravagant lunches or dinners. 2.3 In pursuance of this policy, UNDP (a) Shall reject a proposal if it determines that the selected bidder has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for the contract in question; (b) Shall declare a vendor ineligible, either indefinitely or for a stated period of time, to be awarded a contract if at any time it determines that the vendor has engaged in any corrupt or fraudulent practices in competing for, or in executing a UNDP contract. 2.4 All Bidders must adhere to the UN Supplier Code of Conduct, which may be found at http://www.un.org/depts/ptd/pdf/conduct_english.pdf
3. Eligibility	3.1 A vendor should not be suspended, debarred, or otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization. Vendors are therefore required to disclose to UNDP whether they are subject to any sanction or temporary suspension imposed by these organizations. 3.2 It is the Bidder's responsibility to ensure that its employees, joint venture members, sub-contractors, service providers, suppliers and/or their employees meet the eligibility requirements as established by UNDP.
4. Conflict of Interests	4.1 Bidders must strictly avoid conflicts with other assignments or their own interests, and act without consideration for future work. Bidders found to have a conflict of interest shall be disqualified. Without limitation on the generality of the above, Bidders, and any of their affiliates, shall be considered to have a conflict of interest with one or more parties in this solicitation process, if they: a) Are or have been associated in the past, with a firm or any of its affiliates which have been engaged by UNDP to provide services for the preparation of the design, specifications, Terms of Reference, cost analysis/estimation, and other documents to be used for the procurement of the goods and services in this selection process; b) Were involved in the preparation and/or design of the programme/project related to the services requested under this RFP; or

	c) Are found to be in conflict for any other reason, as may be established by, or at the discretion of UNDP. 4.2 In the event of any uncertainty in the interpretation of a potential conflict of interest, Bidders must disclose to UNDP, and seek UNDP's confirmation on whether or not such a conflict exists. 4.3 Similarly, the Bidders must disclose in their proposal their knowledge of the following: a) If the owners, part-owners, officers, directors, controlling shareholders, of the bidding entity or key personnel are family members of UNDP staff involved in the procurement functions and/or the Government of the country or any Implementing Partner receiving services under this RFP; and b) All other circumstances that could potentially lead to actual or perceived conflict of interest, collusion or unfair competition practices. Failure to disclose such an information may result in the rejection of the proposal or proposals affected by the non-disclosure. 4.4 The eligibility of Bidders that are wholly or partly owned by the Government shall be subject to UNDP's further evaluation and review of various factors such as being registered, operated and managed as an independent business entity, the extent of Government ownership/share, receipt of subsidies, mandate and access to information in relation to this RFP, among others. Conditions that may lead to undue advantage against other Bidders may result in the eventual rejection of the Proposal.
B. PREPARATION OF PROPOSALS	
5. General Considerations	5.1 In preparing the Proposal, the Bidder is expected to examine the RFP in detail. Material deficiencies in providing the information requested in the RFP may result in rejection of the Proposal. 5.2 The Bidder will not be permitted to take advantage of any errors or omissions in the RFP. Should such errors or omissions be discovered, the Bidder must notify the UNDP
6. Cost of Preparation of Proposal	6.1 The Bidder shall bear any and all costs related to the preparation and/or submission of the Proposal, regardless of whether its Proposal was selected or not. UNDP shall not be responsible or liable for those costs, regardless of the conduct or outcome of the procurement process.
7. Language	7.1 The Proposal, as well as any and all related correspondence exchanged by the Bidder and UNDP, shall be written in the language (s) specified in the BDS.
8. Documents Comprising the Proposal	8.1 The Proposal shall comprise of the following documents: a) Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder; b) Technical Proposal; c) Financial Proposal; d) Proposal Security, if required by BDS; e) Any attachments and/or appendices to the Proposal.
9. Documents Establishing the Eligibility and Qualifications of the Bidder	9.1 The Bidder shall furnish documentary evidence of its status as an eligible and qualified vendor, using the Forms provided under Section 6 and providing documents required in those forms. In order to award a contract to a Bidder, its qualifications must be documented to UNDP's satisfaction.
10. Technical Proposal Format and Content	10.1 The Bidder is required to submit a Technical Proposal using the Standard Forms and templates provided in Section 6 of the RFP. 10.2 The Technical Proposal shall not include any price or financial information. A Technical Proposal containing material financial information may be declared non-responsive. 10.3 Samples of items, when required as per Section 5, shall be provided within the time

	specified and unless otherwise specified by UNDP, and at no expense to UNDP 10.4 When applicable and required as per Section 5, the Bidder shall describe the necessary training programme available for the maintenance and operation of the services and/or equipment offered as well as the cost to the UNDP. Unless otherwise specified, such training as well as training materials shall be provided in the language of the Bid as specified in the BDS.
11. Financial Proposals	11.1 The Financial Proposal shall be prepared using the Standard Form provided in Section 6 of the RFP. It shall list all major cost components associated with the services, and the detailed breakdown of such costs. 11.2 Any output and activities described in the Technical Proposal but not priced in the Financial Proposal, shall be assumed to be included in the prices of other activities or items, as well as in the final total price. 11.3 Prices and other financial information must not be disclosed in any other place except in the financial proposal.
12. Proposal Security	12.1 A Proposal Security, if required by BDS, shall be provided in the amount and form indicated in the BDS. The Proposal Security shall be valid up to thirty (30) days after the final date of validity of the Proposal. 12.2 The Proposal Security shall be included along with the Technical Proposal. If Proposal Security is required by the RFP but is not found along with the Technical Proposal, the Proposal shall be rejected. 12.3 If the Proposal Security amount or its validity period is found to be less than what is required by UNDP, UNDP shall reject the Proposal. 12.4 In the event an electronic submission is allowed in the BDS, Bidders shall include a copy of the Bid Security in their proposal and the original of the Proposal Security must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in BDS. 12.5 The Proposal Security may be forfeited by UNDP, and the Proposal rejected, in the event of any one or combination, of the following conditions: a) If the Bidder withdraws its offer during the period of the Proposal Validity specified in the BDS, or; b) In the event that the successful Bidder fails: i. to sign the Contract after UNDP has issued an award; or 12.6 to furnish the Performance Security, insurances, or other documents that UNDP may require as a condition precedent to the effectivity of the contract that may be awarded to the Bidder.
13. Currencies	13.1 All prices shall be quoted in the currency or currencies indicated in the BDS. Where Proposals are quoted in different currencies, for the purposes of comparison of all Proposals: a) UNDP will convert the currency quoted in the Proposal into the UNDP preferred currency, in accordance with the prevailing UN operational rate of exchange on the last day of submission of Proposals; and b) In the event that UNDP selects a proposal for award that is quoted in a currency different from the preferred currency in the BDS, UNDP shall reserve the right to award the contract in the currency of UNDP's preference, using the conversion method specified above.
14. Joint Venture, Consortium or Association	14.1 If the Bidder is a group of legal entities that will form or have formed a Joint Venture (JV), Consortium or Association for the Proposal, they shall confirm in their Proposal that : (i) they have designated one party to act as a lead entity, duly vested with authority to legally bind the members of the JV, Consortium or Association jointly and severally, which shall be evidenced by a duly notarized Agreement among the legal entities, and submitted with the Proposal; and (ii) if they are awarded the contract, the contract shall be entered into, by and between UNDP and the designated lead entity, who shall be acting for and on

	behalf of all the member entities comprising the joint venture. 14.2 After the Deadline for Submission of Proposal, the lead entity identified to represent the JV, Consortium or Association shall not be altered without the prior written consent of UNDP. 14.3 The lead entity and the member entities of the JV, Consortium or Association shall abide by the provisions of Clause 9 herein in respect of submitting only one proposal. 14.4 The description of the organization of the JV, Consortium or Association must clearly define the expected role of each of the entity in the joint venture in delivering the requirements of the RFP, both in the Proposal and the JV, Consortium or Association Agreement. All entities that comprise the JV, Consortium or Association shall be subject to the eligibility and qualification assessment by UNDP. 14.5 A JV, Consortium or Association in presenting its track record and experience should clearly differentiate between: - Those that were undertaken together by the JV, Consortium or Association; and - Those that were undertaken by the individual entities of the JV, Consortium or Association. 14.6 Previous contracts completed by individual experts working privately but who are permanently or were temporarily associated with any of the member firms cannot be claimed as the experience of the JV, Consortium or Association or those of its members, but should only be claimed by the individual experts themselves in their presentation of their individual credentials. 14.7 JV, Consortium or Associations are encouraged for high value, multi-sectoral requirements when the spectrum of expertise and resources required may not be available within one firm.
15. Only One Proposal	15.1 The Bidder (including the individual members of any Joint Venture) shall submit only one Proposal, either in its own name or as part of a Joint Venture. 15.2 Proposals submitted by two (2) or more Bidders shall all be rejected if they are found to have any of the following: - they have at least one controlling partner, director or shareholder in common; or - any one of them receive or have received any direct or indirect subsidy from the other/s; or - they have the same legal representative for purposes of this RFP; or - they have a relationship with each other, directly or through common third parties, that puts them in a position to have access to information about, or influence on the Proposal of, another Bidder regarding this RFP process; - they are subcontractors to each other's Proposal, or a subcontractor to one Proposal also submits another Proposal under its name as lead Bidder; or - some key personnel proposed to be in the team of one Bidder participates in more than one Proposal received for this RFP process. This condition relating to the personnel, does not apply to subcontractors being included in more than one Proposal.
16. Proposal Validity Period	16.1 Proposals shall remain valid for the period specified in the BDS, commencing on the Deadline for Submission of Proposals. A Proposal valid for a shorter period may be rejected by UNDP and rendered non-responsive. 16.2 During the Proposal validity period, the Bidder shall maintain its original Proposal without any change, including the availability of the Key Personnel, the proposed rates and the total price.
17. Extension of Proposal Validity Period	17.1 In exceptional circumstances, prior to the expiration of the proposal validity period, UNDP may request Bidders to extend the period of validity of their Proposals. The request and the responses shall be made in writing, and shall be considered integral to the Proposal. 17.2 If the Bidder agrees to extend the validity of its Proposal, it shall be done without any

	change in the original Proposal.
	17.3 The Bidder has the right to refuse to extend the validity of its Proposal, and in which case, such Proposal will not be further evaluated.
18. Clarification of Proposal	18.1 Bidders may request clarifications on any of the RFP documents no later than the date indicated in the BDS. Any request for clarification must be sent in writing in the manner indicated in the BDS. If inquiries are sent other than specified channel, even if they are sent to a UNDP staff member, UNDP shall have no obligation to respond or confirm that the query was officially received. 18.2 UNDP will provide the responses to clarifications through the method specified in the BDS. 18.3 UNDP shall endeavor to provide responses to clarifications in an expeditious manner, but any delay in such response shall not cause an obligation on the part of UNDP to extend the submission date of the Proposals, unless UNDP deems that such an extension is justified and necessary.
19. Amendment of Proposals	19.1 At any time prior to the deadline of Proposal submission, UNDP may for any reason, such as in response to a clarification requested by a Bidder, modify the RFP in the form of an amendment to the RFP. Amendments will be made available to all prospective bidders. 19.2 If the amendment is substantial, UNDP may extend the Deadline for submission of proposal to give the Bidders reasonable time to incorporate the amendment into their Proposals.
20. Alternative Proposals	20.1 Unless otherwise specified in the BDS, alternative proposals shall not be considered. If submission of alternative proposal is allowed by BDS, a Bidder may submit an alternative proposal, but only if it also submits a proposal conforming to the RFP requirements. UNDP shall only consider the alternative proposal offered by the Bidder whose conforming proposal ranked the highest as per the specified evaluation method. Where the conditions for its acceptance are met, or justifications are clearly established, UNDP reserves the right to award a contract based on an alternative proposal. 20.2 If multiple/alternative proposals are being submitted, they must be clearly marked as "Main Proposal" and "Alternative Proposal"
21. Pre-Bid Conference	21.1 When appropriate, a Bidder's conference will be conducted at the date, time and location specified in the BDS. All Bidders are encouraged to attend. Non-attendance, however, shall not result in disqualification of an interested Bidder. Minutes of the Bidder's conference will be disseminated on the procurement website and shared by email or on the e-Tendering platform as specified in the BDS. No verbal statement made during the conference shall modify the terms and conditions of the RFP, unless specifically incorporated in the Minutes of the Bidder's Conference or issued/posted as an amendment to RFP.
C. SUBMISSION AND OPENING OF PROPOSALS	
22. Submission	22.1 The Bidder shall submit a duly signed and complete Proposal comprising the documents and forms in accordance with the requirements in the BDS. The submission shall be in the manner specified in the BDS. 22.2 The Proposal shall be signed by the Bidder or person(s) duly authorized to commit the Bidder. The authorization shall be communicated through a document evidencing such authorization issued by the legal representative of the bidding entity, or a Power of Attorney, accompanying the Proposal. 22.3 Bidders must be aware that the mere act of submission of a Proposal, in and of itself, implies that the Bidder fully accepts the UNDP General Contract Terms and Conditions.

Hard copy (manual) submission	22.4 Hard copy (manual) submission by courier or hand delivery allowed or specified in the BDS shall be governed as follows: a) The signed Proposal shall be marked "Original", and its copies marked "Copy" as appropriate. The number of copies is indicated in the BDS. All copies shall be made from the signed original only. If there are discrepancies between the original and the copies, the original shall prevail. b) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal envelopes MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be submitted sealed individually and clearly marked on the outside as either "TECHNICAL PROPOSAL" or "FINANCIAL PROPOSAL", as appropriate. Each envelope SHALL clearly indicate the name of the Bidder. The outer envelopes shall: i. Bear the name and address of the bidder; ii. Be addressed to UNDP as specified in the BDS iii. Bear a warning that states "<i>Not to be opened before the time and date for proposal opening</i>" as specified in the BDS. If the envelopes and packages with the Proposal are not sealed and marked as required, UNDP shall assume no responsibility for the misplacement, loss, or premature opening of the Proposal.
Email Submission	22.5 Email submission, if allowed or specified in the BDS, shall be governed as follows: a) Electronic files that form part of the proposal must be in accordance with the format and requirements indicated in BDS; b) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE. The financial proposal shall be encrypted with different passwords and clearly labelled. The files must be sent to the dedicated email address specified in the BDS. c) The password for opening the Financial Proposal should be provided only upon request of UNDP. UNDP will request password only from bidders whose Technical Proposal has been found to be technically responsive. Failure to provide correct password may result in the proposal being rejected.
eTendering submission	22.6 Electronic submission through eTendering, if allowed or specified in the BDS, shall be governed as follows: a) Electronic files that form part of the proposal must be in accordance with the format and requirements indicated in BDS; b) The Technical Proposal and the Financial Proposal files MUST BE COMPLETELY SEPARATE and each of them must be uploaded individually and clearly labelled. d) The Financial Proposal file must be encrypted with a password so that it cannot be opened nor viewed until the password is provided. The password for opening the Financial Proposal should be provided only upon request of UNDP. UNDP will request password only from bidders whose technical proposal has been found to be technically responsive. Failure to provide the correct password may result in the proposal being rejected. c) Documents which are required to be in original form (e.g. Bid Security, etc.) must be sent via courier or hand delivery as per the instructions in BDS. d) Detailed instructions on how to submit, modify or cancel a bid in the eTendering system are provided in the eTendering system Bidder User Guide and Instructional videos available on this link: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/operations/procurement/business/procurement-notice/resources/
23. Deadline for Submission of	23.1 Complete Proposals must be received by UNDP in the manner, and no later than the date and time, specified in the BDS. UNDP shall only recognize the date and time that the bid

Proposals and Late Proposals	was received by UNDP. 23.2 UNDP shall not consider any Proposal that is submitted after the deadline for the submission of Proposals.
24. Withdrawal, Substitution, and Modification of Proposals	24.1 A Bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal after it has been submitted at any time prior to the deadline for submission. 24.2 Manual and Email submissions: A bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal by sending a written notice to UNDP, duly signed by an authorized representative, and shall include a copy of the authorization (or a Power of Attorney). The corresponding substitution or modification of the Proposal, if any, must accompany the respective written notice. All notices must be submitted in the same manner as specified for submission of proposals, by clearly marking them as "WITHDRAWAL" "SUBSTITUTION," or "MODIFICATION" 24.3 eTendering: A Bidder may withdraw, substitute or modify its Proposal by Canceling, Editing, and re-submitting the proposal directly in the system. It is the responsibility of the Bidder to properly follow the system instructions, duly edit and submit a substitution or modification of the Proposal as needed. Detailed instructions on how to cancel or modify a Proposal directly in the system are provided in Bidder User Guide and Instructional videos. 24.4 Proposals requested to be withdrawn shall be returned unopened to the Bidders (only for manual submissions), except if the bid is withdrawn after the bid has been opened
25. Proposal Opening	25.1 There is no public bid opening for RFPs. UNDP shall open the Proposals in the presence of an ad-hoc committee formed by UNDP, consisting of at least two (2) members. In the case of e-Tendering submission, bidders will receive an automatic notification once their proposal is opened.
D. EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS	
26. Confidentiality	26.1 Information relating to the examination, evaluation, and comparison of Proposals, and the recommendation of contract award, shall not be disclosed to Bidders or any other persons not officially concerned with such process, even after publication of the contract award. 26.2 Any effort by a Bidder or anyone on behalf of the Bidder to influence UNDP in the examination, evaluation and comparison of the Proposals or contract award decisions may, at UNDP's decision, result in the rejection of its Proposal and may be subject to the application of prevailing UNDP's vendor sanctions procedures.
27. Evaluation of Proposals	27.1 The Bidder is not permitted to alter or modify its Proposal in any way after the proposal submission deadline except as permitted under Clause 24 of this RFP. UNDP will conduct the evaluation solely on the basis of the submitted Technical and Financial Proposals. 27.2 Evaluation of proposals is made of the following steps: - Preliminary Examination - Minimum Eligibility and Qualification (if pre-qualification is not done) - Evaluation of Technical Proposals - Evaluation of Financial Proposals
28. Preliminary Examination	28.1 UNDP shall examine the Proposals to determine whether they are complete with respect to minimum documentary requirements, whether the documents have been properly signed, and whether the Proposals are generally in order, among other indicators that may be used at this stage. UNDP reserves the right to reject any Proposal at this stage.
29. Evaluation of Eligibility and Qualification	29.1 Eligibility and Qualification of the Bidder will be evaluated against the Minimum Eligibility/Qualification requirements specified in the Section 4 (Evaluation Criteria). 29.2 In general terms, vendors that meet the following criteria may be considered qualified: They are not included in the UN Security Council 1267/1989 Committee's list of terrorists and terrorist financiers, and in UNDP's ineligible vendors' list;

	b) They have a good financial standing and have access to adequate financial resources to perform the contract and all existing commercial commitments, c) They have the necessary similar experience, technical expertise, production capacity where applicable, quality certifications, quality assurance procedures and other resources applicable to the provision of the services required; d) They are able to comply fully with UNDP General Terms and Conditions of Contract; e) They do not have a consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder; and f) They have a record of timely and satisfactory performance with their clients.
30. Evaluation of Technical and Financial Proposals	30.1 The evaluation team shall review and evaluate the Technical Proposals on the basis of their responsiveness to the Terms of Reference and other RFP documents, applying the evaluation criteria, sub-criteria, and point system specified in the Section 4 (Evaluation Criteria). A Proposal shall be rendered non-responsive at the technical evaluation stage if it fails to achieve the minimum technical score indicated in the BDS. When necessary and if stated in the BDS, UNDP may invite technically responsive bidders for a presentation related to their technical proposals. The conditions for the presentation shall be provided in the bid document where required. 30.2 In the second stage, only the Financial Proposals of those Bidders who achieve the minimum technical score will be opened for evaluation. The Financial Proposals corresponding to Technical Proposals that were rendered non-responsive shall remain unopened, and, in the case of manual submission, be returned to the Bidder unopened. For emailed Proposals and e-tendering submissions, UNDP will not request for the password of the Financial Proposals of bidders whose Technical Proposal were found not responsive. 30.3 The evaluation method that applies for this RFP shall be as indicated in the BDS, which may be either of two (2) possible methods, as follows: (a) the lowest priced method which selects the lowest evaluated financial proposal of the technically responsive Bidders; or (b) the combined scoring method which will be based on a combination of the technical and financial score. 30.4 When the BDS specifies a combined scoring method, the formula for the rating of the Proposals will be as follows: <u>Rating the Technical Proposal (TP):</u> TP Rating = (Total Score Obtained by the Offer / Max. Obtainable Score for TP) x 100 <u>Rating the Financial Proposal (FP):</u> FP Rating = (Lowest Priced Offer / Price of the Offer Being Reviewed) x 100 <u>Total Combined Score:</u> Combined Score = (TP Rating) x (Weight of TP, e.g. 60%) + (FP Rating) x (Weight of FP, e.g., 40%)
31. Due Diligence	31.1 UNDP reserves the right to undertake a due diligence exercise, also called post qualification, aimed at determining to its satisfaction, the validity of the information provided by the Bidder. Such exercise shall be fully documented and may include, but need not be limited to, all or any combination of the following: a) Verification of accuracy, correctness and authenticity of information provided by the Bidder; b) Validation of extent of compliance to the RFP requirements and evaluation criteria based on what has so far been found by the evaluation team; c) Inquiry and reference checking with Government entities with jurisdiction on the Bidder, or with previous clients, or any other entity that may have done business

	with the Bidder; d) Inquiry and reference checking with previous clients on the performance on on-going or contracts completed, including physical inspections of previous works, as necessary; e) Physical inspection of the Bidder's offices, branches or other places where business transpires, with or without notice to the Bidder; f) Other means that UNDP may deem appropriate, at any stage within the selection process, prior to awarding the contract.
32. Clarification of Proposals	32.1 To assist in the examination, evaluation and comparison of Proposals, UNDP may, at its discretion, ask any Bidder for a clarification of its Proposal. 32.2 UNDP's request for clarification and the response shall be in writing and no change in the prices or substance of the Proposal shall be sought, offered, or permitted, except to provide clarification, and confirm the correction of any arithmetic errors discovered by UNDP in the evaluation of the Proposals, in accordance with RFP. 32.3 Any unsolicited clarification submitted by a Bidder in respect to its Proposal, which is not a response to a request by UNDP, shall not be considered during the review and evaluation of the Proposals.
33. Responsiveness of Proposal	33.1 UNDP's determination of a Proposal's responsiveness will be based on the contents of the Proposal itself. A substantially responsive Proposal is one that conforms to all the terms, conditions, TOR and other requirements of the RFP without material deviation, reservation, or omission. 33.2 If a Proposal is not substantially responsive, it shall be rejected by UNDP and may not subsequently be made responsive by the Bidder by correction of the material deviation, reservation, or omission.
34. Nonconformities, Reparable Errors and Omissions	34.1 Provided that a Proposal is substantially responsive, UNDP may waive any non-conformities or omissions in the Proposal that, in the opinion of UNDP, do not constitute a material deviation. 34.2 UNDP may request the Bidder to submit the necessary information or documentation, within a reasonable period of time, to rectify nonmaterial nonconformities or omissions in the Proposal related to documentation requirements. Such omission shall not be related to any aspect of the price of the Proposal. Failure of the Bidder to comply with the request may result in the rejection of its Proposal. 34.3 For Financial Proposal that has been opened, UNDP shall check and correct arithmetical errors as follows: a) if there is a discrepancy between the unit price and the line item total that is obtained by multiplying the unit price by the quantity, the unit price shall prevail and the line item total shall be corrected, unless in the opinion of UNDP there is an obvious misplacement of the decimal point in the unit price; in which case the line item total as quoted shall govern and the unit price shall be corrected; b) if there is an error in a total corresponding to the addition or subtraction of subtotals, the subtotals shall prevail and the total shall be corrected; and c) if there is a discrepancy between words and figures, the amount in words shall prevail, unless the amount expressed in words is related to an arithmetic error, in which case the amount in figures shall prevail. 34.4 If the Bidder does not accept the correction of errors made by UNDP, its Proposal shall be rejected.
E. AWARD OF CONTRACT	
35. Right to Accept, Reject, Any or All Proposals	35.1 UNDP reserves the right to accept or reject any Proposal, to render any or all of the Proposals as non-responsive, and to reject all Proposals at any time prior to award of contract, without incurring any liability, or obligation to inform the affected Bidder(s) of the grounds for UNDP's action. UNDP shall not be obliged to award the contract to the

	lowest priced offer.
36.Award Criteria	36.1 Prior to expiration of the proposal validity, UNDP shall award the contract to the qualified Bidder based on the award criteria indicated in the BDS.
37.Debriefing	37.1 In the event that a Bidder is unsuccessful, the Bidder may request a debriefing from UNDP. The purpose of the debriefing is to discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the Bidder's submission, in order to assist the Bidder in improving its future proposals for UNDP procurement opportunities. The content of other proposals and how they compare to the Bidder's submission shall not be discussed.
38.Right to Vary Requirements at the Time of Award	38.1 At the time of award of Contract, UNDP reserves the right to vary the quantity of services and/or goods, by up to a maximum twenty-five per cent (25%) of the total offer, without any change in the unit price or other terms and conditions.
39.Contract Signature	39.1 Within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of the Contract, the successful Bidder shall sign and date the Contract and return it to UNDP. Failure to do so may constitute sufficient grounds for the annulment of the award, and forfeiture of the Proposal Security, if any, and on which event, UNDP may award the Contract to the Second Ranked Bidder or call for new Proposals.
40.Contract Type and General Terms and Conditions	40.1 The types of Contract to be signed and the applicable UNDP Contract General Terms and Conditions, as specified in BDS, can be accessed at http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html
41.Performance Security	41.1 40.1 A performance security, if required in BDS, shall be provided in the amount specified in BDS and form available at https://popp.undp.org/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/UNDP_POPP_DOCUMENT_LIBRARY/Public/PSU_Solicitation_Performance%20Guarantee%20Form.docx&action=default within fifteen (15) days of the contract signature by both parties. Where a performance security is required, the receipt of the performance security by UNDP shall be a condition for rendering the contract effective.
42.Bank Guarantee for Advanced Payment	42.1 Except when the interests of UNDP so require, it is UNDP's preference to make no advance payment(s) (i.e., payments without having received any outputs). If an advance payment is allowed as per BDS, and exceeds 20% of the total contract price, or USD 30,000, whichever is less, the Bidder shall submit a Bank Guarantee in the full amount of the advance payment in the form available at https://popp.undp.org/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?sourcedoc=/UNDP_POPP_DOCUMENT_LIBRARY/Public/PSU_Contract%20Management%20Payment%20and%20Taxes_Advanced%20Payment%20Guarantee%20Form.docx&action=default
43.Liquidated Damages	43.1 If specified in BDS, UNDP shall apply Liquidated Damages resulting from the Contractor's delays or breach of its obligations as per the Contract.
44.Payment Provisions	44.1 Payment will be made only upon UNDP's acceptance of the work performed. The terms of payment shall be within thirty (30) days, after receipt of invoice and certification of acceptance of work issued by the proper authority in UNDP with direct supervision of the Contractor. Payment will be effected by bank transfer in the currency of contract.
45.Vendor Protest	45.1 UNDP's vendor protest procedure provides an opportunity for appeal to those persons or firms not awarded a contract through a competitive procurement process. In the event that a Bidder believes that it was not treated fairly, the following link provides further details regarding UNDP vendor protest procedures: http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/protest

	-and-sanctions.html
46. Other Provisions	46.1 In the event that the Bidder offers a lower price to the host Government (e.g. General Services Administration (GSA) of the federal government of the United States of America) for similar services, UNDP shall be entitled to same lower price. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. 46.2 UNDP is entitled to receive the same pricing offered by the same Contractor in contracts with the United Nations and/or its Agencies. The UNDP General Terms and Conditions shall have precedence. 46.3 The United Nations has established restrictions on employment of (former) UN staff who have been involved in the procurement process as per bulletin ST/SGB/2006/15 http://www.un.org/en/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=ST/SGB/2006/15&referer

Section 3. Bid Data Sheet

The following data for the services to be procured shall complement, supplement, or amend the provisions in the Request for Proposals. In the case of a conflict between the Instructions to Bidders, the Data Sheet, and other annexes or references attached to the Data Sheet, the provisions in the Data Sheet shall prevail.

BDS No.	Ref. to Section.2	Data	Specific Instructions / Requirements
1	7	Language of the Proposal	English
2		Submitting Proposals for Parts or sub-parts of the TOR (partial bids)	Not Allowed
3	20	Alternative Proposals	Shall not be considered
4	21	Pre-proposal conference	Will not be conducted
5	10	Proposal Validity Period	90 days
6	14	Bid Security	Not Required
7	41	Advanced Payment upon signing of contract	Not Allowed
8	42	Liquidated Damages	Will be imposed as follows: Percentage of contract price per week of delay: 1% Max. 5% deduction, after which UNDP may terminate the contract.
9	40	Performance Security	Not Required
10	18	Currency of Proposal	United States Dollar
11	31	Deadline for submitting requests for clarifications/ questions	3 days before the submission deadline
12	31	Contact Details for submitting clarifications/questions	Focal Person in UNDP: Liliana CATEROV, Procurement Coordinator Address: #104, Sciusev Street, Chisinau E-mail address: liliana.caterov@undp.org

13	18, 19 and 21	Manner of Disseminating Supplemental Information to the RFP	Posted directly to eTendering
14	23	Deadline for Submission	For eTendering submission - as indicated in eTendering system. Note that system time zone is in EST/EDT (New York) time zone.
14	22	Allowable Manner of Submitting Proposals	☒ e-Tendering
15	22	Proposal Submission Address	https://etendering.partneragencies.org Insert BU Code MDA10 and Event ID number 0000009785
16	22	Electronic submission (eTendering) requirements	- Format: PDF files only - File names must be maximum 60 characters long and must not contain any letter or special character other than from Latin alphabet/keyboard. - All files must be free of viruses and not corrupted. Your offer, comprising of Technical and Financial Proposals, shall be submitted in separate files, while the financial proposal shall be password protected. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms F and G which are password protected. - Password for financial proposal must not be disclosed unless required in written by UNDP. DO NOT DISCLOSE YOUR PRICE IN THE E-TENDERING LINE ITEM. YOU MUST PUT PRICE AS 1\$ IN THE SYSTEM AND PROVIDE THE FINANCIAL PROPOSAL AS ENCRYPTED FILE AS EXPLAINED IN INSTRUCTIONS.
17	27 36	Evaluation Method for the Award of Contract	Combined Scoring Method, using the 60%-40% distribution for technical and financial proposals respectively The minimum technical score required to pass is 70%.
18		Expected date for commencement of Contract	July 20, 2021
19		Maximum expected duration of contract	Up to 6 months
20	35	UNDP will award the contract to:	One Proposer Only
21	39	Type of Contract	Contract for Goods and Services for UNDP http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html
22	39	UNDP Contract Terms and Conditions that will apply	UNDP General Terms and Conditions for contracts (goods and/or services)

			http://www.undp.org/content/undp/en/home/procurement/business/how-we-buy.html
23		Other Information Related to the RFP	n/a

CG

Section 4. Evaluation Criteria

Preliminary Examination Criteria

Proposals will be examined to determine whether they are complete and submitted in accordance with RFP requirements as per below criteria on a Yes/No basis:

- Appropriate signatures
- Power of Attorney
- Minimum documents provided
- Technical and Financial Proposals submitted separately
- Bid Validity

Minimum Eligibility and Qualification Criteria

Eligibility and Qualification will be evaluated on Pass/Fail basis.

If the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association, each member should meet minimum criteria, unless otherwise specified in the criterion.

Subject	Criteria	Document Submission requirement
ELIGIBILITY		
Legal Status	Vendor is a legally registered entity in Republic of Moldova.	Form B: Bidder Information Form
Eligibility	Vendor is not suspended, nor debarred, nor otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization in accordance with ITB clause 3.	Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form
Conflict of Interest	No conflicts of interest in accordance with ITB clause 4.	Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form
Bankruptcy	Not declared bankruptcy, not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against the vendor that could impair its operations in the foreseeable future.	Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form
QUALIFICATION		
History of Non-Performing Contracts¹	Non-performance of a contract did not occur as a result of contractor default for the last 3 years.	Form D: Qualification Form
Litigation History	No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Bidder for the last 3 years.	Form D: Qualification Form
Licenses	N/A	Attach required documents to Form B of Section 6
Previous Experience	Minimum five (5) years of professional IT related experience <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, Lead Partner should meet requirement).</i>	Form D: Qualification Form

¹ Non-performance, as decided by UNDP, shall include all contracts where (a) non-performance was not challenged by the contractor, including through referral to the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract, and (b) contracts that were so challenged but fully settled against the contractor. Non-performance shall not include contracts where Employers decision was overruled by the dispute resolution mechanism. Non-performance must be based on all information on fully settled disputes or litigation, i.e. dispute or litigation that has been resolved in accordance with the dispute resolution mechanism under the respective contract and where all appeal instances available to the Bidder have been exhausted.

Minimum Qualification Requirements	At least 1 of the following officially approved activities (according to <i>Extract from the State Register of legal entities or equivalent document</i>): • Custom software development • IT consultancy services • Data processing, web page management and related activities • Software development and consultancy in the field • Activities related to data banks • Web portal activities <i>(evidence must be provided: a scan of the officially issued document like the Extract from the State Register of legal entities or equivalent document)</i>	Attach required documents to Form E of Section 6
Key personnel	The minimum personnel mandatory for the implementation of the contract: • 1 (one) Team Leader • 1 (one) Web interfaces designer • 1 (one) Front-end developer • 1 (one) Back-end and content management integration developer <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement)</i>	Form E: Format of Technical Proposal
Financial Standing	Minimum average annual turnover of USD 25,000 for the last 2 years (2019, 2018). <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, Lead Partner should meet requirement).</i>	Form D: Qualification Form
	Bidder must demonstrate the current soundness of its financial standing and indicate its prospective long-term profitability. <i>(For JV/Consortium/Association, all Parties cumulatively should meet requirement).</i>	Form D: Qualification Form

Technical Evaluation Criteria

Summary of Technical Proposal Evaluation Forms		Points Obtainable
1.	Bidder's qualification, capacity and experience background	480
2.	Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan	200
3.	Management Structure and Key Personnel	320
	TOTAL	1000

Section 1. Bidder's qualification, capacity and experience background		Points obtainable
1.1	Reputation of Organization and Staff Credibility/ Reliability	20
1.2	Relevance of: - Minimum five (5) years of professional experience in custom software development/ IT consultancy services/ web page management (<5 years – 0 pts, 5 years - 30 pts, >5 years – 5 pts for each additional year up to max. 50 pts) - Experience and knowledge in developing and implementing at least 5 (five) websites/platforms (<5 websites – 0 pts, 5 websites - 20 pts, >5 websites – 5 pts for each additional website up to max. 35 pts). - Proved capacity in terms of technical-material endowments (hardware and software) to provide technical and design activities for websites development (no capacity – 0 pts, limited – 0 to 10 pts, to some extent – 10 to 15 pts, extensive – 15 to 30 pts). <i>Detailed description of the technical capabilities available for this task must be described in the Company Profile.</i> - Work for UNDP/UN Agencies/donor funded/EU projects will be considered a strong advantage (no experience - 0 pts, yes – 10 pts).	125
1.3	Analysis of design, usability and user interface of previous projects portfolio (<i>based on 5 websites presented. Each website will get points as per criteria outlined below and their average will be considered in the Evaluation</i>): - Aesthetics and general visual attractiveness of the websites (<i>the websites look outdated - 0 pts, the websites look neutrally with no significant defects – 1 to 25 pts, the websites look modern and visually attractive – 26 – 50 pts</i>) - Easiness in navigation and understanding the hierarchy of websites' compartments, information blocks and sections (<i>there is a poor navigation and information organization – 0 pts, the main navigation is clear understandable yet the organization of information within the homepage and inner pages could be enhanced – 0 to 25 pts., the navigation and the information organization is easy to understand being valid for all websites pages – 26 to 50 pts</i>) - Branding and visual identity of the beneficiary/project (<i>the websites have poor integration of branding elements having integrated only the logo and generic visual elements – 0 pts, the website has some branding elements e.g. colors, fonts, adapted icons – 1 to 10 pts, the websites have rich integration of branding elements, e.g. colors, fonts, custom icons, shapes, illustrations, photography, watermarks – 11 to 20 pts</i>)	120
1.4	Analysis of technical functionalities of previous projects portfolio (<i>based on 5 websites presented. Each website will get points as per criteria outlined below and their average will be considered in the Evaluation</i>):	95

	- Are the websites elements working with no issues as expected to function (<i>there are many un-working elements – 0 – 5 pts, there are some issues that generally are not affecting the overall functionalities – 6 to 10 pts, there are no issues, or the issues are insignificant – 11 to 25 pts</i>) - Assurance of modern desktop browsers (<i>last versions of safari, chrome, firefox, edge</i>) compatibility (<i>the websites are working on a single web browser – 0 to 5 pts, the websites work in basic major browsers chrome & edge – 6 to 10 pts, the websites work in all listed browsers with minimal issues – 11 to 20 pts</i>) - Availability of mobile version (<i>the websites have no mobile versions support – 0 pts, the websites have adaptive mobile versions support – 1 to 10 pts, the websites have responsive mobile versions support - 11 to 25 pts</i>) - Presence of interactive visualization elements – e.g. maps, charts, graphs, data tables, illustrations, flows, calendars (<i>there are missing interactive visualization elements – 0 pts, there are some interactive visualization elements – 1 to 10 pts, the websites have rich embedding of interactive visualizations – 11 to 25 pts</i>)	
1.5	Previous projects complexity analysis (<i>based on 5 websites presented. Each website will get points as per criteria outlined below and their average will be considered in the Evaluation</i>): - The complexity of the homepages including the capacity to organize, prioritize and arrange the homepage key elements (<i>the homepages are including the basic elements (e.g. News compartment only, brief project info only, old/outdated slides), – 0 to 5 pts, the homepages embed dynamic elements related to many internal pages, infographics, animations, maps, charts – 6 to 20 pts</i>) - The level of customization of inner website's pages according to the specifics of the content (<i>e.g. Distinct blocks for attachments, numbers of visits, numbers of downloads, embedded players with a size respecting the page grid, credentials for photos and galleries, styled titles and subtitles, customized lists, custom icons, embedded presentations instead of just download link, etc.</i>) <i>the inner pages have only generic elements – 0 to 5 pts, the inner pages have some specific elements that are making the pages look different compared one to another – 6 to 10 pts, the majority websites inner pages are custom crafted depending on the content specifics – 11 to 20 pts</i>) - Availability and functional multilanguage support (<i>there is no multi-language support – 0 pts, the multilanguage support is partial or not working properly - 1 to 5 pts, there is fully functional support of at least 2 languages - 6 to 10 pts</i>)	50
1.6	After launch websites functionality (proof of maintenance and lasting lifecycle) (<i>based on 5 websites presented. Each website will get points as per criteria outlined below and their average will be considered in the Evaluation</i>): - <i>The websites currently are not available - 0 pts; The websites are available but have many issues or not functional modules - 1 to 10 pts; The websites have some issues – 11 to 20 pts; The websites have minimal or no visible issues – 21 to 30 pts.</i>	30
1.7	Relevance of proposed projects (<i>based on 5 websites presented. Each website will get points as per criteria outlined below and their average will be considered in the Evaluation</i>): - <i>The developed websites have low complexity – 0 pts to 10 pts; The developed websites have medium complexity 11 to 20 pts, or high complexity – 21 to 40 pts</i>	40
Total Section 1		480

Section 2. Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan		Points obtainable
2.1	Does Methodology and Approach meet the ToR requirements?	30

	– <i>limited understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology don't correspond to the TOR and require major adjustments to properly address the tasks – 0 to 10 pts</i> – <i>satisfactory understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology correspond to the TOR but require some adjustments to properly address all the tasks – 11 to 20 pts</i> – <i>full understanding of the assignment. The proposed approach and methodology fully demonstrate responsiveness to the ToR – (21 to 30 pts)</i>	
2.2	Does the Methodology describe all the actions and their consequence into sufficient details, are they logical? <i>(no description or somehow touched upon – 0 to 10 pts; limited description which requires improvements – 11 to 20 pts; detailed and logical description - 21 to 30 pts)</i>	30
2.3	Does the proposed Methodology demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the Technical Specifications' tasks? • <i>the understanding and knowledge of the tasks is not addressed at all or only touched upon. The proposed CMS is not addressed at all or only touched upon – (0 pts to 10 pts)</i> • <i>the understanding and knowledge of the tasks is satisfactorily addressed. The proposed CMS is somehow addressed without providing many details – (11 to 20 pts)</i> • <i>full understanding and deep knowledge of the tasks. The proposed CMS is well described and addressed into sufficient details – (21 to 40 pts)</i>	40
2.4	Does the Methodology and Approach include description of steps for tasks implementation? • <i>the approach is not addressed at all or somehow touched upon; description of steps for tasks implementation are not described – (0 to 10 pts)</i> • <i>the approach is satisfactorily addressed, providing some details; description of steps for tasks implementation are described without providing many details and may be improved – (11 to 20 pts)</i> • <i>the approach is aligned to the ToR requirements; description of steps for tasks implementation are well detailed – (21 to 40 pts)</i>	40
2.5	Does the proposal contain description of the technology stack, programming languages, and other technical development elements planned to be used in the websites development? • <i>the description of the technical development elements are weak – (0 to 5 pts)</i> • <i>the description of the technical development elements are inconsistent and require some adjustments to properly address all the tasks – (5 to 15 pts)</i> • <i>the description of the technical development elements are appropriate to the task, logical and correspond with the proposed methodology – (16 to 20 pts)</i>	20
2.6	Does the proposal contain a qualitative risk assessment and security measures approach? • <i>the risk assessment and proposed security measures are weak – (0 to 5 pts)</i> • <i>the risk assessment and proposed security measures are appropriate to the task, logical and correspond with the proposed methodology – (6 to 15 pts)</i>	15
2.7	Does the proposal contain a qualitative appropriate maintenance description (update, troubleshooting, back-up service)? • <i>the proposed maintenance description is weak – (0 to 5 pts)</i> • <i>the proposed maintenance description is inconsistent and require some adjustments to properly address all the tasks – (6 to 10 pts)</i> • <i>the proposed maintenance description is appropriate to the task, is logical and correspond with the proposed methodology – (10 to 15 pts)</i>	15
2.8	Does the proposal demonstrate good and logical distribution of roles in the team, including distribution per person-days' involvement? <i>(Limited or lack of any such details - 0 pts, to some extent - 1 to 5 pts, extensive and logical distribution - 6 to 10 pts)</i>	10
Total Section 2		200

Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel			Points obtainable
3.1	1 (one) TEAM Leader		90
	University degree or equivalent in Information Technology (IT) or Economics (<i>whichever 10 pts</i>). Master's degree is an advantage (<i>15 pts</i>)	15	
	Experience in the capacity of Project Manager in IT area, demonstrated by the implementation of similar at least 5 (five) projects implemented over the last 3 years, where the candidate conducted technical analyses, formulated requirements for the design and development of software solutions (<i><5 projects/contracts – 0 pts, 5 projects/contracts - 15 pts, >5 projects/contracts – 5 pts each additional projects/contract up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	At least 7 years of extensive experience in IT (<i><7 – 0 pts, 7 years - 15 pts, >7 years – 5 pts each additional year up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	Availability of specialized trainings in the area of specialization (<i>1 training - 5 pts</i>)	5	
	Experience working with UN Agencies/EU funded projects or other donors funded projects (<i>no experience – 0 pts, Yes - 10 pts</i>)	10	
	Language Qualifications: proficiency in Romanian and English required (<i>4 pts each language</i>); command of Russian will be an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	
3.2	1 (one) Web interfaces designer		75
	University degree or equivalent in Design/ Art/ Architecture/ Polygraphy (<i>10 pts</i>) or self-study courses UI/UX/Web design (<i>5 pts</i>)	10	
	At least 7 years of practical experience in the capacity of web interfaces designer demonstrated by previous similar positions in developing similar solutions (<i><7 – 0 pts, 7 years - 15 pts, >7 years – 5 pts each additional year up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	At least 3 confirmed examples of involvement in websites design of similar complexity to this assignment. List of projects shall be included in the CV (<i><3 projects – 0 pts, 3 projects - 15 pts, >3 projects– 5 pts each additional project, up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	Availability of specialized training or certification in the area of specialization (<i>1 training - 5 pts</i>)	5	
	Language Qualifications: proficiency in Romanian and English required (<i>4 pts each language</i>); command of Russian will be an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	
3.3	1 (one) Front-end developer		75
	University degree in Information Technology or related field (<i>whichever 8 pts</i>). Master's degree is an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	
	At least 5 years of extensive experience in the field of front-end development (<i><5 – 0 pts, 5 years - 15 pts, >5 years – 5 pts each additional year up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	At least 3 confirmed examples of involvement in websites elaboration of similar to this assignment complexity. List of projects shall be included in the CV (<i><3 projects – 0 pts, 3 projects – 15 pts, >3 projects– 5 pts each additional project, up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	Availability of specialized training or certification in the area of specialization (<i>1 training - 5 pts</i>)	5	
	Language Qualifications: proficiency in Romanian and English required (<i>4 pts each language</i>); command of Russian will be an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	
3.4	1 (one) Back-end and content management integration developer		80
	University degree in Information Technology or related field (<i>whichever 8 pts</i>). Master's degree is an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	

Section 3. Management Structure and Key Personnel			Points obtainable
	At least 5 years of extensive experience in the field of back-end and content management integration (<i><5 – 0 pts, 5 years - 5 pts, >5 years – 5 pts each additional year up to max. 25 pts</i>)	25	
	At least 3 confirmed examples of involvement in websites elaboration of similar to this assignment complexity. List of projects shall be included in the CV (<i><3 projects – 0 pts, 3 projects - 15 pts, >3 projects– 5 pts each additional project, up to max. 30 pts</i>)	30	
	Availability of specialized training or certification in the area of specialization (<i>1 training - 5 pts</i>)	5	
	Language Qualifications: proficiency in Romanian and English required (<i>4 pts each language</i>); command of Russian will be an advantage (<i>2 pts</i>)	10	
Total Section 3			320

Section 5. Terms of Reference

A. PROJECT TITLE: EU4Moldova: Focal Regions Programme (EU-funded)

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

General Background:

The EU4Moldova: Focal Regions Programme (further Programme) is based on the European Commission Implementing Decision on the Annual Action Programme 2018 in favour of the Republic of Moldova and is funded by the European Union and implemented by the United Nations Development Programme and UNICEF.

The **overall objective** of the five-year Programme is to strengthen the economic, territorial and social cohesion in the Republic of Moldova through smart, green, inclusive, sustainable and integrated local socio-economic growth as well as by improving the standards of living of the citizens in the focal regions of Cahul and Ungheni.

To this end, this Programme will address also the urban-rural divide as well as regional disparities, stimulate economic growth and job creation, refurbish and upgrade some social and technical infrastructure in selected areas (smaller towns and villages) while taking into account climate change and a gender perspective in the activities of the Programme.

Specific objectives:

1. To strengthen transparency, accountability of local public authorities and citizen participation in local governance processes in the focal regions.
2. To improve citizens' access to quality public services and utilities in the focal regions.
3. To create employment opportunities for men and women in the focal-regions and improve the attractiveness of the focal regions for investors and entrepreneurs.
4. To promote the smart specialization of the economy of the focal regions through the development of the clustering and value chain approach in key economic sectors.

The Programme objectives will be achieved through measures targeted at: (i) capacity building to support the implementation and monitoring of local economic development plans; (ii) civil society engagement in local planning, governance processes and basic social service delivery; (iii) provision of investment funding in support of the creation and/or development of social and technical infrastructure which, combined with the outcomes from interventions (i) and (ii) above, will have an immediate, visible and tangible impact on employment creation, the standard of living of the population in the focal regions.

Specific context:

In order to promote and to ensure the transparency/visibility of the Programme and focal regions Ungheni and Cahul, EU4Moldova Programme intends to launch new versions of the websites www.eu4ungheni.md

and www.eu4cahul.md, as instruments meant to deliver reliable and multidimensional information, fostering the development of strong and sustainable communication platforms.

Both websites were developed at the launch Programme phase and need to be upgraded in order to be more interactive, mobile and user-friendly as well as re-engineering of the websites management systems.

The future websites will have to complain to serve as:

- Main communication, visibility, and source of information on Programme activity;
- Interactive platforms for motivation and promotion of the interaction and collaboration among citizens, public sector, private sector and civil society organizations.

C. SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of the current assignment is to support the Programme to design, develop and maintain the project websites – www.eu4ungheni.md and www.eu4cahul.md in order to make them more user friendly and more useful in operation, including the graphic works and programming the Content Management System.

For detailed description of the technical task, please see the Annex No. 1 Technical Specifications.

In order to ensure adequate implementation of all planned activities, the Programme is seeking a local company (hereinafter Contractor) to provide the redesign and the development of 2 (two) websites to have a modern, practical and user-friendly design, with smooth navigation, good information resource and working tools. The contractor is not required to develop the content of the websites, however the content of the old www.eu4ungheni.md and www.eu4cahul.md webpages shall be migrated into the new webpages.

As a general requirement towards the Content Management System (CMS) it may be built on publicly available opensource platforms or created from scratch respecting specific technical requirements, however, in case of using commercial modules, the process of acquisition of these will be the developer's responsibility and any licenses acquired will be registered on project's beneficiary and transferred upon the finalization of development phase.

The overall design, look and feel of the newly created websites have to take advantage on showcasing the rich variety of the contents that will be published on the websites, availability of modern branding and high level of involvement and competence from the beneficiary's project team,

As the new websites will be targeting various audiences, the development team has to implement Usability and User Interaction best practices, in such crafting the new websites which will be ease in use and understand.

D. KEY TASKS AND ACTIVITIES

More specifically, the selected Company/Consortium of companies will assume the entire responsibility to carrying out the below-described activities and results, in accordance with the schedule set below.

E. KEY DELIVERABLES AND INDICATIVE TIMEFRAME

The Company shall deliver to EU4Moldova: Focal Regions Programme the following Deliverables, in accordance with the schedule set forth in Section below.

Deliverables	Expected Period
Deliverable 1: Phase A. Design elaboration for websites www.eu4ungheni.md and www.eu4cahul.md completed. Submission of Inception Report. The Inception Report will include the approach to new concept design and presentation of all pages web design, delivered as report attachments in PSD editable and jpg/png preview files.	8 weeks since contract signature
Deliverable 2: Phase B. Prototyping completed. Submission of Progress Report. The report will include a brief analysis on the received content for integration and the described prototypes (mobile and desktop) of the websites delivered as browser encoded archived offline web pages (html, css, js, images, other specific files making the prototype working).	12 weeks since contract signature
Deliverable 3: Phase C. Full websites development completed. Submission of Progress Report, including the details on development and integration of the Content Management System, integrated final content, embedding screenshots of the working website on the developer's demo server. The websites will be showcased to project team as functional publicly password protected web pages on the developer's demo server.	20 weeks since contract signature
Deliverable 4: Phase D. Testing and launch of sites www.eu4ungheni.md and www.eu4cahul.md completed. Submission of Final Report, including details on production servers migration, performed training sessions, performed websites presentations. The websites will be delivered as fully functional addresses, running on the hosting servers provided by the client. The report will have attached the set of project delivery handouts.	25 weeks since contract signature

The Reports and all deliverables should be endorsed by the EU4Moldova: Focal Regions Programme and be provided in English, in electronic and hard versions.

F. INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

The Company will be awarded a contract with UNDP EU4Moldova: Focal Region Programme for the delivery of services applied for and will work in cooperation with Programme Communications Officer, under the guidance and supervision of the Programme Manager.

The payment for services will be made in tranches upon submission of deliverables stated in point E. Key deliverables presented within the indicative timeframe above shall be endorsed by the Programme Manager.

G. DURATION OF WORK

The estimated duration of works is up to maximum up to 6 months. The expected time of commencement of contract is July 2021.

UNDP will require maximum of 14 (fourteen) days (depending on the implementation stage) to review the deliverables, provide comments, approve/deny or certify acceptance of deliverables.

H. QUALIFICATIONS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SERVICE PROVIDER AT VARIOUS LEVELS

The offers will be evaluated based on their compliance with the general requirements specified bellow. The applicant Company (Leader of Consortium) should:

- Be a legally registered entity in Republic of Moldova
- Having at least 2 of the following officially approved activities:
 - Custom software development (Activități de realizare a soft-ului la comandă)
 - IT consultancy services (Activități de consultanță în tehnologia informației)
 - Data processing, web page management and related activities (Prelucrarea datelor, administrarea paginilor web și activități conexe)
 - Software development and consultancy in the field (Realizarea de programe și consultanța în domeniul dat)
 - Activities related to data banks (Activități legate de băncile de date)
 - Web portal activities (Activități ale portalurilor web)

(evidence must be provided: a scan of the officially issued document like the Extract from the State Register of legal entities or equivalent document).

- Proven experience and solid knowledge in developing and implementing at least 5 websites/platforms having similar complexity and/or components

(evidence must be provided in the Technical Proposal: portfolio including screenshots and summary project description, links to live running projects and/or to demo projects under testing or development).

The proposal shall include the following minimum key-personnel aimed at implementing said contract:

1. TEAM Leader
2. Web interfaces designer
3. Front-end developer
4. Back-end and content management integration developer

The competencies and skills of the above-listed key-personnel will be evaluated as per Section 4. Technical Evaluation Criteria Form. The Company could consider other non-key personnel: User interfaces/user interaction designer (UX/UI) and Desktop and mobile interfaces tester (quality assurance engineer), that is

required for the successful implementation of the present assignment, however their competencies will not be evaluated.

In consideration of time limitations and the peculiarities of each required work package, the Company should demonstrate substantial human resource capacity, proportionate to the workload so as to mitigate negative effects on the pace of implementation. In addition, the key-personnel should provide full-time availability for this contract, if awarded.

The Company shall take all reasonable measures necessary to ensure that the personnel deployed under this assignment shall respect local customs and conform to the highest standards of moral and ethical conduct and adherence to UNDP values (human rights, gender equality, respecting differences of culture, gender, religion, ethnicity, nationality, language, age, HIV status, disability, and sexual orientation, or other status). UNDP may at any time request the withdrawal or replacement of any of the key-personnel if these standards are not adhered to. Replacement will be made at the Company expense.

Section 6: Returnable Bidding Forms / Checklist

This form serves as a checklist for preparation of your Proposal. Please complete the Returnable Bidding Forms in accordance with the instructions in the forms and return them as part of your Proposal submission. No alteration to format of forms shall be permitted and no substitution shall be accepted.

Before submitting your Proposal, please ensure compliance with the Proposal Submission instructions of the BDS 22.

Technical Proposal Envelope:

Have you duly completed all the Returnable Bidding Forms?	
▪ Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form	☐
▪ Form B: Bidder Information Form	☐
▪ Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/ Association Information Form	☐
▪ Form D: Qualification Form	☐
▪ Form E: Format of Technical Proposal	☐
Have you provided the required documents to establish compliance with the evaluation criteria in Section 4?	☐

Financial Proposal Envelope:

(Must be submitted in a separate file/password protected)

▪ Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form	☐
▪ Form G: Financial Proposal Form	☐

Form A: Technical Proposal Submission Form

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

We, the undersigned, offer to provide the services for [Insert Title of services] in accordance with your Request for Proposal No. [Insert RFP Reference Number] and our Proposal. We are hereby submitting our Proposal, which includes this Technical Proposal and our Financial Proposal sealed under a separate envelope.

We hereby declare that our firm, its affiliates or subsidiaries or employees, including any JV/Consortium /Association members or subcontractors or suppliers for any part of the contract:

- a) is not under procurement prohibition by the United Nations, including but not limited to prohibitions derived from the Compendium of United Nations Security Council Sanctions Lists;
- b) have not been suspended, debarred, sanctioned or otherwise identified as ineligible by any UN Organization or the World Bank Group or any other international Organization;
- c) have no conflict of interest in accordance with Instruction to Bidders Clause 4;
- d) do not employ, or anticipate employing, any person(s) who is, or has been a UN staff member within the last year, if said UN staff member has or had prior professional dealings with our firm in his/her capacity as UN staff member within the last three years of service with the UN (in accordance with UN post-employment restrictions published in ST/SGB/2006/15);
- e) have not declared bankruptcy, are not involved in bankruptcy or receivership proceedings, and there is no judgment or pending legal action against them that could impair their operations in the foreseeable future;
- f) undertake not to engage in proscribed practices, including but not limited to corruption, fraud, coercion, collusion, obstruction, or any other unethical practice, with the UN or any other party, and to conduct business in a manner that averts any financial, operational, reputational or other undue risk to the UN and we embrace the principles of the United Nations Supplier Code of Conduct and adhere to the principles of the United Nations Global Compact.

We declare that all the information and statements made in this Proposal are true and we accept that any misinterpretation or misrepresentation contained in this Proposal may lead to our disqualification and/or sanctioning by the UNDP.

We offer to provide services in conformity with the Bidding documents, including the UNDP General Conditions of Contract and in accordance with the Terms of Reference

Our Proposal shall be valid and remain binding upon us for the period of time specified in the Bid Data Sheet.

We understand and recognize that you are not bound to accept any Proposal you receive.

I, the undersigned, certify that I am duly authorized by [Insert Name of Bidder] to sign this Proposal and bind it should UNDP accept this Proposal.

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____

[Stamp with official stamp of the Bidder]

Form B: Bidder Information Form

Legal name of Bidder	[Complete]
Legal address	[Complete]
Year of registration	[Complete]
Bidder's Authorized Representative Information	Name and Title: [Complete] Telephone numbers: [Complete] Email: [Complete]
Are you a UNGM registered vendor?	☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, [insert UGNM vendor number]
Are you a UNDP vendor?	☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, [insert UNDP vendor number]
Countries of operation	[Complete]
No. of full-time employees	[Complete]
Quality Assurance Certification (e.g. ISO 9000 or Equivalent) (If yes, provide a Copy of the valid Certificate):	[Complete]
Does your Company hold any accreditation such as ISO 14001 related to the environment? (If yes, provide a Copy of the valid Certificate):	[Complete]
Does your Company have a written Statement of its Environmental Policy? (If yes, provide a Copy)	[Complete]
Contact person UNDP may contact for requests for clarification during Proposal evaluation	Name and Title: [Complete] Telephone numbers: [Complete] Email: [Complete]
Please attach the following documents:	▪ Company Profile, which should <u>not</u> exceed fifteen (15) pages, including printed brochures and product catalogues relevant to the goods/services being procured. Please, ensure that such document provides detailed description of previously conducted projects (incl. project, year, other details as may be relevant) as well as detailed description of the technical capabilities (in terms of hardware and software) available for the fulfilment of the proposed tasks ▪ Registration Certificate or Extract from the State Register of legal entities ▪ Portfolio including screenshots and summary project description, links to live running projects and/or to demo projects under testing or development ▪ List of Shareholders and Other Entities Financially Interested in the Firm owning 5% or more of the stocks and other interests, or its equivalent if Bidder is not a corporation including the Certificate from State Register ▪ Quality Certificate (e.g., ISO, etc.) and/or other similar certificates, accreditations, awards and citations received by the Bidder, if any

	▪ Financial Statement (Income Statement and Balance Sheet) for the past 2 years (2019, 2018) ▪ Statement of Satisfactory Performance from the Top three (3) Clients in terms of Contract Value in the past three (3) years ▪ A copy of preliminary Agreement in case of Consortium ▪ Detailed description of the Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan (sequence of actions) for the services required in the ToR and according to Annex no. 1 Technical Specifications, with clear distribution of roles and responsibilities of the proposed key personnel; ▪ CVs (shall be signed by the envisaged person), of the Key personnel (mentioned under point H, Section 5: ToR) ▪ All information regarding any past and current litigation during the last five (5) years, in which the bidder is involved, indicating the parties concerned, the subject of the litigation, the amounts involved, and the final resolution if already concluded ▪ Dully filled in Forms A-G. Forms F and G password protected. Please, ensure that no other documents are disclosing your financial proposal apart from Forms F and G. Your price proposal indicated in e-Tendering system line item must equal with 1 US\$.
--	---

Form C: Joint Venture/Consortium/Association Information Form

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

To be completed and returned with your Proposal if the Proposal is submitted as a Joint Venture/Consortium/Association.

No	Name of Partner and contact information <i>(address, telephone numbers, fax numbers, e-mail address)</i>	Proposed proportion of responsibilities (in %) and type of services to be performed
1	[Complete]	[Complete]
2	[Complete]	[Complete]
3	[Complete]	[Complete]

Name of leading partner (with authority to bind the JV, Consortium, Association during the RFP process and, in the event a Contract is awarded, during contract execution)	[Complete]
--	------------

We have attached a copy of the below document signed by every partner, which details the likely legal structure of and the confirmation of joint and severable liability of the members of the said joint venture:

☐ Letter of intent to form a joint venture **OR** ☐ JV/Consortium/Association agreement

We hereby confirm that if the contract is awarded, all parties of the Joint Venture/Consortium/Association shall be jointly and severally liable to UNDP for the fulfillment of the provisions of the Contract.

Name of partner:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of partner:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of partner:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name of partner:

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Form D: Qualification Form

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

If JV/Consortium/Association, to be completed by each partner.

Historical Contract Non-Performance

☐ Contract non-performance did not occur for the last 3 years			
☐ Contract(s) not performed for the last 3 years			
Year	Non- performed portion of contract	Contract Identification	Total Contract Amount (current value in US\$)
		Name of Client: Address of Client: Reason(s) for non-performance:	

Litigation History (including pending litigation)

☐ No litigation history for the last 3 years			
☐ Litigation History as indicated below			
Year of dispute	Amount in dispute (in US\$)	Contract Identification	Total Contract Amount (current value in US\$)
		Name of Client: Address of Client: Matter in dispute: Party who initiated the dispute: Status of dispute: Party awarded if resolved:	

Previous Relevant Experience

Please list only previous similar assignments successfully completed in the last 10 years.

List only those assignments for which the Bidder was legally contracted or sub-contracted by the Client as a company or was one of the Consortium/JV partners. ***Assignments completed by the Bidder's individual experts working privately or through other firms cannot be claimed as the relevant experience of the Bidder, or that of the Bidder's partners or sub-consultants, but can be claimed by the Experts themselves in their CVs. The Bidder should be prepared to substantiate the claimed experience by presenting copies of relevant documents and references if so requested by UNDP.***

Project name & Country of Assignment	Client & Reference Contact Details	Contract Value	Period of activity and status	Types of activities undertaken

Bidders may also attach their own Project Data Sheets with more details for assignments above.

☐ Attached are the Statements of Satisfactory Performance from the Top 3 (three) Clients or more.

Current contracts/commitments in progress

Complete information about all projects in progress

Name of Client	Project name and location	Contract Value	Project Start Date	Scheduled Completion Date	Completion percentage

Financial Standing

Annual Turnover for the last 3 years	Year 2019	USD
	Year 2018	USD
	Year 2017	USD
Latest Credit Rating (if any), indicate the source		

Financial information (in US\$ equivalent)	Historic information for the last 3 years
---	---

	Year 2019	Year 2018	Year 2017
	<i>Information from Balance Sheet</i>		
Total Assets (TA)			
Total Liabilities (TL)			
Current Assets (CA)			
Current Liabilities (CL)			
	<i>Information from Income Statement</i>		
Total / Gross Revenue (TR)			
Profits Before Taxes (PBT)			
Net Profit			
Current Ratio			

☐ Attached are copies of the audited financial statements (balance sheets, including all related notes, and income statements) for the years required above complying with the following condition:

- a) Must reflect the financial situation of the Bidder or party to a JV, and not sister or parent companies;
- b) Historic financial statements must correspond to accounting periods already completed and audited. No statements for partial periods shall be accepted.

Form E: Format of Technical Proposal

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

The Bidder's proposal should be organized to follow this format of Technical Proposal. Where the bidder is presented with a requirement or asked to use a specific approach, the bidder must not only state its acceptance, but also describe how it intends to comply with the requirements. Where a descriptive response is requested, failure to provide the same will be viewed as non-responsive.

SECTION 1: Bidder's qualification, capacity and expertise

- 1.1 Brief description of the organization, including the year and country of incorporation, and types of activities undertaken.
- 1.2 General organizational capability which is likely to affect implementation: management structure, financial stability and project financing capacity, project management controls, extent to which any work would be subcontracted (if so, provide details).
- 1.3 Relevance of specialized knowledge and experience on similar engagements done in the region/country.
- 1.4 Quality assurance procedures and risk mitigation measures.
- 1.5 Organization's commitment to sustainability.

SECTION 2: Proposed Methodology, Approach and Implementation Plan

This section should demonstrate the bidder's responsiveness to the TOR by identifying the specific components proposed, addressing the requirements, providing a detailed description of the essential performance characteristics proposed and demonstrating how the proposed approach and methodology meets or exceeds the requirements. All important aspects should be addressed in sufficient detail and different components of the project should be adequately weighted relative to one another.

- 2.1 A detailed description of the approach and methodology for how the Bidder will achieve the Terms of Reference of the project, keeping in mind the appropriateness to local conditions and project environment. Details how the different service elements shall be organized, controlled and delivered.
- 2.2 The methodology shall also include details of the Bidder's internal technical and quality assurance review mechanisms.
- 2.3 Explain whether any services would be subcontracted, to whom, how much percentage, the rationale for such, and the roles of the proposed sub-contractors and how everyone will function as a team. Subcontracting must not exceed 30% of the contract value.**
- 2.4 Description of available performance monitoring and evaluation mechanisms and tools; how they shall be adopted and used for a specific requirement.
- 2.5 Implementation plan including a Gantt Chart or Project Schedule indicating the detailed sequence of activities that will be undertaken and their corresponding timing.
- 2.6 Demonstrate how you plan to integrate sustainability measures in the execution of the contract.
- 2.7 Any other comments or information regarding the project approach and methodology that will be adopted.

SECTION 2A: Bidder's Comments and Suggestions on the Terms of Reference

Provide comments and suggestions on the Terms of Reference, or additional services that will be rendered beyond the requirements of the TOR, if any.

SECTION 3: Management Structure and Key Personnel

- 3.1 Describe the overall management approach toward planning and implementing the project. Include an organization chart for the management of the project describing the relationship of key positions and designations. Provide a spreadsheet to show the activities of each personnel and the time allocated for his/her involvement.
- 3.2 Provide CVs for key personnel that will be provided to support the implementation of this project using the format below. CVs should demonstrate qualifications in areas relevant to the Scope of Services.

Format for CV of Proposed Key Personnel

Name of Personnel	[Insert]
Position for this assignment	[Insert]
Nationality	[Insert]
Language proficiency	[Insert]
Education/ Qualifications	<i>[Summarize college/university and other specialized education of personnel member, giving names of schools, dates attended, and degrees/qualifications obtained.]</i> [Insert]
Professional certifications	<i>[Provide details of professional certifications relevant to the scope of services]</i> ■ Name of institution: [Insert] ■ Date of certification: [Insert]
Employment Record/ Experience	<i>[List all positions held by personnel (starting with present position, list in reverse order), giving dates, names of employing organization, title of position held and location of employment. For experience in last five years, detail the type of activities performed, degree of responsibilities, location of assignments and any other information or professional experience considered pertinent for this assignment.]</i> [Insert]
References	<i>[Provide names, addresses, phone and email contact information for two (2) references]</i> Reference 1: [Insert] Reference 2: [Insert]

I, the undersigned, certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, these data correctly describe my qualifications, my experiences, and other relevant information about myself.

Signature of Personnel (envisaged in the CV)

Date (Day/Month/Year)

Form F: Financial Proposal Submission Form

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

We, the undersigned, offer to provide the services for [Insert Title of services] in accordance with your Request for Proposal No. [Insert RFP Reference Number] and our Proposal. We are hereby submitting our Proposal, which includes this Technical Proposal and our Financial Proposal sealed under a separate envelope.

Our attached Financial Proposal is for the sum of [Insert amount in words and figures].

Our Proposal shall be valid and remain binding upon us for the period of time specified in the Bid Data Sheet.

We understand you are not bound to accept any Proposal you receive.

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____

[Stamp with official stamp of the Bidder]

Form G: Financial Proposal Form

Name of Bidder:	[Insert Name of Bidder]	Date:	Select date
RFP reference:	RFP No.: 21/02319		

The Bidder is required to prepare the Financial Proposal following the below format and submit it in a separate file from the Technical Proposal as indicated in the Instruction to Bidders. Any Financial information provided in the Technical Proposal shall lead to Bidder’s disqualification.

Your Financial Proposal file (comprising Forms F and G) must be password protected. The password shall not be disclosed unless required in written by UNDP.

DO NOT DISCLOSE YOUR PRICE IN THE E-TENDERING SYSTEM LINE ITEM. YOU MUST PUT PRICE AS 1\$ AND PROVIDE THE FINANCIAL PROPOSAL AS ENCRYPTED FILE AS EXPLAINED IN INSTRUCTIONS.

The Financial Proposal should align with the requirements in the Terms of Reference and the Bidder’s Technical Proposal.

Currency of the proposal: US\$

Table 1: Cost Breakdown per Milestones

MILESTONE/DELIVERABLE	Percentage of Total Price	Price (Lump Sum, All Inclusive)
Deliverable 1	20%	
Deliverable 2	20%	
Deliverable 3	40%	
Deliverable 4	20%	

**Basis for payment tranches*

Table 2: Cost Breakdown by Cost Component

Description of Activity	Unit of measure (day, unit etc.)	Quantity	Unit Price in US\$	Total Price in US\$ per budget line
		A	B	C=A*B
I. Personnel Services				
a) Team Leader	Working days			
b) Web interfaces designer	Working days			
c) Front-end developer	Working days			
d) Back-end and content management integration developer	Working days			
e) Other staff if necessary (please, list down)	Working days			
Subtotal Personnel Services:				
II. Out of Pocket Expenses				
a) Transportation costs				
Other Costs: (please specify)				

b) Translation costs <i>(if any)</i>				
Other Costs: <i>(please specify)</i>				
Subtotal Out of Pocket Expenses:				
III. Other Related Costs				
Other Costs: <i>(please specify)</i>				
Subtotal Other Related Costs:				
TOTAL (all-inclusive, VAT 0%)				